

# FEDERAL PROCEDURES AND REGULATIONS and FUNDING, FINANCE, AND TAX POLICY LEGISLATIVE SUBCOMMITTEES

Sunday, June 23, 2019 8:30 a.m. to 9:45 a.m. (Eastern Daylight Time)

Sheraton Centre Toronto
City Hall, Second Floor, Sheraton Centre Hotel

1. Introductory Remarks

Christopher P. Boylan, GCA of NY, FP & R Subcommittee Chair Richard J. Bacigalupo, Cardinal Infrastructure, FP & R Subcommittee Vice-Chair Martin B. Sennett, Lafayette CityBus, FP & R Subcommittee Vice-Chair Ron Epstein, NYSDOT, FF & T Subcommittee Chair Michael McLaughlin, DRPT, FF & T Subcommittee Vice-Chair Sharon Greene, InfraStrategies, FF & T Subcommittee Vice-Chair

- 2. APTA Surface Transportation Authorization Recommendations Discussion Report of Steering Committee Action
- 3. Federal Regulatory Update Linda Ford, General Counsel, APTA
- 4. FTA Update

  Robert Tucillo, Associate Administrator for Budget and Policy, FTA
- 5. S. 436, H.R. 1139, Transit Worker and Pedestrian Protection Act (Sen. Van Hollen (D-MD); Rep. Napolitano (D-CA) and Rep. Katko (R-NY))
- 6. H.R. 2864, the Rebuild America Act of 2019 (Rep. Earl Blumenauer (D-OR))



# ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS

- APTA Comments on Federal Register Notice of Review of Guidance
- Transit Worker and Pedestrian Protection Act Summary
- Rebuild America Act of 2019 Summary



May 7, 2019

Department of Transportation
Docket Operations
M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140
1200 New Jersey Avenue S.E.
Washington, DC 20590

RE: OST-2017-0069

Dear Docket Clerk:

On behalf of the more than 1,500-member organizations of the American Public Transportation Association (APTA), I write to provide comments on the Office of the Secretary's Notice of Review of Guidance published on February 5, 2019 at 84 FR 1820.

#### **About APTA**

APTA is a nonprofit international association of 1,500 public and private sector organizations representing a \$71 billion-dollar industry that directly employs 430,000 people and supports millions of private sector jobs. APTA's member organizations include public transit systems; high-speed intercity passenger rail agencies; planning, design, construction and finance firms; product and service providers; academic institutions; and state associations and departments of transportation.

#### **General Comments**

APTA is grateful for the opportunity to provide this input and it has surveyed its members to develop the following comments regarding Department of Transportation's (DOT) guidance, policy, and other documents. Much like the comments we provided in response to DOT's call for regulatory reform proposals (see Appendix A), we hope the Department will give these recommendations due consideration. We also call upon DOT to regularly update APTA and other organizations who have taken the time to develop these recommendations and would like to know DOT's timeline for making decisions regarding them.

#### **EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

CHAIR David M. Stackrow, Sr.

VICE CHAIR
Nuria I. Fernandez

SECRETARY-TREASURER Freddie C. Fuller II

IMMEDIATE PAST CHAIR Nathaniel P. Ford, Sr.

Doug Allen Dorval R. Carter, Jr. Francis "Buddy" Coleman David A. Genova Huelon A. Harrison Carol Herrera Kevin J. Holzendorf Karen H. King Jeanne Krieg Thomas C. Lambert Adelee Marie Le Grand Jack Martinson Diana C. Mendes **Brad Miller Greg Percy** Allan Pollock Leanne P. Redden William T. Thomsen Jeffrey Wharton

# PRESIDENT AND CEO

Paul P. Skoutelas

# **Buy America Waiver Process**

The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) should simplify, streamline and expedite the current Buy America (BA) waiver/approval process for purchase of rolling stock. Every transit system procures rolling stock and must replace it once it has reached the end of its useful life. Many transit systems also run vanpool programs, which requires the purchase of dozens of minivans every year. Unfortunately, when soliciting bids under the federal guidelines, no bids are received because no vendor can meet the BA domestic content and final assembly requirements. When a transit system recently applied for a "domestic content" waiver for minivan purchases in 2016, it took two years to obtain the waiver. This resulted in the transit system purchasing its vans at a higher price, since the first two years of contract pricing expired, and (higher) year three pricing had to be used to purchase minivans. Furthermore, FTA also denied the transit system's request to extend the expiration date of that contract, which was a reasonable request given the two-year delay in the start date. Instead, FTA directed the transit system to resolicit bids for the same vehicle under a new solicitation, which forced the transit system to issue and award a new contract before the waiver expired.

#### APTA recommends that FTA:

- Limit the duration of a waiver request process;
- Provide a defined list of application requirements that waiver applicants must submit;
- Create an expedited waiver review process for recurring procurements for which waivers have been already approved by FTA in the past three years; and
- Extend the public interest waiver of the BA domestic content requirement for non-accessible minivans and vans (Docket No. FTA-2016-0025) because the conditions supporting the waiver have not changed.

An improved BA waiver process will benefit the hundreds of transit agencies who buy vans as part of their regular investment in rolling stock. A consistent and transparent process will lead to the more efficient use of taxpayer dollars.

# **NEPA Land Acquisition**

A recent attempt to purchase real estate under Federal requirements failed due to National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requirements. Under the NEPA process, a transit system would need to produce a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) report, and then if contamination is discovered, produce Phase II and III ESAs, and then submit to FTA for its review--a process than can sometimes take a year. This timeline is not realistic in the commercial real estate market. Available commercial/industrial properties are very limited and are purchased very quickly. Thus, it is essentially impossible for a transit system to use federal funds for land acquisition. Compounding the problem—even if a transit agency can utilize non-federal money for a land purchase—without following FTA regulations (FTA C 5010.1E Award Management/Real

OST- 2017-0069 May 7, 2019 Page 3

Property) on the land acquisition itself, the transit agency is disqualified from using federal funds on the subsequent renovation and/or construction on the property.

## APTA recommends that FTA:

- Waive NEPA requirements on land acquisition or alternatively, provide for a streamlined review process for NEPA reports related to the type of transaction;
- If a transit system uses non-federal money to purchase land, and later seeks to build a transit facility on that land, the transit agency should be able to use Federal funds regardless of the timing of the two projects.

APTA believes FTA is unnecessarily delaying public transportation projects under the auspices of ensuring it does not harm the environment. In fact, such delay has the opposite effect—it delays the ability of the transit agency to implement the project and realize those environmental benefits that always come with better transit service.

# **Rolling Stock Spare Ratio**

FTA Circular 5010.1E provides FTA's award management requirements. Chapter IV, section 4(k) of this Circular provides FTA's Rolling Stock Spare Ratio policy. FTA defines spare ratio as the total number of spare vehicles available for fixed route service divided by the total number of fixed route vehicles required for annual maximum service. FTA considers an FTA grantee's spare ratios when reviewing an award for FTA funds that proposes acquiring, replacing, or rebuilding vehicles in the grantees fleet. For rail cars, however, FTA does not require a grantee to have a particular spare ratio, and instead FTA reviews the rationale for a grantee's rail car spare ratio during grantee's triennial review. For buses, FTA mandates that grantees shall not have a spare ratio for buses that exceeds 20 percent if the grantee operates 50 or more fixed route revenue vehicles. FTA does not provide any explanation why rail cars and buses are treated differently other than FTA's s statement that "rail transit operations tend to be highly individualized."

# APTA recommends to FTA:

• To not impose a spare ratio for bus systems because bus operations vary greatly from grantee to grantee.

# **Operating and Capital Contracts**

FTA Circular 4220.1F provides guidance and requirements for a grantee's third-party contracts. As a general matter, the Circular applies to a grantee's contracts that are federally funded only and does not apply to a grantee's contracts that use non-federal funds. FTA, however, does not apply this principle to operating and capital contracts in the same way. For a grantee's operating contracts, FTA applies this principle strictly so that if there are no federal dollars being used in the operating contract, it is not subject to the Circular's requirements. See FTA Circular 4220.1F, Chapter II, Section 2(b)(2). As to capital contracts, FTA does not apply this principle consistently, and, therefore, capital contracts without any federal funding can be subject to the

OST- 2017-0069 May 7, 2019 Page 4

Circular's requirements if the contract is part of a larger project FTA considers to be a federal project. See FTA Circular 4220.1F, Chapter II, Section 2(b)(1).

#### APTA recommends to FTA:

• Treat third-party operating and capital contracts in the same manner by applying the Circular's requirements only if the contract includes federal funding.

#### **Useful Life**

APTA's original equipment manufacturers have concerns regarding the 12-year useful life requirements and early disposal. FTA Circular 5010.1D specifies that FTA is entitled to its share of the remaining federal interest if disposition takes place before the end of a vehicle's useful life. This has become a barrier for advanced technology in public transit because many agencies choose to keep their legacy buses for 12 years before replacing them with cleaner fuel burning buses. This drives up the cost of maintenance and operation.

#### APTA recommends to FTA:

• Eliminate the requirement to pay back the federal interest if, in connection with the early disposition of a bus powered by fossil fuels, an agency replaces the bus with a zero-emission bus.

## **Service Animal Definition**

The DOT's regulation and guidance regarding accessible transportation requires transit agencies to transport service animals. A service animal is defined as "any guide dog, signal dog, or other animal individually trained to work or perform tasks for an individual with a disability, including, but not limited to, guiding individuals with impaired vision, alerting individuals with impaired hearing to intruders or sounds, providing minimal protection or rescue work, pulling a wheelchair, or fetching dropped items." In addition, the Department's guidance found in Appendix D to Part 37 states:

A service animal shall always be permitted to accompany their users in any private or public transportation vehicle or facility. One of the most common misunderstandings about service animals is that they are limited to being guide dogs for persons with visual impairments. Dogs are trained to assist people with a wide variety of disabilities, including individuals with hearing and mobility impairments. Other animals (e.g., monkeys) are sometimes used as service animals as well. In any of these situations, the entity must permit the service animal to accompany its user.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), on the other hand, defines a service animal as: "A service animal is defined as a dog that has been individually trained to do work or perform tasks for an individual with a disability. The task(s) performed by the dog must directly related to the person's disability."

#### APTA recommends that DOT:

• Amend its guidance document to harmonize the definition of service animal to that of the DOJ and limit service animal to a dog trained to assist a person with a disability.

# **Controlled Substance and Alcohol Testing**

FTA issued guidance in the form of frequently asked questions to explain how FTA applies its drug and alcohol testing requirements to drivers for "ride sourcing companies" such as Uber and Lyft. The effect of this guidance is that the FTA drug and alcohol requirements sometimes apply to Uber and Lyft drivers, particularly if a passenger does not choose the provider for a ride. Since ride sourcing companies are very large, national companies, the potential universe of drivers who could be subject to FTA drug and alcohol testing requirements under FTA's guidance is enormous. FTA grantees not be burdened with ensuring that ride sourcing companies appropriately follow FTA's drug and alcohol testing requirements.

## APTA recommends that FTA:

- Require ride sourcing companies to certify directly to FTA their compliance with drug and alcohol testing;
- Alternatively, FTA should re-evaluate whether ride sourcing companies are subject to drug and alcohol testing because drivers are independent contractors.

# **Service and Fare Equity Analysis**

FTA Circular 4702.1B implements USDOT's Title VI regulation (49 CFR Part 21) and creates requirements that go beyond what is required in the USDOT regulation. Among these requirements, this Circular requires a grantee evaluate service and fare changes in accordance with detailed requirements contained in the Circular. A prior version of the Circular (2007) left it to the grantee's discretion how to best evaluate proposed service and fare changes.

## APTA recommends to FTA:

• Reinstitute the practice of allowing grantees to determine how best to evaluate service and fare changes.

## **Transit Asset Management (TAM)**

Asset Management and the State of Good Repair efforts support the regionally congruent goal of providing information regarding overall system performance. This information is critical to understanding how to invest, monitor and improve safety and reduce risks to transit service.

In times of constrained funding and expanding use of transit infrastructure, it is paramount that the industry prioritizes and communicates current and future investment needs. Public transit agencies are the stewards of public assets with the sole purpose of providing safe, reliable and cost-effective transportation. Agencies monitor performance and hold themselves accountable by

OST- 2017-0069 May 7, 2019 Page 6

reporting progress toward operational and asset management goals. These agencies must be able to quantify and articulate the need for maintaining, repairing, reinvesting, renewing, enhancing, replacing and even procuring new assets. Moreover, agencies must be able to position themselves and the transit industry at large to compete on a level playing field with other demands on funding. This asset whole life perspective allows for better decision-making balancing risk, performance and cost. By understanding and prioritizing transit asset needs, APTA believes we inherently improve both the efficacy and efficiencies of transit agencies. Moreover, we can develop a more comprehensive framework for regional transportation investments.

Most agencies support the underlining tenants of asset management practices to run their businesses. In many cases, the asset management group starts by collecting and organizing information that already exists within the agency. The burden versus value of this information is disproportionate, clearly tipping the scales toward adding value. Decisions without information or worse yet, decisions made based on incorrect assumptions, will not lead to better outcomes. The TAM rule provides a solid foundation and drives better decisions and business practices.

Since, the first State of Good Repair Roundtable, FTA developed guidance, software, and training materials to assist transit agencies in their asset management journeys. Agencies can establish physical asset management targets and incorporate them into financial planning by using the FTA TERM Model (TERM-Lite). APTA commends FTA's continued efforts to promote the practice of Asset Management through engagement with the transit community and with industry leaders.

The final TAM rule requires transit properties to annually set targets on four state of good repair performance measures (% of revenue vehicles beyond their useful life, % of non-revenue equipment beyond their useful life, % of track under performance restriction, % facilities in poor condition). Transit properties are required to set targets for the next fiscal year before the current fiscal year ends. For example, if a fiscal year is July – June, a transit property must set FY20 targets prior to June 30, 2019. Typically, the capital program is put together on a different, earlier timeframe. For example, the FY20 capital program was developed in June 2018 and finalized in April 2019. Thus, the annual targets are just reflecting what money has already been programmed—they are not being used to prioritize investment. As a result, they will also likely fluctuate as different investments come online and may not reflect the entirety of planned multiyear investments.

For the targets to be useful at driving investment prioritization, they need to look further ahead—e.g. 4 years to align with the TAM Plan horizon. Many of the state of good repair investments take many years to come to fruition; for example, facility rehab or replacement projects can take 4-6 years, as can railcar procurements. Setting a longer-term target will help identify the amount of investment needed in that area to achieve the desired condition. One possible change would be to require transit properties to set targets every 4 years as part of the TAM plan update.

OST-2017-0069 May 7, 2019 Page 7

The TAM rule also requires transit properties to report annually on progress towards meeting the targets. This requirement can still be kept, but properties would report on progress towards meeting their 4-year targets.

Finally, the asset classifications used for TAM and National Transit Database (NTD) are not aligned. There are four asset classifications used for TAM - facilities, infrastructure, rolling stock and system. But, there are five for the NTD. For agencies, this creates additional assignment levels in asset systems and impacts outputs like the capital needs inventory.

## APTA recommends to FTA:

- Set longer-term targets because the one-year horizon has limited impact on capital programs;
- Exempt services provided by private providers of public transportation that own, operate, and manage capital assets having no federal interest from the transit asset management requirements;
- Private providers of public transportation operating under a purchase-of-service or as a subrecipient be part of a transit agency's contract administration; and
- Align definitions across the TAM rule and the NTD.

We appreciate the opportunity to assist FTA in this important endeavor. For additional information, please contact Linda Ford, APTA's General Counsel, at (202) 496-4808 or lford@apta.com.

Sincerely yours,

Faul P. Shortelos

Paul P. Skoutelas President & CEO

9 of 12

# AMERICAN PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION ASSOCIATION FACT SHEET H.R. 1139, THE TRANSIT WORKER AND PEDESTRIAN PROTECTION ACT May 14, 2019

Many APTA members have asked questions and expressed concerns about H.R. 1139, the "<u>Transit Worker and Pedestrian Protection Act</u>". Other members have expressed support for the bill. The purpose of this Fact Sheet is to summarize the bill's requirements. APTA will continue to discuss the potential significant impacts of the bill through the Legislative Committee and other committees of APTA.

On February 11, 2019, Representative Grace F. Napolitano (D-CA) and Representative John Katko (R-NY) introduced H.R. 1139, the "Transit Worker and Pedestrian Protection Act", to stem the assaults on transit and rail workers. The bill is a top priority of the Amalgamated Transit Union (ATU), Transportation Trades Department of the AFL-CIO, and other unions. The bill currently has 129 cosponsors in the House. Senator Chris Van Hollen (D-MD) has introduced a companion bill (S. 436) in the Senate, which has 11 Democratic cosponsors.

# **Findings**

The bill includes Congressional findings that assaults on public transportation workers are a growing problem, with daily reports of assaults on public transportation workers (including bus and rail), and that those assaults pose a safety risk to workers and the general public. It also expresses concern that the existence of blind spots in the view from a bus operator workstation can pose safety issues, and notes that buses can be designed to avoid these blind spots. Finally, the findings state that section 3022 of the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act) required the Secretary of Transportation to issue a notice of proposed rulemaking to address assault on public transportation workers and that the Secretary has failed to carry out that mandate.

# **Bus Operations**

H.R. 1139 requires public transit agencies that operate fixed route bus service to develop a Bus Operations Safety Risk Reduction Program, including an implementation plan, to reduce the number and rates of accidents, injuries, assaults on bus operators, and fatalities. Transit agencies must submit the program and implementation plan to the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) for review and approval and subsequently implement the program and plan within two years after the date of enactment. Programs must be updated annually and resubmitted to DOT every three years for approval.

In cooperation with bus operators and their collective bargaining representatives, transit agencies must develop the program through risk analysis of bus operations and incorporate an implementation plan to reduce vehicular and pedestrian accidents involving buses, including deploying driver assistance technologies and measures to reduce visibility impairments. The bill

requires that the measures reduce visibility impairments by retrofitting buses in revenue service and establishing specifications for future procurements.

Implementation plans must also address bus operator assault mitigation measures, including deployment of assault mitigation infrastructure and technology on buses (e.g., barriers surrounding workstations), conflict de-escalation training, and installation of bus driver seating and modifications to address workstation ergonomic risks.

# **Rail Operations**

H.R. 1139 requires public transit agencies that operate a fixed guideway public transportation system (except operations subject to regulation by the Federal Railroad Administration (i.e., commuter railroads)) to develop a Rail Operations Worker Assault Risk Reduction Program, including an implementation plan, to reduce the number and severity of assaults on rail workers, including operators and station personnel. Transit agencies must submit the program and implementation plan to DOT for review and approval and subsequently implement the program and plan within two years after the date of enactment. Programs must be updated annually and resubmitted to DOT every three years for approval.

In cooperation with rail workers and their collective bargaining representatives, transit agencies must develop the program through risk analysis of rail operations. Implementation plans must address rail worker assault mitigation.

# **Worker Assault Data**

The bill requires public transit agencies to report to the National Transit Database any information on each "assault on a transit worker", including any circumstance in which a person interferes with, disables or incapacitates any transit worker while they are working, even if the injury does not require immediate medical attention.

## **Authorization of Appropriations**

H.R. 1139 authorizes \$25 million per year for each of fiscal years 2019 through 2023 to carry out implementation plans under the Bus Operations Safety Risk Reduction Program. The funds are distributed by the 49 U.S.C. 5307 and 49 U.S.C. 5311 formulas. The bill does not authorize any appropriations for implementation of the Rail Operations Worker Assault Risk Reduction Program.



# REBUILD AMERICA ACT

**Congressman Earl Blumenauer** ■ **Third District of Oregon** ■ **blumenauer.house.gov** 

# THE PROBLEM—AMERICA IS FALLING APART AND FALLING BEHIND

The United States faces the largest infrastructure funding gap in the world. The sector with the greatest shortfall is surface transportation, which the American Society of Civil Engineers estimates needs more than \$1.1 trillion of investment by 2025. Today, one in five miles of highway pavement is in poor condition, and damage due to rough roads costs the average motorist \$599 a year. Americans waste nearly 100 hours a year stuck in traffic and congestion, costing the U.S. economy \$305 billion annually—an average of \$1,445 per driver.

Inflation and fuel efficiency improvements have reduced the gas tax's purchasing power by more than 40 percent since last raised in 1993. We're paying for 2019 infrastructure with 1993 dollars. The Highway Trust Fund currently spends about \$16 billion more a year than it brings in, forcing Congress to transfer more than \$140 billion from the general fund since 2008. These transfers contradict the 'user pays' principle of the Highway Trust Fund, place a disproportionate burden on taxpayers who choose not to drive or can't afford a car, and limit the federal government's flexibility for other programs.

# THE SOLUTION—RAISING THE GAS TAX AND INDEXING IT TO INFLATION

Congress should stop lurching from crisis to crisis and phase in a short-term gas tax increase, while transitioning to a long-term, stable funding source to replace the gas tax. The Rebuild America Act, raises the fuels tax by five cents a year over five years, indexes it to inflation, and establishes Congress' intention to replace the gas tax with a more equitable, stable source of funding within 10 years.

## TIME TO ACT

Since 2010, 35 states with legislatures controlled by both parties have voted to raise the gas tax. American families pay far more from continued neglect than a gas tax increase. Inaction will cost families \$3,400 in annual disposable income by 2025, whereas a 25-cent gas tax increase costs the average driver less than \$3.00 a week and contributes nearly \$400 billion toward upgrading roads, bridges, and transit systems. Investment in American infrastructure is the jobs bill that our economy needs and the middle class deserves. Every \$1.3 billion in infrastructure investment adds 29,000 construction jobs, yields \$2 billion in economic growth, and *reduces* the federal deficit by \$200 million.

Endorsed by: U.S. Chamber of Commerce, AFL-CIO, American Trucking Associations, American Public Transportation Association, AAA, Amalgamated Transit Union, American Association of Port Authorities, American Council of Engineering Companies, American Highway Users Alliance, American Planning Association, American Rental Association, American Road & Transportation Builders Association, American Society of Civil Engineers, American Traffic Safety Services Association, Associated Equipment Distributors, Associated General Contractors, Association for Commuter Transportation, Association of Equipment Manufacturers, Coalition for Smart Transportation, Highway Materials Group, International Union of Operating Engineers, League of American Bicyclists, National Asphalt Pavement Association, National Association of Counties, National Association of Regional Councils, National Electrical Contractors Association, National Sand Stone and Gravel Association, National Utility Contractors Association, New York Building Congress, North American Concrete Alliance, Steel Manufacturers Association, and the Transportation Construction Coalition.