

Outcome Based Procurement – aligning incentives & innovation

Ben Whitaker, Founder and Head of Innovation, Masabi



- Masabi works with over 30 transit operators around the world
- Major agencies such as New York MTA, Los Angeles and more.
- More than \$0.6bn in fares sold per year
- Saved agencies over \$100 million VS smartcard alternatives
- Most widely deployed digital ticketing provider
- From signature to launch in as little as 23 days



Spend Less Money



on Technology

and Ticketing!



Transit Issued Media:



Bring Your Own Media:

Mobile





Print your own & retailer print





Emv bank cards



-> usually retailed through transit infrastructure

ID cards from other issuers (student/corporate/senior etc)





-> can be sold without physical trans

Fare Collection – two major activities

1: Sell & Issue Media:



Physical infrastructure: Sales Windows, TVM's, on-bus Fareboxes

Custom Physical media: Smartcards + Mag Stripe



2: Inspect & Validate:



Validation locations: Handheld, on bus, fare gates

Fare Collection – two major activities

1: BYOT sales via cloud



Dematerialized Sales:

Mobile, Web (concession) self-print, contactless payment cards, id cards

Cash Riders:

Barcode on receipt paper from convenience stores (prepay or ABT stored value)

2: Inspect & Validate:



Validation locations: Handheld, on bus, fare gates [has to be multi-format]





















Expected adoption after 2 years: ~5%

TA



 Expected adoption after 2 years: ~5%
 Actual adoption after 1 year: 20% (8x faster adoption)

masabi



Olivia Lin @olivialinyc

just bought LIRR ticket via mta etix app instead of running like crazy to penn station, rushing, sweating, battling people. it's a new era

Follow

V



8:01 PM - 4 Aug 2017



The @LIRR MTA eTix app is a lifesaver!



Follow

 \sim

4:21 AM - 26 Aug 2017 from Queens, NY







1

Nicole Castro

#MTAetix you saved the day! When your workout is running for a train with a pounding headache... #nextstop #tequila

Follow



7:37 PM - 15 Oct 2017 from Queens, NY



Procurements



Technology or Outcomes



Accurately predict the future and micromanage a vendor towards it

Or

Reward desired outcome



RFP Pages proportional to Cost ?











Expressing An Outcome



Primary Need:

"I need to get from home to work"

(solutionneutral) Solution Want:

"I want a car"

(states a preferred solution) Procurement based on Wants:

- Vehicle spec:
 - Lease/buy
 - Cupholders
 - Engine size
- Trim
- Colour
- Insurance
- Driving training
- Maintenance
- Refuelling
- Parking in town
- Parking at home

Outcome based Procurement:

"I will pay to arrive safely and happily at work."



Q: if a car turns out to be the wrong solution because cars are banned from the city next year – who picks up the tab for making the wrong solution choice?



Outcome-Based Advantages

- Innovation happens when people are given problems to solve
 Especially when they have financial incentive to beat expectations.
- Long compliance tables guarantee some custom development
 - ...which is always expensive.



- 80:20 rule
 - Why spend 80% of budget on the lowest value 20%?

Example

- Boston MBTA mTicketing RFP was 2 pages long
 "We want to sell tickets reliably, without up-front cost"
- Saved \$73m compared to CharlieCard expansion
 Aligned incentives through revenue share.
- Delivered in 8 months
 Would take under 1 month today: off-the-shelf.
- After 5 years, 60+% of tickets are mobile.







Get in touch: twitter @benmasabi www.masabi.com +447788895894