# Louisiana Public Transit Conference Mobility Management



November 21, 2019





## **Core Mobility Management Principles**







## **Strategic Approach**







## **Basic Process for Integrating Mobility Options**















## **Continuum of Mobility Services**

• Carpool

• Vanpool

- Vehicle Rental
- Paratransit
- Pedicabs
- Public Transit
- Shuttles
- Taxis

- Bikesharing
- Car Sharing
- Company Shuttles
- Microtransit
- P2P Bikesharing
- P2P Vehicle Sharing
- TNC's
- Scooter Sharing













## **Decision Makers & Influencers**

Who is a potential champion?

What decision processes should you be aware of?

### **Other External Influences**

- healthcare needs
- homelessness
- concentrations of target communities
- spatial mismatch between jobs and workers







## **Program Development**















## **Develop Integration Priorities**

**Inclusive** process of identifying and prioritizing projects and programs

- transportation providers, passengers and transit-reliant populations
- key destinations, human service providers, government agencies, employers, businesses

**Prioritization based on clear and transparent data** 













### **Evaluate & Improve**









### **Performance Measures & Metrics**









## **Types of Measures & Metrics**

<b>Process /Input</b> EXAMPLE:	<ul> <li>staff time &amp; financial resources used to establish &amp; market a regional one-call center that can be used to schedule rides</li> </ul>
Output EXAMPLE:	- number of rides scheduled through the one-call center
Outcome EXAMPLE:	- decrease in the # of missed appointments at local heath centers attributable to lack of transportation
Satisfaction EXAMPLE:	- % of riders satisfied with the customer service or overall experience using one-call center
Impact EXAMPLE:	<ul> <li>improvement in the health status of riders accessing information and rides to health care providers through one-call center</li> </ul>











## **Rural Mobility Needs**

### NEMT

NEMT for Medicaid clients continues to be a major element of the need for regional transportation services from rural areas.

### **Employment**

Commuter transportation from rural areas to employment outside the immediate area

### **Education**

Access to regional community colleges, training programs, state colleges and universities.

### **Medical**

In addition to Medicaid, there are other riders who need to access specialized services in regional centers.

### Shopping

A concentration of shopping in bigbox stores replaced many smalltown retail businesses; and now bigbox stores are eliminating smaller rural stores and concentrating operations in regional supercenters.

### Social & Recreational

Loss of intercity service means social and recreational trips need to be addressed by rural regional, including visits to family (for occasions), friends, parks and recreation sites.





## What Happened?

#### Prior 1960's

The need was met by the private forprofit intercity bus companies that cross-subsidized services required under the system of federal and state regulation.

During this period the rural public transportation industry developed

## 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000

**1960's - 1970's** As ridership declined, the bus industry began to reduce rural service **1980's - Today** Intercity bus firms began to abandon unprofitable rural service, following deregulation of the intercity bus industry in 1982.





## **Rural Transit Conundrum**

Intercity bus services provided by the market or funded by Section 5311(f) are often unable to address needs because meaningful connections may not provide schedules useful for regional trips.

Human Service Programs funding or providing local transit say they are unable to address needs.

As a result, the rural transit organizations that developed focused on providing local services, with services not provided outside city or parish boundaries, often with eligibility requirements for potential users.





## **Rural Regional Mobility Defined**



**Rural regional mobility relationships** 

<u>Generally includes transit services that:</u> are scheduled,

- are open to the general public (though they may also carry agency clients),
- operate on longer routes that cross county lines,

connect non-urbanized areas (places under 50,000 population) to each other and to urbanized areas (over 50,000), and

 are scheduled to permit a round-trip within a day, allowing the user to spend several hours at their destination.





## **Rural Transportation Service Elements**

	Intercity Bus Service	On-Demand	Regional Bus Services	Other Essential Regional Services
Description	Intercity bus service connects rural communities to the National Intercity bus network for travel to more distant points.	where distances and frequency will not support fixed routes	Routes on regional bus corridors have moderate frequency (often several trips in each direction per day), and operate at least every weekday if not every day of the week.	Primarily operating on a fixed route and fixed schedule for traveling from rural to urban areas, these have flexible routing at the end.
Funding Source	Fares, 5311(f)	5311, 5311(f), Fares, Private, Public	5311, Fares	5311, Medicaid, Human Services
Operator	Private	Public agency/transit or private	Public agency/transit providers, could also be private for-profit or non- profit	
Example	Major national intercity carrier, such as Greyhound	Van rides to medical centers and personal business.	Fixed-route services that cross county lines, a route from a small town through three counties to serve commuters, students and medical trips.	Non-emergency medical, Shopping Personal Business





## Service Gap Analysis - Key Findings

- *Funding* needs are growing faster that revenues especially operating.
- Demand for *healthcare access* in the region is increasing.
- Lack of *transportation awareness and information* and referral services.
- Transit access many comments focused on the lack of availability and accessibility.
- **Spatial gaps** areas of our region that are either difficult of impossible to reach by public transportation.
- Long waiting lists for community-based waiver programs limits transportation *program eligibility*.
- **Temporal gaps** points in time that lack service.
- Lack of available, qualified *drivers* limits.
- **Barriers facilitating trips** between the urban and rural ADA paratransit & specialized service providers remain.



(Monday-Friday)





Trip types

Shopping Employment







## **Coordinated Human Services Transportation Model**







## **Addressing Barriers - Coordination**







## **Addressing Barriers - Funding**







## **Addressing Barriers - Awareness**







## **Addressing Barriers - Information**







**State Policies Can Make a Difference** 

**Different organizational approaches can work** 

Local champions are required

The needs of multiple markets should be addressed

An appropriate service design will attract more riders

**Connectivity and information are important** 

**Creative funding may be needed** 





## **3 Rural Regional Transportation Myths**

### **It's Not Allowed**

Federal programs do not restrict services to particular jurisdictions. There is generally no state funding or administrative restriction preventing transit operators from creating regional routes. Any restriction on implementing regional services is likely to be locally imposed.

### **There's No Funding**

Regional services can be operated using a variety of funding sources. These include the expected FTA Section 5311 rural funds, Section 5311(f) rural intercity funding, Section 5311(f) in-kind match from connecting unsubsidized intercity services, federal Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality funding, economic development funding, energy funding, tribal transit funding, state funding for match, state incentive funding for regional services, funding for Medicaid non-emergency transportation, and other local sponsors.

### **It's Not Productive**

In most cases, rural regional routes had acceptable ridership to start and have seen increasing demand over time. In some cases fine-tuning of schedules and routes has resulted in improved ridership.





### **Sources**

#### The following information was used to develop this presentation:

Alexander, Karen, Jon Carnegie, and James McLary. Advancing Mobility Management. New Brunswick: National Transit Institute, 2018. Print.

Best Practices in Rural Regional Mobility. Bethesda: KFH Group, Incorporated, 2017. Print.

Central Louisiana Coordinated Human Services Transportation Plan. Alexandria: Rapides Area Planning Commission, 2018. Print.





# Thank You

**Questions?** 

### **Jonathan Bolen**

**Special Projects Planner** 

jbolen@rapc.info 318-487-5401, ext. 12

www.rapc.info



