

# Transit Parking Too Much or Too Little

**Ron Kilcoyne**

*North County Transit District*

*Chief Operating Officer –  
Transit Planning and Bus  
Operations*

*Oceanside CA*

Rail Conference



# Transit Agency Considerations

- Parking is expensive
- Parking extends the reach of transit
- Parking can compromise transit alignments
- Parking provides less ridership
- Parking can benefit disabled customers
- Parking may impact other transit services.



# Land Use Considerations

- Parking displaces other land uses
- Parking can be interim
- Parking may encourage sprawl
- Shared parking can enable mixed-use
- Parking may discourage walking to station
- Parking can impact adjacent neighborhood



# Environmental Considerations

- Parking has local environmental impacts
- Parking may reduce regional impacts
- Parking may reduce regional congestion
- Parking may conflict with environmental justice
- Parking can be an unequal subsidy
- Parking may accommodate shared vehicle uses

# Identifying Stakeholders

Transit Parking 101 identified 19 different stakeholders whose input and needs should be considered in planning parking for a transit station.




# Planning Considerations

- Catchment Area
- Cost Implications
- Funding Issues
- Current Context
- Joint Development
- Accommodating growth and change



# Where Should Parking be Provided

- Transit station function
  - Transit station context
  - Network service considerations
  - Land values and development trends
  - Local politics and community plans
  - Local demographics and environmental justice
- 

# Implementation

- Management
  - Own vs lease
  - Partnerships with public or private entities
- Operational Issues
- Pricing





# Conclusion

Transit Parking 101

APTA SUDS-UD-008-15

