Practical Interface Opportunities Between Safety & Asset Management Systems

John Gasparine, AICP, LEED AP WSP, Maryland and Delaware Planning Manager Baltimore, MD





Federal Guidance on the Nexus

A simple, philosophical summary:

Assets in poor condition can result in increased safety risk

Catastrophic Examples

- Feb 2007 Virgin Trains Grayrigg Derailment (Faulty Switch)
 - 1 fatality
 - 88 injuries



- Jun 2009 WMATA Red Line Collision (Faulty Track Circuit)
 - 9 fatalities
 - 80 injuries



- Jul 2013 SNCF Brétigny-sur-Orge Derailment (Faulty Tracks)
 - 7 fatalities
 - 192 injuries



The Need for a Broader Focus on All Modes of Public Transit

While rail incidents grab headlines, Bus modes experience higher overall incident rates:

	Events*	Fatalities*	Injuries*
Rail	21.9	1.4	14.4
Bus	85.0	1.9	148.6

^{*} Per 100 million Unlinked Passenger Trips, 2009 - 2013

Source: National Public Transportation Safety Plan, v. 1.0

The nexus goes beyond just asset condition...

A Simplified View of These Data-Driven Management Systems

Safety Management Asset Management Annual Asset Targets Annual Safety Targets **Targets** Hazards Assets **Inventory** Hazard Risks & Performance Asset Risks & Performance **Analysis** Needs to Deliver Safety Targets Needs to Deliver Asset Targets **Prioritization**

Policies, Plans, and Capital and Operating Projects

A Foundation for the Nexus

 Ongoing dialogue between the agency's safety & asset management leaders on each of the following nexus opportunities

How do we define "Safety Critical Asset"?

A working definition:

A Transit Asset, sub-system, or component whose failure may cause serious injury or death to human beings, loss or severe damage to property, or environmental harm. Criticality will be relatively designated by asset type, on a 1-5 scale, with "1" representing the smallest consequences of asset failure, and "5" representing the largest consequences of asset failure. An asset given a criticality score of "4" or above will be categorically considered Safety Critical.

Practical Interface Opportunity #1: Asset Inventory

How should inventories be modified to maximize utility in the SMS?

- Designate criticality score by asset type?
- Indicate any associated safety risk assessments that have been completed?
- Indicate obsolete equipment and/or parts?

Practical Interface Opportunity #2: Condition Assessment

- How should condition of safety critical assets ("4" and above) be assessed?
 - Age-based? Performance-based? Physical Inspection?
 - How frequently?
 - By whom?
- What kind of change in condition that should trigger a formal safety risk assessment?

Practical Interface Opportunity #3: Capital Project Prioritization

How should a formal safety risk assessment inform investment prioritization?

- How can decision support tools like TERM Lite reflect the weight of formallyassessed safety risks?
- How can safety risk mitigations be "bundled" with other related capital projects for time and cost efficiency?

Practical Interface Opportunity #4: Lifecycle Management

Given resource constraints, what synergistic safety and asset management activities should take place at each lifecycle phase?

- In the asset acquisition phase (planning, design, procurement, and construction)?
- In the O&M phase?
- In the Overhaul/Rehab phase?
- In the asset disposal phase?

Practical Interface Opportunity #5: Competencies and Training

- How are competencies determined and managed with respect to the nexus?
 - How to we modify job descriptions?
 - Do we hire new or train existing employees on these competencies?
- How should training programs be structured to reflect the nexus of safety and asset management?
 - Which existing training programs can be modified?
 - Which new training programs need to be developed for both initial and refresher purposes?
 - How do we prioritize the modification/creation of training programs?

Practical Interface Opportunity #6: Technology

- What technologies are currently used for safety and asset management?
- What technology enhancements or acquisitions are needed in the future?

 How can these enhancements and acquisitions reflect the interface opportunities identified through previous meetings?

Thank you

John Gasparine, AICP, LEED AP

Maryland and Delaware Planning Manager

WSP USA

410-215-5658

john.gasparine@wsp.com