Comparison of Ballast Mat Performance with Different Support Conditions



APTA 2017 Rail Conference Baltimore, MD 12 June 2017

Yu Qian, Arthur de Oliveira Lima, Marcus S. Dersch, and J. Riley Edwards





A Caterpillar Company

Outline

- Introduction
- Background and Motivation
- Goals for Research
- Laboratory Experiment Program
- Results from Laboratory Tests
- Conclusions
- Future Work





Background and Motivation

- Ballast mats (under-ballast mats) are elastic pads installed under the ballast layer or concrete slab, depending on the type of track structure
- Typically manufactured using natural rubber, recycled tire rubber, or EPDM synthetic rubber





Mademann, C. and D. Otter. 2013. *Effects Of Ballast Depth And Degradation On Stresses In Concrete Bridges*. Transportation Technology Center, Inc.

Background and Motivation

- The study of ballast mats were started in the 1960's by the Japanese Railways for use in the Tokaido Shinkansen line
- European passenger and freight services have also used/studied ballast mats since early 1980's
- North America, Class I railroads have primarily used ballast mats on ballast deck bridges and tunnels with limited research being conducted to date
- Globally, the German DIN 45673-5 is the only standardized testing procedure available for determining component properties of ballast mats
- The growing interest in North America for this component has established a demand for the development of uniform and representative testing procedures

Goals for Research

- Major benefits from the use of ballast mats are dependent on its application environment:
 - Transit: reduction of ground-borne noise and vibrations
 - Freight: reduction of ballast degradation and track stiffness in transition zones
- The main objectives of this research are to:
 - Quantify ballast mat properties
 - Quantify ballast mat benefits
 - Study the effect of test variables (support, loading, etc.)



Primary airborne noise

Müller, G. & M. Möser (Eds.). 2013. *Handbook Of Engineering Acoustics*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg.



Mademann, C. and D. Otter. 2013. *Effects Of Ballast Depth And Degradation On Stresses In Concrete Bridges*. Transportation Technology Center, Inc.

reradiated

Laboratory Experiment Program

- Objective: To determine component properties of ballast mats in controlled laboratory setting using various support conditions
- Instrumentation: Potentiometers deployed to capture vertical ballast mat displacement at multiple locations
- Loading: servo hydraulic actuator used to apply vertical load to ballast mat



Ballast Mat Sample Types



- Ballast mat samples
 - Size : 10" x 10" (254 x 254 mm)
 - Thickness (Min / Max)
 - Type A:
 - 0.197" / 0.394" (5/10 mm)
 - Type B:
 - 0.315" / 0.670" (8/17 mm)
 - Type C:
 - 0.275" / 0.984" (7/25 mm)

Support Conditions

- Geometric Ballast Plate (GBP)
 - Standardized European apparatus (EN 16730:2016)
 - 12" x 12" (300 x 300 mm) aluminum profiled plate that simulates ballast profile

- Concrete
 - 14" x 14" (356 x 356 mm)
 Concrete block
- Steel
 - 12" x 12" (305 x 305 mm)
 Steel plate placed over concrete block



Slide 9

Important Definitions

• Bedding Modulus:

- The amount of force required to cause unit deflection in a unit area sample (lbs/in³ or N/mm³)
 - Static
 - Dynamic
- Insertion Loss:
 - Ratio of signal levels (vibration amplitudes) before and after the installation of a filter (i.e. ballast mat)

•
$$\Delta L = 20 \log\left(\frac{V_1}{V_2}\right)$$

Bedding Modulus Test Protocol

- Procedure heavily based on German standard DIN 45673 – Part 5
- Static Tests:
 - Quasi-static
 - Load
 - 0.2 3.8 kips (0.9 16.9 kN)
 - 3 cycles
- Dynamic Tests:
 - Frequencies: 5 Hz and 10 Hz
 - Loading
 - 0.4 3.8 kips (1.8 16.9 kN)
 - 10 sec. of sinusoidal loading
 - Data collected for last 10 cycles





8.25 3.25 0.273 0.273 0.273 0.273 0.273 0.273 0.273 0.353 0.273 0.273 0.353 0.273 0.273 0.273 0.273 0.273 0.273 0.273 0.273 0.273 0.253 0.273 0.25

Laboratory Experimental Matrix



Results from Laboratory Tests Bedding Modulus

• Static and dynamic bedding modulus calculated using secant modulus

Secant Bedding Modulus = $(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)/(s_2 - s_1)$



Results from Laboratory Tests Summary of Bedding Modulus Tests



Results from Laboratory Tests

- Consistency of testing was supported by a maximum 4.0% deviation from the mean for a single test procedure
- Results obtained using the GBP were 30% and 21% lower than their corresponding tests conducted with concrete and steel support respectively
- Bedding modulus values obtained with concrete support as a support were highest for all cases
- Effects of different test frequencies could not be investigated due to uncertainties with the results obtained for higher frequencies (i.e. 10 Hz)

Slide 15

Conclusions

- The bedding moduli of a ballast mat is dependent on the support condition with which it is tested
 - GBP typically resulted in lowest values
 - Steel and Concrete yielded similar values
- The statistical analysis of the results corroborated the visual analysis of the results as to the difference between the bedding modulus values obtained from each support condition
- Sensitivity analysis provided a better understanding of the importance of standardizing the support condition used to obtain the dynamic bedding modulus values to be input in the prediction models
 - Maximum insertion loss difference between all support conditions of:
 - 3.0 dB for Type A
 - 2.4 dB for Type B
 - 1.2 dB for Type C

Future Work

- Mechanical fatigue strength tests
 - Ensure survivability
 - Comparison of bedding modulus before and after repeated load cycles
 - Quantify effect on ballast deterioration
 - Gradation
 - Ballast surface characteristics
 - Ballast geometry
 - Quantifying ballast mat's effects to the vertical transient deformations of a ballast structure over a rigid support
- Investigation into the impacts and viability of using the GBP setup as a substitute for the ballast box mechanical fatigue testing of ballast mats





Future Work

- Numerical Simulation
 - Calibrate simulation parameters based on laboratory tests
 - Predict ballast performance under different scenarios
 - Loading frequency / Loading magnitude / Different structures



Acknowledgements

Progress Rail A Caterpillar Company

- Funding for this project has been provided by:
 - Progress Rail
- For assistance with laboratory testing and manufacturing GBP:
 - UIUC Machine Shop

Slide 19

Thank You

Yu Qian Research Engineer email: yuqian1@illinois.edu

Arthur De Oliveira Lima

Graduate Research Assistant email: aolima@illinois.edu



Marcus S. Dersch Senior Research Engineer email: mdersch2@illinois.edu

J. Riley Edwards Research Scientist and Senior Lecturer email: jedward2@illinois.edu



