| 1 | | THE HONORABLE THOMAS S. ZILLY |
|--|--|--|
| 2 | | THE HOTOR BEETHONING S. ZIEET |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 10 11 12 13 14 | ARRIVALSTAR S.A. and MELVINO TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED, Plaintiffs, v. CENTRAL PUGET SOUND REGIONAL TRANSIT AUTHORITY d/b/a Sound Transit, Defendant. Defendant. | Civil Action No. 2:12-cv-977-TSZ SOUND TRANSIT'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION TO PLAINTIFFS |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | TO: PLAINTIFFS AND TO: PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL OF RECORD Pursuant to Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant Cent Sound Regional Transit Authority ("Defendant" or "Sound Transit") requests that Plaintiffs As S.A. ("ArrivalStar) and Melvino Technologies Limited ("Melvino") (collectively "Plaintiffs") the following documents and things within thirty (30) days after service at the offices of STO LLP, 600 University Street, Suite 3600, One Union Square, Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 3 Plaintiff shall also submit written responses, subscribed under oath, within thirty (30) days of hereof as required by rule. | |
| 25 | DEFINITIONS AND | INSTRUCTIONS |
| 26 | The definitions and instructions below are intended to assist you in responding in accordance | |
| | SOUND TRANSIT'S 1ST REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION TO PLA | STOEL RIVES LLP 600 University Street, Ste. 3600 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 386-7542 |

with the liberal policy underlying the Federal Rules. Unless the context indicates otherwise, the following words and phrases have the meaning given:

- 1. "Plaintiffs," "you" or "your" mean and refer to the plaintiffs in this action, and to all of their affiliated entities, persons, units, agents, agencies, divisions, departments, and nominees, including subsidiaries, instrumentalities, subdivisions, predecessors, successors and assignees of any of them, administrators, officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives, consultants, special assistants, joint ventures, contractors, and attorneys of any of them.
- 2. "Sound Transit" and "Defendant" mean and refer to Defendant Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority named in this action, and to all of its agencies, divisions, departments, nominees, affiliated entities and units, including subsidiaries, instrumentalities, subdivisions, predecessors, successors and assignees of any of them, administrators, officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives, consultants, special assistants, joint ventures, contractors, and attorneys of any of them; and any persons who at any time acted by, through, or on behalf of any of them.
- 3. The term "document" is used in the broadest possible sense as interpreted under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and includes, without limitation, all originals and copies, duplicates, drafts, and recordings of any written, graphic or otherwise recorded matter, however produced or reproduced, and all "writings" as defined in Section 1001 of the Federal Rules of Evidence including, *inter alia*, the following: abstracts, advertisements, agendas, agreements, analyses of any kind, articles, blueprints, brochures, charts, compilations, computer runs and printouts, letters, reports (including reports or notes of telephone or other conversations), memoranda, brochures, books, ledgers, drawings, photographs, specifications, drafts, catalogs, invoices, bills of materials, purchase orders, proposals, contracts, and other writings of whatsoever nature, whether on paper or magnetic tape or other non-paper information storage means, including film and computer memory devices; and where any such items contain any marking not appearing on the original or are altered from the original, then such items shall be considered to be separate original documents.
 - 4. The term "thing," as used here, refers to any tangible object other than a document, and

includes objects of every kind and nature such as, but not limited to, models, samples, prototypes and commercial units, in each case, whether complete or not, or functional or not.

- 5. The terms "concerning," "regarding," "referring" or "relating" include referring to, alluding to, responding to, relating to, connected with, commenting upon, in respect of, about, regarding, discussing, showing, describing, reflecting, analyzing, touching upon, constituting, and being.
- 6. The term "person" or "persons" includes any individual, firm, partnership, association, joint venture, corporation, governmental agency, entity, or combination of any of the above.
- 7. The term "communication" includes any conversation, meeting, telephone call, e-mail, letter, memorandum, document, or other form of(verbal or nonverbal) communication.
- 8. The phrases "describe in detail" and "provide the factual basis" means to supply all facts
 whether based on your personal knowledge, information, or belief presently available to you, known by you, or inferred by you from the existence of other evidence or information.
- 9. The term "patent-in-suit" refers to U.S. Patent No. 7,030,781 ("the '781 patent") entitled *Notification System and Method That Informs a Party of Vehicle Delay.*
- 10. The term "prior art" is used in its customary sense as contemplated by 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103.
- 11. The term "transit systems" includes, but is not limited to, any method, service, or equipment used for human transportation purposes and any other past or current methods, services, or equipment whose function or use is substantially similar thereto.
- 12. As used herein, the singular form of a noun or pronoun includes within its meaning the plural form thereof and *vice versa*; the use of the masculine form of a pronoun will include within its meaning the feminine form of the pronoun and *vice versa*; the use of the tense of a verb will include all other tenses of the verb so used; and the use of "and" will include "or" and *vice versa*.
- 13. Each document shall be produced, if possible, as it is kept in the ordinary course of business in its original folder, file jacket, cover, separator or the like and shall be organized and labeled to correspond with the categories discovery request to which it is responsive.

| histories from RFP No. 1. RESPONSE: |
|---|
| RESPONSE: |
| |
| |
| |
| REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3: All documents regarding Sound Transit's alleged |
| infringement of the patent-in-suit. |
| <u>RESPONSE:</u> |
| |
| |
| REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4: All documents regarding Sound Transit's systems, |
| services, equipment, technology, sales, or finances. |
| RESPONSE: |
| |
| REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5: To the extent not previously produced, all documents, |
| data compilations, and tangible things specified by FRCP 26(a). |
| RESPONSE: |
| 11351 51155. |
| |
| REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6: All litigation files (including but not limited to |
| correspondence, discovery, deposition transcripts, document productions, pleadings, expert reports, |
| other court filings, settlement agreements, and mediation submissions) related to patent litigation by or |
| on behalf of Plaintiffs (see <u>Definitions</u>) regarding the patent-in-suit and related patents. |
| RESPONSE: |
| |
| STOEL RIVES LLP |
| |

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7: All license agreements (whether in draft, final, or |
| 3 | executed form) entered into by or on behalf of Plaintiffs. |
| 4 | RESPONSE: |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8: All settlement agreements (whether in draft, final, or |
| 8 | executed form) entered into by or on behalf of Plaintiffs. |
| 9 | RESPONSE: |
| 10 | |
| 11 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9: All royalties or other payments paid to or received by |
| 12 | or on behalf of Plaintiffs. |
| 13 | RESPONSE: |
| 14 | RESTONSE. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10: All documents related to or reflecting negotiations |
| 17 | concerning the patent-in-suit or any related patent or patent application. |
| 18 | RESPONSE: |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11: All documents related to or reflecting a settlement |
| 22 | agreement concerning the patent-in-suit or any related patent or patent application. |
| 23 | RESPONSE: |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| | CTOEL DIVECTID |

| - 1 | |
|-----|--|
| 1 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12: All documents related to or reflecting Plaintiffs' pre- |
| 2 | filing investigation, including the documents used to arrive at Plaintiffs' determination that Sound |
| 3 | Transit had infringed the patent-in-suit. |
| 4 | RESPONSE: |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13: All prior art identified by third parties in licensing |
| 8 | negotiations involving the patent-in-suit. |
| 9 | RESPONSE: |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14: All prior art, not disclosed in ArrivalStar's patent |
| 13 | applications/prosecution histories, that has been identified by third parties in licensing negotiations |
| 14 | involving the patent-in-suit. |
| 15 | RESPONSE: |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15: All prior art identified by third parties in other |
| 19 | litigations involving the patent-in-suit. |
| 20 | RESPONSE: |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16: All prior art, not disclosed in ArrivalStar's patent |
| 24 | applications/prosecution histories, that has been identified by third parties in other litigations involving |
| 25 | the patent-in-suit. |
| 26 | RESPONSE: |
| | SOUND TRANSIT'S 1ST REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION TO PLAINTIFFS — 8 STOEL RIVES LLP 600 University Street, Ste. 3600 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 386-7542 |

| 1 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21: |
|----|---|
| 2 | All documents that refer or relate to all persons whom Plaintiffs intends to call as a witness |
| 3 | during this litigation including, but not limited to, all reports prepared by such persons concerning the |
| 4 | subject matter of this proceeding. |
| 5 | RESPONSE: |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22: |
| 9 | All documents and things that refer or relate to Plaintiffs' procurement, notification, or |
| 10 | enforcement of intellectual property rights. |
| 11 | RESPONSE: |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23: |
| 15 | All documents and things that refer or relate to Plaintiffs' or Defendant's allegations, admissions, |
| 16 | or denials in the pleadings. |
| 17 | RESPONSE: |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24: |
| 21 | All correspondence to or from third parties related to the patent-in-suit and related patents. |
| 22 | RESPONSE: |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25: |
| 26 | All correspondence to third parties alleging or asserting infringement, or related to a third |
| _0 | STOEL RIVES LLP |

| 1 | parties' alleged infringement, of the patent-in-suit and related patents. |
|----|---|
| 2 | RESPONSE: |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26: |
| 6 | All correspondence from third parties responding to allegations of infringement, or related to a |
| 7 | third parties' alleged infringement, of the patent-in-suit and related patents. |
| 8 | RESPONSE: |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27: |
| 12 | All documents related to your practice or implementation of, or attempts to practice or |
| 13 | implement, the patent-in-suit and related patents. |
| 14 | RESPONSE: |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28: |
| 18 | All documents related to your marketing of the patent-in-suit and related patents to third parties. |
| 19 | RESPONSE: |
| 20 | |
| 20 | |
| | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29: |
| 22 | All documents related to the valuation of systems or methods of implementing the patent-in-suit |
| 23 | and related patents. |
| 24 | RESPONSE: |
| 25 | |
| 26 | CTOEL DIVECTIO |

| 1 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30: |
|----|---|
| 2 | All documents related to the value to individual transit-system riders of systems or methods of |
| 3 | implementing the patent-in-suit and related patents. |
| 4 | RESPONSE: |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31: |
| 8 | All documents and correspondence related to Plaintiff's acquisition from third-party patent |
| 9 | holders of patent or patent rights related to the patent-in-suit and related patents. |
| 10 | RESPONSE: |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | DATED this 17th day of August, 2012. |
| 15 | Respectfully submitted, |
| 16 | STOEL RIVES LLP |
| 17 | /s/ Brian C. Park |
| 18 | Brian C. Park, WSBA No. 25584 600 University Street, Suite 3600 |
| 19 | Seattle, WA 98101 |
| | Tel.: (206) 386-7542 Fax: (206) 386-7500 |
| 20 | BCPark@stoel.com |
| 21 | Nathan C. Brunette (pro hac vice) |
| 22 | 900 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 2600 Portland, OR 97204 |
| 23 | Tel.: (503) 224-3380 Fax: (503) 220-2480 |
| 24 | NCBrunette@stoel.com |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| | Constant Programme |

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE |
|-------------------------------|--|
| 2 | I, Brian C. Park, certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that, on |
| 3 | August 17, 2012, I caused the foregoing document to be served by the method indicated below on the |
| 4 | parties listed below: |
| 5 | Anthony Dowell Geoffrey D. Smith hand delivery e-mail delivery |
| 67 | DOWELL BAKER P.C. ☐ facsimile transmission 201 Main Street, Suite 710 ☐ overnight delivery Lafayette, IN 47901 ☐ regular U.S. Mail |
| 8 | Email: aedowell@dowellbaker.com Email: gsmith@dowellbaker.com |
| 9 | |
| 10 | David A. Lowe And hand delivery LOWE GRAHAM JONES PLLC e-mail delivery |
| 12 | 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4800 |
| 13 | Email: lowe@lowegrahamjones.com regular U.S. Mail |
| 14 | Executed on August 17, 2012, at Seattle, Washington. |
| 15 | /s/ Brian C. Park |
| 16 | Brian C. Park |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | STOEL RIVES LLP |