APTA STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM RECOMMENDED PRACTICE American Public Transportation Association 1300 I Street, NW, Suite 1200 East, Washington, DC 20005

APTA PR-M-RP-004-98, Rev. 2

First Published: Jan. 22, 1998 First Revision: Feb. 13, 2004 Second Revision:

PRESS Mechanical Working Group

Secondhand and Reconditioned Type H Tightlock Coupler Systems

Abstract: This recommended practice covers requirements for secondhand and reconditioned Type H Tightlock couplers and their parts.

Keywords: secondhand couplers, reconditioned couplers

Summary: This document details requirements for secondhand and reconditioned APTA-approved Type H Tightlock couplers and their parts, coupler yokes, draft gear followers, connecting pins and other parts used in the coupler and yoke passenger car applications. This recommended practice was titled "Secondhand and Reconditioned Type H Tightlock Couplers" in the previous publication of this document.

Scope and purpose: This recommended practice contains the requirements for secondhand and reconditioned Type H Tightlock Coupler Systems. Its recommendations do not cover requirements for proprietary parts, except as noted in Section 2. This document applies to the APTA coupler head. For CEM and nonstandard couplers, refer to OEM for reconditioning practices.

This document represents a common viewpoint of those parties concerned with its provisions, namely transit operating/planning agencies, manufacturers, consultants, engineers, and general interest groups. The application of any recommended practices or guidelines contained herein is voluntary. APTA standards are mandatory to the extent incorporated by an applicable statute or regulation. In some cases, federal and/or state regulations govern portions of a transit system's operations. In cases where this is a conflict or contradiction between an applicable law or regulation and this document, consult with a legal advisor to determine which document takes precedence.

© 2020 The American Public Transportation Association (APTA). No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without prior written permission of APTA.

Table of Contents

Participants	iv
Introduction	vi
1 Controlo	4
1 1 Draft gear followers	I 1
1.1 Drait gear followers 1.2 Knuckle pivot pips	1 1
1.3 Coupler bodies and vokes	
1 4 Non-reconditioned parts	1
1.5 Rotary locklift	
2. Classification procedure	1 د
2.1 Faits inspections	
2.2 Gauge drawings	2
3. Secondhand acceptance requirements	2
3.1 Parts and assemblies	
3.2 Dry lubricant	
3.3 Debris	2
3.4 Surface upset	2
4. Reconditioning procedures	
4.1 Coupler	
4.2 Yokes and radial connections	9
4.3 Coupler and yoke bushings	9
5. Welding	9
6. Heat treatment	9
7. Reconditioned acceptance requirements	
7.1 Evaluation	
7.2 Painting	9
7.3 Dry lubricant	
7.4 Service defects	
7.5 Surface upset	
7.6 Process	
7.7 Identification	
7.8 Inspection and maintenance	
8. Storage	11
Related APTA standards	
References	
Definitions	
Abbreviations and acronyms	
Summary of document changes	
Document history	

List of Figures and Tables

Figure 1	Acceptable Surface Finishes	3
Figure 2	Shank Distortion Reconditioning Gage No. 34105	4
Figure 3	Aligning Wing Reconditioning Gage No. 34101-1	5
Figure 4	Aligning Wing Reconditioning Gage No. 34101-2	5
Figure 5	Aligning Wing Reconditioning Gage No. 34102	5
Figure 6	Suggested Setup for Straightening Guard Arm	6
Figure 7	Aligning Wing Reconditioning Gage No. 34101-3A	6
Figure 8	Vertical Height Aligning Wing Pocket Guard Arm Gage No. 34101-4	7
Figure 9	Aligning Wing Pocket and Guard Arm Aligning Surfaces Reconditioning Gage No. 40097	8
Figure 10	O Marking	8
Figure 11	Hardness Reading Locations	9
Table 1	Acceptable Hardness Ranges	9
Figure 12	2 Contour Maintenance Gage No. 31000	10
Figure 13	3 Aligning Wing Limit Gage No. 32600	10
Figure 14	4 Contour Condemning Limit Gage No. 34100-1	11
Figure 1	5 Knuckle Nose Wear and Stretch Limit Gage No. 34100-2A	11
-		



Participants

The American Public Transportation Association greatly appreciates the contributions of the **Coupler Sub-Working Group of the PRESS Mechanical Working Group**, which provided the primary effort in the drafting of this document.

At the time this recommended practice was completed, the sub-working group included the following members:

Scott Kramer, Arcosa, Sub-Working Group Lead

Rick Askey, Greenbrier Rail Services B.A. "Brad" Black, Virginkar & Associates Michael Burshtin, Amtrak Joshua Coran, Talgo Richard Curtis, Curtis Engineering Consulting Svc. Gary Fairbanks, Federal Railroad Administration Joe Gagliardino, Arcosa James Herzog, LTK Engineering Services Paul Jamieson, SNC-Lavalin Rail & Transit Ken Johnson, Greenbrier Rail Services Daniel Luskin, Amtrak Francesco Maldari, MTA Long Island Rail Road Joe Patterson, Amsted Rail John Pearson, LTK Engineering Services Brian Pitcavage, LTK Engineering Services Jonathan Sunde, Strato Jeff Thompson, SEPTA Matthew Todt, Amsted Rail Kristian Williams, Amtrak Reggie Wingate, Knorr Brake

At the time this recommended practice was updated, the PRESS Mechanical Working Group included the following members:

David Warner, SEPTA, *Chair* Rudy Vazquez, Amtrak, *Vice Chair* Paul Jamieson, SNC-Lavalin Rail & Transit, *Secretary*

Mohamed Alimirah. Metra Carl Atencio, Denver Transit Operators Frank Banko, WSP USA Michael Barnes, Jacobs David Bennett, Capital Metro Jonathan Bernat. New York Air Brake Allen Bieber, ACB RailTech Services B.A. "Brad" Black, Virginkar & Associates Stephen Bonina, WSP USA Glenn Brandimarte, ORX Rail Tony Brown, MTA of Harris County Richard Bruss, *retired* Michael Burshtin. Amtrak Greg Buzby, SEPTA Elvin Calderon, Denver Transit Operators Dennis Cabigting, STV Paul Callaghan, Transport Canada

Gordon Campbell, Crosslinx Transit Solutions Kevin Carmody, STV David Carter, New Jersey Transit Steve Cavanaugh, *Metrolinx (GO Transit)* Steve Chrismer. Amtrak Dion Church. SNC Lavalin Rail & Transit John Condrasky, *Wabtec* Joshua Coran, Talgo Michael Craft, Amtrak Brian Creely, Siemens Mobility Brendan Crowley, New York Air Brake Ryan Crowley, SNC-Lavalin Rail & Transit Richard Curtis, Curtis Engineering Consulting Svc. Steven Dedmon, Standard Steel Joe Di Liello, VIA Rail Canada David Diaz, LTK Engineering Services Matthew Dick, ENSCO Rail

Adam Eby, Amtrak Phillippe Etchessahar, Alstom Transport Gary Fairbanks, Federal Railroad Administration Robert Festa, MTA Long Island Rail Road Steve Finegan, SNC-Lavalin Rail & Transit Gavin Fraser. Jacobs Francesco Fumarola, Alstom Transport Edward Gacsi, New Jersey Transit Joe Gagliardino, Arcosa Sebastien Geraud, Alstom Transport Jeffrey Gordon, Federal Railroad Administration Guillaume Ham-Livet, Alstom Transport Eric Harden, New York Air Brake Nick Harris, *LTK Engineering Services* Jasen Haskins. SNC-Lavalin Rail & Transit Elizabeth Hensley, Wabtec James Herzog, LTK Engineering Services Kenneth Hesser, LTK Engineering Services Lew Hoens, MTA Metro-North Railroad Christopher Holliday, STV Gregory Holt, Penn Machine George Hud, LTK Engineering Services John Janiszewski, LTK Engineering Services Lucas Johnson, TriMet MaryClara Jones, Transportation Technology Center Robert Jones, Stadler Rail Group Larry Kelterborn, *LDK Advisory* Joseph Kenas, Bombardier Transportation Peter Klauser, Vehicle Dynamics Heinz-Peter Kotz, Siemens Mobility Scott Kramer, Arcosa Tammy Krause, SNC-Lavalin Rail & Transit Pallavi Lal, LTK Engineering Services Peter Lapré, Federal Railroad Administration Nicolas Lessard. Bombardier Transportation Cameron Lonsdale, Standard Steel Daniel Luskin, Amtrak Chris Madden, Amtrak Francesco Maldari, MTA Long Island Rail Road Brian Marquis, Volpe Center Eloy Martinez, LTK Engineering Services Francis Mascarenhas, METRA Raynald Masse, Reseau de Transport Métropolitain Robert May, LTK Engineering Services Ronald Mayville, Simpson Gumpertz & Heger Richard Mazur, Wabtec Patrick McCunney, SNC-Lavalin Rail & Transit Gerard McIntyre, Knorr Brake Co. Bryan McLaughlin, New York Air Brake

William Minnick, Omni Strategy Luke Morscheck, LTK Engineering Services Karl Mullinix, Knorr Brake Joshua Munoz, LTK Engineering Services Paul O'Brien, Transit District of Utah Chase Patterson, Voith Turbo Joe Patterson, Amsted Rail John Pearson, LTK Engineering Services Martin Petzoldt, Railroad Friction Products James Pilch. Standard Steel Ian Pirie, STV Brian Pitcavage, LTK Engineering Services Peter Reumueller, Siemens Mobility Danial Rice, Wabtec Steven Roman, LTK Engineering Services Carol Rose, STV Thomas Rusin, Rusin Consulting Thomas Rutkowski, Virgins Trains Mehrdad Samani, Jacobs Gerhard Schmidt, Siemens Mobility Martin Schroeder, Jacobs Richard Seaton, TDG Transit Design Group Frederic Setan, Alstom Transport Patrick Sheeran, LTK Engineering Services Melissa Shurland, Federal Railroad Administration David Skillman, Amtrak Benjamin Spears, LTK Engineering Services Rick Spencer, Knorr Brake Rex Springston, AECOM Mark Stewart, SNC-Lavalin Rail & Transit Jonathan Sunde, Strato Lukasz Szymsiak, VIA Rail Canada Ali Tajaddini, Federal Railroad Administration Jeff Thompson, SEPTA Matthew Todt, Amsted Rail Anthony Ursone, UTC/Rail & Airsources Frank Ursone, UTC/Rail & Airsources Michael Von Lange, UTC/Rail & Airsources Gary Wagner, Amsted Rail Michael Wetherell, McKissack & McKissack Brian Whitten, SNC-Lavalin Rail & Transit Kristian Williams, Amtrak Todd Williams, Penn Machine Nicholas Wilson, Transportation Technology Center Tim Wineke, Knorr Brake Reggie Wingate, Knorr Brake Aleksey Yelesin, Amtrak Gregory Yovich, NICTD Steve Zuiderveen. Federal Railroad Administration

Project team

Nathan Leventon, American Public Transportation Association Narayana Sundaram, American Public Transportation Association

Introduction

This introduction is not part of APTA PR-M-RP-004-98, Rev. 2, "Secondhand and Reconditioned Type H Tightlock Coupler Systems" (formerly titled "Secondhand and Reconditioned Type H Tightlock Couplers").

This recommended practice applies to all:

- 1. Railroads that operate intercity or commuter passenger train service on the general railroad system of transportation; and
- 2. Railroads that provide commuter or other short-haul rail passenger train service in a metropolitan or suburban area, including public authorities operating passenger train service.

This recommended practice does not apply to:

- 1. Rapid transit operations in an urban area that are not connected to the general railroad system of transportation;
- 2. Tourist, scenic, historic, or excursion operations, whether on or off the general railroad system of transportation;
- 3. Operation of private cars, including business/office cars and circus trains unless otherwise required by other standards or regulations; or
- 4. Railroads that operate only on track inside an installation that is not part of the general railroad system of transportation.

The passenger rail industry phased this recommended practice into practice over the six-month period from July 1 to Dec. 31, 1999. The recommended practice took effect Jan. 1, 2000.

Secondhand and Reconditioned Type H Tightlock Coupler Systems

1. Controls

For purposes of this specification, the following controls shall apply.

1.1 Draft gear followers

Draft gear followers shall be reconditioned in accordance with AAR Specification M-212.

1.2 Knuckle pivot pins

Knuckle pivot pins may be reused if they meet the requirements outlined in AAR Specification M-212, current issue.

1.3 Coupler bodies and yokes

Only coupler bodies and yokes shall be reconditioned in accordance with this specification, Sections 4.1 and 4.2. All crash energy management (CEM) system coupler heads must be returned to the original equipment manufacturer for reconditioning.

1.4 Non-reconditioned parts

The following parts must not be reconditioned:

- a. Coupler knuckles and locks
- b. Knuckle throwers
- c. Coupler shank bushings
- d. Knuckle pivot support pins
- e. Rotary locklift assemblies
- f. Connecting pins
- g. Radial connection seats
- h. Coupler shank pin retaining keys
- i. Yoke pins
- j. Radial connection bushings

1.5 Rotary locklift

Rotary locklift assemblies must not be used secondhand.

2. Classification procedure

AAR Type T Tightlock couplers, parts and yokes must not be classified for further service.

Parts or assemblies classified as proprietary are suitable for secondhand classification or reconditioning after consulting with the manufacturer to determine conformance with this specification.

The results of inspection and gaging will determine if a part or assembly is suitable for secondhand classification or for reconditioning.

2.1 Parts inspections

Parts to be classified must be disassembled for inspection.

Parts must be clean and free of dirt, paint, rust and scale, which may interfere with gaging and inspection.

Parts must be visually inspected for cracks, fractures, sections broken out, worn surfaces, distortion, surface upset and service notches.

2.2 Gauge drawings

The drawings of the various gages listed in this specification may be purchased from the Mechanical Committee of Standard Coupler Manufacturers (MCSCM). Gages can be purchased from manufacturers approved by the MCSCM.

3. Secondhand acceptance requirements

3.1 Parts and assemblies

Parts and assemblies must be evaluated in accordance with the procedures in Section 2 and meet the requirements of APTA PR-M-RP-002-98, latest revision, "Inspection and Maintenance of Type H Tightlock Coupler Systems." Parts or assemblies must not be painted.

3.2 Dry lubricant

Only dry lubricant shall be applied to the coupler head or the coupler head fittings. This lubricant may be applied using a water, alcohol or other non-petroleum-based carrier.

3.3 Debris

Part or assembly must be free of cracks, fractures, sections broken out and service notches.

3.4 Surface upset

Surface upset must be ground and blended to the surrounding surfaces. This ground area must be free of cracks.

4. Reconditioning procedures

4.1 Coupler

4.1.1 Coupler body

Acceptable surface finishes on certain faces of the coupler body are detailed in **Figure 1**. Cross-hatched areas of coupler head must remain machine-finished. Hatched areas can be rebuilt by weld and blended with hand grinding per Section 5. Bushings must be replaced if the complete coupler body is heat-treated.



4.1.1.1 Shank wear against carrier

Coupler shanks that are worn to a depth not exceeding $\frac{1}{4}$ in. (0.63 cm) into the bottom wall, due to contact with the carrier, may be restored by machine-finishing the worn surface to a depth of $\frac{1}{4}$ in. (0.63 cm). A steel wear plate, in accordance with AAR Standard S-137, shall then be welded in place. Couplers worn in excess of $\frac{1}{4}$ in. (0.63 cm) in this location must *not* be reconditioned. Coupler shank wear plates that are loose, missing or worn in excess of $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (0.32 cm) must be replaced.

4.1.1.2 Bent shank

Couplers with shanks bent in any direction out of alignment with the front face of the coupler head, as typically illustrated in **Figure 2**, may be reconditioned, provided that the following conditions are met:

- No cracks have developed as a result of bending.
- The amount of bend, measured as shown in **Figure 2** using gage No. 34105, does not exceed 1 in. per foot of shank length, measured from front face to pivot point of shank.
- Top wall is not buckled.

To satisfactorily perform the straightening operation, except in cases where there is only a slight amount of bend, the shank shall be heated in the locality of the bend. The amount of heat necessary may vary according to the degree of bend, but in most cases, temperatures from 1500 to 1600 $^{\circ}$ F (816 to 871 $^{\circ}$ C) will be required. The heat should be applied on the side toward the bend (concave) side. The straightening pressure should be applied through a heavy plate placed on the shank to distribute the load and prevent indentation.

FIGURE 2

Shank Distortion Reconditioning Gage No. 34105



After straightening, a careful examination should be made to make certain no cracks have resulted from this operation. When shanks are bent near the horn, extreme care must be exercised to avoid distortion of the head during the straightening operation. In all cases where shanks have been heated to straighten, the coupler body shall be heat-treated in accordance with Section 6. Couplers with shanks bent in excess of 1 in. per foot in any direction out of line with the front face of the head, or that have developed cracks as a result of bending or straightening, shall not be reconditioned. After completion of the straightening operation, the alignment of the shank should be checked using gage No. 34105 (**Figure 2**).

4.1.1.3 Aligning wing pocket distorted

Couplers with distorted aligning wing pockets may be restored to normal by locally heating the distorted area and straightening under a press, or by other suitable means. The amount of heat necessary may vary according to the degree of distortion, but in most cases temperatures of 1500 to 1600 °F (816 to 871 °C) will be required. Care should be exercised to confine the heat as much as possible to the pocket proper in order to prevent distortion of the coupler head during the straightening operation. The coupler body is then to be heat-treated in accordance with Section 6.

Gage No. 34101-1, shown in **Figure 3**, and gage No. 34101-2, shown in **Figure 4**, should be used during the straightening operation. The completed work shall then be checked and must meet the requirements of gage No. 34102, shown in **Figure 5**.



4.1.1.4 Aligning wing pocket cracked

Couplers with cracks in the aligning wing pocket may be reconditioned by welding, in accordance with Section 5, provided that any such cracks do not extend into the coupler head proper. Couplers having cracks in the aligning wing pocket extending into the coupler head proper, or with the aligning wing pocket broken off, shall not be reconditioned.

4.1.1.5 Guard arm distortion and cracks

Couplers with cracks in the guard arm may be reconditioned by welding, in accordance with Section 5, provided that any such cracks do not extend into the coupler head proper. Couplers having cracks in the guard arm extending into the coupler head proper, or with the guard arm broken off, shall not be reconditioned. Couplers having the guard arm distorted may be restored to normal, either in a press or under light blows of a power hammer. A suggested setup for performing this operation is illustrated in **Figure 6**.

In preparation for straightening, the outside of the guard arm in the zone approximating the center should first be heated to approximately 1500 to 1600 °F (816 to 871 °C). The straightening force should be applied to the forward portion of the guard arm through a heavy steel plate formed to the outer contour of the guard arm in this vicinity to distribute the load and avoid possible indentation. Extreme care must be exercised to avoid distortion of the coupler head during the straightening operation. Gage No. 34101-3A, **Figure 7**, should be used during the straightening operation to determine when restoration is completed.



In some cases, vertical distortion of the guard arm has occurred, presumably caused by severe impact on the outer end. This results in increasing the distance between the top and bottom vertical interlocking faces on the guard arm and thus prevents coupling with another Tightlock coupler. This condition can be corrected by pressing after heating to 1500 to 1600 °F (816 to 871 °C). Gage No. 34101-4, shown in **Figure 8**, is provided for use to detect such distortion during the pressing operation. An examination shall be made after straightening to make certain no cracks have developed as a result of this operation. The coupler body is then to be heat-treated in accordance with Section 6. After heat treatment, a final check of the coupler should be made using gage No. 34102, **Figure 5**.

MUST PASS MUST PASS FRONT ELEVATION ELEVATION-KNUCKLE SIDE GUARD ARM **GAGE MUST PASS BETWEEN** GAGE MUST PASS OVER THE THE TOP AND BOTTOM **TOP AND BOTTOM SURFACES** SURFACES OF THE ALIGNING **OF THE GUARD ARM** WING POCKET

FIGURE 8

Vertical Height Aligning Wing Pocket Guard Arm Gage No. 34101-4

4.1.1.6 Wear on aligning surfaces

Coupler aligning wing pockets and guard arms with worn aligning surface may be reconditioned by welding. Gage No. 40097, shown in **Figure 9**, shall be used during buildup of weld and after being ground smooth; the completed work shall meet the gage requirements. Care shall be taken to blend the built-up area into the existing sloping surface of the guard arm nose, which shall be maintained. The vicinity of the welded area shall then be stress relieved by heating locally to a temperature between 750 and 930 °F (399 and 499 °C) and allowed to cool slowly in still air.

APTA PR-M-RP-004-98, Rev. 2

Secondhand and Reconditioned Type H Tightlock Coupler Systems

FIGURE 9

Aligning Wing Pocket and Guard Arm Aligning Surfaces Reconditioning Gage No. 40097



4.1.1.7 Lock seated

All reconditioned Tightlock couplers should have the locks seated not more than $\frac{1}{8}$ in. (0.32 cm) above the knuckle tail shelf, but preferably on the shelf.

4.1.1.8 Identifying reconditioned couplers

All couplers reconditioned must be identified by stamped markings in accordance with Figure 10.



4.2 Yokes and radial connections

Yokes and radial connections must not be reconditioned except for replacement of bushings.

4.3 Coupler and yoke bushings

When bushings are loose or worn excessively, they will be replaced. Replace bushings that are loose or worn more than halfway through their wall sections. For replacement bushings, see AAR Standard S-100.

5. Welding

See AAR Specification M-212 for welding instruction. Welding on knuckles and coupler components is prohibited entirely.

6. Heat treatment

Heat treatment is required after weld repair and heat straightening (hot mechanical straightening). See AAR Specification M-212 for heat treatment instructions.

7. Reconditioned acceptance requirements

Hardness readings should be taken after heat treatment at the locations indicated in **Figure 11**. See **Table 1** for acceptable hardness ranges. A minimum of two coupler bodies shall be tested per heat treatment load.



TABLE 1				
Acceptable Hardness Ranges				

Steel Grade	Hardness Range (BHN)		
Grade C	179–241		
Grade E	241–311		

7.1 Evaluation

Part must be evaluated in accordance with the procedure in Section 2 of this specification.

7.2 Painting

Part or assembly must not be painted after reconditioning.

7.3 Dry lubricant

Only dry lubricant shall be applied to the coupler head or the coupler head fittings. This lubricant may be applied using a water, alcohol or other non-petroleum-based carrier.

7.4 Service defects

Part or assembly must be free of cracks, fractures, sections broken out and service notches.

7.5 Surface upset

Surface upset must be ground and blended to the surrounding surfaces. This ground area must be free of cracks.

7.6 Process

Process procedure must be in accordance with Section 4.

7.7 Identification

The part or assembly classified as reconditioned must have, by the required methods, identification of the remanufacturing facility doing the reconditioning and its location. Records of repairs can be made available at the request of the purchaser. These records may include which gages failed prior to repair, materials/processes used in the repairs, and gages used to requalify the coupler.

7.8 Inspection and maintenance

In addition to the aforementioned requirements, all reconditioned parts must meet requirements of APTA PR-M-RP-002-98, latest revision, "Inspection and Maintenance of Type H Tightlock Coupler Systems," including all field maintenance gages. Further details of gage application including inquiries regarding the purchase of maintenance gages can be also found in APTA PR-M-RP-002-98, latest revision. **Figure 12**, **Figure 13**, **Figure 14** and **Figure 15** are included for reference.



FIGURE 13 Aligning Wing Limit Gage No. 32600



FIGURE 14 **FIGURE 15** Contour Condemning Limit Gage No. 34100-1 Knuckle Nose Wear and Stretch Limit Gage No. 34100-2A POINT "D" MUST NOT TOUCH OR CLEAR MORE THAN 1/4" WITH A,B,&C SEATED. A ₩₽S MAX WEAR AND 4 STRETCH 0 PLUS NOTE: FORMER DESIGN GAGE NO. 34100-2 MAY BE USED WITH CARE. MUST NOT PASS

8. Storage

See Section 5.12 of APTA PR-M-RP-003-98, latest revision, "Purchase and Acceptance of Type H Tightlock Coupler Systems."

Related APTA standards

APTA PR-M-RP-002-98, "Inspection and Maintenance of Type H Tightlock Coupler Systems" **APTA PR-M-RP-003-98,** "Purchase and Acceptance of Type H Tightlock Coupler Systems"

References

This standard shall be used in conjunction with the following publications. When the following standards are superseded by an approved revision, the revision shall apply.

Association of American Railroads:

AAR Specification M-212, Couplers and Yokes, Secondhand—Classification and Reconditioning Procedure. Sections 5.1 and 5.2

AAR Standard S-100, Bushings, Seamless Steel Tube—Coupler Shanks and Yokes

AAR Standard S-137, Coupler Shank Wear Plates and Application

Definitions

For purposes of this specification, the following definitions shall apply:

secondhand: Any used component or assembly, meeting the requirements of this specification, that can be reused without reconditioning, except where noted.

reconditioned: Any component that has been rebuilt and/or heat-treated in accordance with this specification.

new: Any component not previously used that meets the requirements of APTA PR-M-RP-003-98, "Purchase and Acceptance of Type H Tightlock Coupler Systems," which may be used in assemblies defined by these specifications.

proprietary: Any component having an identification number other than current APTA or former AAR catalog numbers.

Abbreviations and acronyms

- **AAR** Association of American Railroads
- **BHN** Brinell hardness number
- **CEM** crash energy management
- MCSCM Mechanical Committee of Standard Coupler Manufacturers
- **NATSA** North American Transportation Services Association
- **OEM** original equipment manufacturer

Summary of document changes

- Title changed to "Secondhand and Reconditioned Type H Tightlock Coupler Systems"
- Document formatted to the new APTA standard format.
- Sections have been moved and renumbered.
- Scope and summary moved to the front page.
- Definitions, abbreviations and acronyms moved to the rear of the document.
- Two new sections added: "Summary of document changes" and "Document history."
- Some global changes to section headings and numberings resulted when sections dealing with references and acronyms were moved to the end of the document, along with other changes, such as capitalization, punctuation, spelling, grammar and general flow of text.

APTA PR-M-RP-004-98, Rev. 2

Secondhand and Reconditioned Type H Tightlock Coupler Systems

- Participants updated.
- Figures moved from the end of the document and placed into document body near first reference to each figure. Gage descriptions and figures revised to clarify usage and application procedures.
- Scope and purpose: Historical industry adoption dates moved to "Introduction."
- Scope and purpose: Added "This recommended practice applies to the APTA coupler head. For CEM and nonstandard couplers, refer to OEM for reconditioning practices."
- Section 1.1: Removed section reference.
- Section 2: Added "including CEM style" to first paragraph.
- Section 2.2: Title changed to gage drawings. Text revised to indicate that gage drawings may be purchased from the Mechanical Committee of Standard Coupler Manufacturers for a nominal fee.
- Section 3.2: Added graphite as an acceptable dry lubricant.
- Section 4.1.1: Added new text and **Figure 1** detailing machined surfaces and weld-permissible surfaces.
- Section 4.1.1.1: Added wear plate language.
- Section 4.2: Title changed to "Yokes and radial connections."
- Section 5: Added "Hardness reading should be taken at the locations indicated in Figure 11." Added Figure 11, "Hardness Reading Locations." Added Table 1, "Acceptable Hardness Ranges."
- Sections 5 and 6: Added "Surface welding is prohibited."
- Section 7.3: Added graphite as an acceptable dry lubricant.
- References: Removed AAR M-206 and added AAR S-137.

Document history

Document Version	Working Group Vote	Public Comment/ Technical Oversight	Rail CEO Approval	Policy & Planning Approval	Publish Date
First published	Jan. 22, 1998	—	—	—	March 17, 1999
First revision	—	—	—	—	Feb. 13, 2004
Second revision	Mar. 30, 2020	Jun. 8, 2020	Jun. 22, 2020	Aug. 31, 2020	Sept. 17, 2020

This document was retitled to its current title from "Secondhand and Reconditioned Type H Tightlock Couplers" as part of Rev. 2. For all previous publications of this document prior to Rev. 2, unless otherwise indicated, this document was titled "Secondhand and Reconditioned Type H Tightlock Couplers."