**Implementing the A Line - The Minneapolis-**St. Paul region's first rapid bus line

# Katie Roth

Bus Rapid Transit Project Manager

# **Kristin Thompson**

Assistant Director, Scheduling, Analysis & Data Collection

# Metro Transit Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN

Sustainability & Multimodal Planning Workshop



#### How can we make the most-used buses *faster*?





## A Line: Project scope

- First in planned program of 11 lines
- 10 miles
- 20 stations
- 4 cities
- 2 light rail connections
- 13-bus fleet
- \$27 million project cost
- Proven frequent service corridor with 4,000 rides before improvement
- Forecast: 8,700 rides by 2030





#### **Rapid bus characteristics**



Specialized vehicles with wider doors, open layout & other amenities



Fully off-board fare payment & random fare checks



Enhanced stations at half-mile spacing with all the features of light rail



Curb extensions for in-lane stops, year-round maintenance & all-door boarding



Transit signal priority



Fast, frequent & all-day service



### **A Line Stations**

- Shelters and Technology
- Increased infrastructure/amenities
- Enhanced snow removal and maintenance
- Clear boarding platform





#### a DATION

## Fully off-board, proof-of-payment fare collection





#### Why not a dedicated lane?







#### Platform dimensions & consistent layout

BREA

SNELLING & GRAND

2 + 4 = 6' clear snow plow zone

2' Tactile Edge

Bus stops in travel lane

8

4' Clear Boarding Area ~6' typical Furnishing Zone

RECYCLING

Unobstructed

sidewalk

WASTE

•

## Transit signal priority & farside stops





## A great BRT product is more than stations & buses





- Cross-functional Implementation Working Group met for 2 years prior to launch
- "Harbor pilot" Operations Lead
  - Developed all-new standard operating procedures
  - Trained & certified operators on providing A Line service
  - Conducted 4 weeks of schedule testing / training
- Prepared extensive FAQ and training for all customer service representatives



### Service Design





## Schedule Design

## Objectives:

- Take full advantage of travel time improvements
- Limit dwell time
- Operate successfully with a small sub-fleet
- Establish practices for rapid network buildout

### Strategies:

- Testing, testing, testing
- Updated running time philosophy
- Bus reliefs



## Schedule Development

- Preliminary run time testing prior to construction
- "Fast" and "slow" schedule scenarios developed
- Extensive post-construction test runs
  - 10 weekdays, 8 weekend days, multiple runs/day
  - Multipurpose:
    - TSP testing
    - Bus break-in
    - SOP & training development
    - Schedule refinement





## **Ultimate Schedule Design**

- Few timepoints
- Relatively tight run time
  - Based on testing & operator feedback
  - 8-9 minutes faster than previous service
  - Minimizes dwell time
- Generous recovery time
- Connections with Green Line are optimized



#### **Bus Reliefs**



A Line

#### April 2016 vs. April 2017 Corridor Ridership









#### **On-Time Performance — A Line**

Bus operating between 1 minute early and 5 minutes late

Goal: 91.7% | Mar: 95.3% (+ 3.5%) | Annual Goal: 90% | YTD: 94.7% | Headway Performance: 94.1%







3/1/2017



#### **Lessons Learned**



Shaded times denote rush-hour service.

#### Local underlay

- Easier sell to public
- Increases operating costs
- Impacts of fewer timepoints
  - Customer information
  - On-time performance
- Schedule testing builds confidence
- Reliefs
  - Determine strategy well in advance
- Headway management



#### **Questions?**



metrotransit.org/a-line @MetroTransitMN | #ALineMN



ALLANTIN AND ALLANTING