



June 5, 2013

# An Approach to Predicting Passenger Operation Performance from Commuter System Performance

---

**SYSTRA**

# Outline



1. Introduction of the approach
  - What - To predict passenger operation performance (schedule conformance) from system reliability and availability
  - Why - to guide system requirement specification; To guide function areas of improvement
2. Theory basis of the approach
3. Four step approach
  - System reliability prediction
  - System function use frequency estimation
  - Probability estimate of function failure mitigation
  - Rollup calculation



# Theory basis of the approach

## The multiplication rule

### Failures mitigated by method of operation

- Layered method of operation
  - PTC (ACSES, I-ITCS, I-ETMS, etc.)
  - Automatic Cab Signaling (ATC)
  - Signaled methods (CTC, ABS, manual interlocking, manual block control) with field interlocking
  - Non-signaled (Track warrant control, direct traffic control, etc.)

### Failures affect passenger operation performance

- These are the failures in the first layer, in combination of (mitigation) failures in the underlying layer(s)



# Four step approach



## Strategy

Identify what function failures impact the operation performance, estimate the functional use frequency, analyze the available mitigation with probability of success, and roll up to the operation performance

### ○ System reliability prediction

- System element reliability data

- Collected from field service data (Chi-Square method) – This formula matches the Chi-square distribution. This is not quite the Chi-square test
- Calculate with a method such as MIL HDBK 217

### ○ System function use frequency estimation

- Characterize operation scale – number of trains per hour in the system, at a wayside location (CP or Base Station), fraction of the system on each train, and the number of trains in depot, etc.
- Identify operation impact functions
- Estimate function use frequency
  - Use determined by system design, e.g., transponder reading depends on distribution of transponders
  - Use determined by system parameters, e.g., wayside status query frequency

## Four step approach - continued



### ○ Probability estimate of function failure mitigation


- Identify operation mitigation with underlying layer(s) and manual procedures. Some examples follow:
  - Wayside status query function failure is mitigated with underlying signaling (Cab, CTC, ABS, etc.) that can operation the train to its destination without cause significant delay
  - Transponder reading function failure is mitigated with underlying signaling (Cab, CTC, ABS, etc.) that can operation the train to its destination without cause significant delay
- Signaling system failure
  - In case of underlying signaling system failure, a manual backup operation can be used. The failure, however, may or may not be satisfactorily mitigated.

### ○ Rollup

- Identify operation mitigation with underlying layer(s) and manual procedures



## Rollup calculation


$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \left( \sum_{C_j} \lambda_{ji} \right) \cdot P_{fi} \cdot P_{mi} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \left( \sum_{C_j} \lambda_{ji} \cdot P_{fi} \cdot P_{mi} \right) \right] = \sum_{C_j} \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_{ji} \cdot P_{fi} \cdot P_{mi}) = \sum_{C_j} \lambda_{ji} \sum_{i=1}^n P_{fi} \cdot P_{mi}$$



**Thank You**