2016 PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION FACT BOOK

67th Edition

February 2017

PUBLISHED BY

American Public Transportation Association

American Public Transportation Association

1300 I Street, NW, Suite 1200 East Washington, DC 20005 TELEPHONE: (202) 496-4800 E-MAIL: statistics@apta.com

www.apta.com

APTA's Vision Statement

Be the leading force in advancing public transportation.

APTA's Mission Statement

APTA serves and leads its diverse membership through advocacy, innovation, and information sharing to strengthen and expand public transportation.

Published by American Public Transportation Association

Richard A. White, Acting President & CEO

Written by

John Neff, Senior Policy Researcher (202) 496-4812 jneff@apta.com

Matthew Dickens, Policy Analyst (202) 496-4817 mdickens@apta.com

APTA Policy Department

Arthur L. Guzzetti, Vice President-Policy

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION FACT BOOK

American Public Transportation Association Washington, DC February 2017

In Memoriam



John Neff 1944-2016

John Wesley Neff, Jr, APTA Senior Policy Researcher, passed away peacefully from cancer on November 2, 2016 in Silver Spring, MD.

John joined APTA shortly after earning his MS in geography from Southern Illinois University. During his 42 years at APTA, John became the authority on transit industry statistics. Since the late 1970s he has managed the publication of APTA's *Fact Book*. This book was his 32nd edition.

John's love of facts, figures, and statistics went beyond the transit industry. He loved baseball and was a member of the Society for American Baseball Research, and a prolific user of Baseball Reference.

A beloved colleague, teacher, and friend, John will be missed.

Public Transportation Appendices Published on APTA Web Page

Appendix A: Historical Tables

Appendix A presents select data items for the entire time period they have been reported in the Fact Book and other statistical reports prepared by APTA and its predecessor organizations. Many data items are reported for every year beginning in the 1920s, and ridership is reported from 1907.

- 2016 Fact Book Appendix A: Historical Tables
- 2016 Appendix A tables in Excel format
- Appendix B: Transit Agency and Urbanized Area Operating Statistics

Appendix B presents six operating statistics for 2014 for each transit agency in urbanized areas in size order, totaled for all service modes operated by the agency and in size order for each individual mode. Data are also summed and ranked for urbanized areas, both all modes totaled and for individual modes. These lists allow a simple method to determine comparably sized transit agencies. Agencies operating in rural areas are ranked for four operating statistics for agency totals and by mode for each agency and for state-wide totals.

Data for Appendix B are taken from the Federal Transit Administration's National Transit Database (NTD) and include only agencies reporting to the NTD.

- 2016 Appendix B tables in Excel format
- Appendix C: Urbanized Area Population, Land Area, and Density 1950-2010

The population, land area, and density of each urbanized area is traced from the 1950 Census, when they were first delimited, through the 2010 Census. When UZAs were created, which other UZAs they merged with or were broken off from, and all of the name changes are identified. Population growth from year to year and separate annual tables listing urbanized areas alphabetically and by size are also included.

Appendix C tables in Excel format

Material from the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book may be quoted or reproduced without obtaining the permission of the American Public Transportation Association.

Suggested Identification: American Public Transportation Association: 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Washington, DC, February, 2017.

Table of Contents

APTA AND THE FACT BOOK7	Table 10: Vehicle Power Sources by Mode1	8
NATIONAL DATA SUMMARY8	INFRASTRUCTURE1	9
Table 1: Number of Public Transportation Systems by Mode8	Table 11: Rail Track Miles and Directional Route Miles1	9
Table 2: National Totals9	Table 12: Non-Rail Mode Lane Miles and Directional Route Miles2	20
Table 3: 50 Largest Transit Agencies Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles10	Table 13: Passenger Stations by Mode2	
Table 4: 50 Urbanized Areas with the Most Transit Travel, Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips,	Table 14: Maintenance Facilities by Mode2	<u>?</u> 1
Passenger Miles, and Population11	Figure 8: Growth in Percentage of Rail Passenger Stations with Electronic Amenities2	21
PASSENGER TRAVEL12 Table 5: Unlinked Passenger Trips and	Table 15: Passenger Station Equipment by Mode 2	21
Passenger Miles by Mode12	PASSENGER STATION PARKING2	22
Figure 1: Transit Ridership is at Highest Level in Four Decades13	Table 16: Passenger Station Parking Supply by Mode2	22
Figure 2: Since 2004 Transit Use Has Grown More than Population or Highway Travel13	EMPLOYEES2	22
Figure 3: Number of Commuters Using Transit for Their Work Trip14	Table 17: Employees by Mode and Function2 Table 18: Jobs Supported by Transit Expenditures2	
Figure 4: Percent of Workers Commuting on Transit14	ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT2	
SERVICE PROVIDED14	Table 19: Vehicle Fuel Consumption by Mode of Service2	24
Table 6: Vehicle Miles Operated, Vehicle Hours Operated, and Transit Service Speed by Mode14	Table 20: Energy and Emission Benefits from Public Transportation2	24
MODAL SHARES OF SERVICE PROVIDED AND CONSUMED15	Figure 9: Rail Vehicles Have Shown Long-Term Improvement in the Efficient Use of Electricity2	25
Figure 5: Modal Shares of Service Provided and Consumed15	Figure 10: Percentage of Buses Powered by Alternative Fuels2	25
VEHICLES 16		
Table 7: Revenue Vehicles by Mode16	CAPITAL AND OPERATING EXPENSES	
Table 8: Vehicle Characteristics by Mode16	Table 21: Capital Expense by Mode and Type2	
Figure 6: Increase in Transit Vehicle Accessibility17	Table 22: Operating Expense by Mode and Function Class	
Table 9: Vehicle Equipment by Mode17	Table 23: Operating Expense by Mode and Object Class2	27
Figure 7: Growth in Percentage of Buses with Passenger Equipment18	Table 24: Total Expense by Mode2	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Figure 11: Comparative Operating Costs Among Modes	Table 37: Commuter Rail and Hybrid Rail Agencies Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles44
Table 25: Short-Term Economic Impact per Billion Dollars of National Investment in Transit28	Table 38: Heavy Rail Agencies Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles45
CAPITAL AND OPERATING FUNDING29	Table 39: Other Rail Agencies Ranked by Unlinked
Table 26: Funding Sources29	Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles by Type of Rail Agency45
Figure 12: Growth in Capital Funding by Source30	
Figure 13: Growth in Operating Funding by Source.30	Table 40: Light Rail and Streetcar Agencies Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles46
Table 27: Passenger Fares by Mode31	Figure 16: New Rail System Openings47
TRANSIT SPENDING AND CONTRACTING	
IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR31	Table 41: Ferryboat Agencies in Urbanized Areas Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and
Table 28: Transit Expenditures in the Private Sector31	Passenger Miles47
Figure 14: Percent of Revenue Hours Contracted	RURAL AGENCY MODAL DATA48
by Mode32	Table 42: 35 Largest Rural Bus and 12 Largest
Figure 15: Trend of Percent of Revenue Hours Contracted	Rural Commuter Bus Agencies Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips48
MODAL DATA33	Table 43: 35 Largest Rural Demand Response and 12 largest Rural Demand Response Taxi Agencies Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips49
Table 29: Roadway Modes National Totals38	Trained by Offinined Lasseriger Trips
Table 30: 50 Largest Bus Agencies in Urbanized	CANADIAN DATA50
Areas Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles39	Table 44: Canadian Transit Data Summary50
Table 31: Bus Rapid Transit Agencies in Urbanized Areas Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and	APTA ASSOCIATION HISTORY51
Passenger Miles40	APTA Association Ancestry51
Table 32: 30 Largest Commuter Bus Agencies in Urbanized Areas Ranked by Unlinked Passenger	APTA Chief Executive Officers52
Trips and Passenger Miles40	APTA Lifetime Achievement Award Recipients52
Table 33: 50 Largest Demand Response Agencies in	APTA Senior Elected Officers52
Urbanized Areas Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles41	APTA Hall of Fame54
Table 34: 30 Largest Transit Vanpool Agencies in Urbanized Areas Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles	MILESTONES IN PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AND HIGH-SPEED RAIL55
Table 35: Trolleybus Agencies Ranked by Unlinked	APTA STATISTICAL PUBLICATIONS63
Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles42	FACT BOOK METHODOLOGY64
Table 36: Rail Modes and Ferryboat National Totals43	GLOSSARY 65

APTA and the Fact Book

The American Public Transportation Association (APTA) is a nonprofit international association of more than 1,500 public and private sector organizations, engaged in the areas of bus, paratransit, light rail, commuter rail, subways, waterborne services, and intercity and high-speed passenger rail. This includes: public transit systems; planning, design, construction, and finance firms; product and service providers; academic institutions; transit associations and state departments of transportation. APTA is the only association in North America that represents all modes of public transportation. APTA members serve the public interest by providing safe, efficient and economical transit services and products. More than 90 percent of the people using public transportation in the United States and Canada ride APTA member systems.

This is the 67th edition of the **Public Transportation Fact Book** (formerly the **Transit Fact Book**), which was first published in 1943. Available data are expanded by standard statistical methods to estimate U.S. national totals. *All data are for the U.S. only, except for the section on Canada*. Data for Canada are provided by the Canadian Urban Transit Association (CUTA). A Glossary of Terms, a description of Fact Book Appendices and other APTA statistical publications, and a discussion of the methodology used to estimate Fact Book data may be found at the end of this report. The 66 previous editions of the Fact Book are available on-line at http://www.apta.com/resources/statistics/Pages/transitstats.aspx.

The procedure for estimating total data in the **2016 Public Transportation Fact Book**, and prior issues of the Fact Book, is to expand available data by standard statistical methods to estimate U.S. national totals. It includes only public transportation data and excludes taxicab, unregulated jitney, school, sightseeing, intercity, charter, military, and services not available to the general public or segments of the general public (e.g., governmental and corporate shuttles) and special application systems (e.g., amusement parks, airports, and the following types of ferry service: international, rural, rural interstate, and urban park).

In addition to this book, there are two Appendices to the Fact Book available online at http://www.apta.com/resources/statistics/Pages/transitstats.aspx. The **Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables** reports data items for the entire time period that they have been reported in **Fact Books** and other statistical reports prepared by APTA and its predecessor organizations. Many data items are reported for every year beginning in the 1920s, and ridership is reported from 1890.

The **Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix B: Operating Statistics and Rankings** presents six operating statistics for each transit agency reported in the Federal Transit Administration National Transit Database (NTD) for Urbanized Areas. Data are ranked in size order, totaled for all service modes operated by each agency and in size order for each individual mode. Data are also summed and ranked for urbanized areas, both all modes totaled and for individual modes. Data for four operating statistics are ranked for agencies reporting to the NTD for Rural Areas. Amounts are reported for each agency totaled for all modes each agency operates, for individual modes each agency operates, state total amounts for all modes, and state total amounts for each mode.

Data in the Fact Book are categorized and reported for "modes of service," not transit passenger vehicle types. Modes of service in the Fact Book are the same as the modes of service used to report data in the NTD. Modes of service are defined by operating characteristics and are not always restricted to specific transit passenger vehicles with the same name. For example, "bus" and "demand response" in these tables refer to a mode of service. Demand response service, defined as roadway service directly from an origin to a destination determined by the rider and not following a fixed-route, is usually provided by vans but is also provided by small buses and in a limited number of cases by large buses. Bus service is a variety of roadway services that share the characteristic of being operated entirely or partially on fixed routes. Although bus service is normally provided by buses, it can be provided by smaller vehicles that may be considered large vans. The same situation is true of light rail and streetcar service which use similar passenger vehicles but have different operating characteristics.

Data in the Fact Book are statistical expansions of sample data and represent the total activity of all transit agencies. Base data are taken from the NTD. These data are supplemented by data from other sources including state departments of transportation and APTA surveys of APTA transit system members. Because NTD data are collected for "Report Years," Fact Book data are also calculated for Report Years. A Report Year is each transit agency's Fiscal Year that ends during a specified calendar year.

National Data Summary

Public transportation was provided in the United States during 2014 by nearly 6,800 organizations ranging from large multi-modal systems to single-vehicle special demand response service providers. The number of transit agencies operating each mode of service ranges from a single cable car operator to approximately 6,346 demand response service providers. Table 1 reports the number of transit agencies in the United States in three categories. The largest number of service providers are non-profit organizations that exclusively operate demand response service, primarily for senior citizens and persons with disabilities. Non-profit organizations are eligible for federal financial assistance for vehicle purchases and provide specialized service designed to meet the special needs of their clientele. These non-profit organizations provide service in both rural and urbanized areas.

The second largest number of public transit agencies, nearly 1,400, operate in rural areas and 820 agencies provide service in urbanized areas. Transit agencies in urbanized areas are much larger than those in rural areas. Transit agencies in urbanized areas carried more than 98 percent of all transit passenger trips in 2014, those in rural areas carried about 1½ percent of passenger trips, and non-profit senior citizen and persons with disabilities transit service providers carried less than one-half of one percent of all passenger trips. Exact proportions are not certain because many agencies headquartered in urbanized areas provide service outside of those areas and, similarly, many rural providers operate service into larger areas.

Table 1: Number of Public Transportation Systems by Mode, Report Year 2014

Number of Systems, RY 2014 (a)								
Mode	Urbanized Areas (b)	Rural (b)	Non-Profit Providers (c)	Total				
Aerial Tramway	2	1	0	3				
Automated Guideway Transit	7	0	0	7				
Bus	700	439	0	1,139				
Bus Rapid Transit	10	1	0	11				
Cable Car	1	0	0	1				
Commuter Bus	120	(d) 158	0	278				
Commuter Rail	27	0	0	27				
Demand Response (e)	668	1,092	4,586	6,346				
Ferryboat	34	7	0	41				
Heavy Rail	15	0	0	0				
Hybrid Rail	5	0	0	5				
Inclined Plane	4	0	0	4				
Light Rail	23	0	0	23				
Monorail	2	0	0	2				
Publico	1	0	0	1				
Streetcar	11	0	0	11				
Transit Vanpool	78	21	0	99				
Trolleybus	5	0	0	5				
Total (f)	820	1,383	4,586	6,792				

⁽a) Systems operating during Report Year 2014, all amounts are estimated.

⁽b) Some urban providers operate service into surrounding rural areas and rural providers operate service

into nearby urban areas. Rural includes Indian Tribal services.

⁽c) May be either urbanized area or rural.

⁽d) Includes rural transit intercity bus service.

⁽e) Includes non-profit providers of service for seniors and persons with disabilities. Includes demand response taxi service.

⁽f) Total is not sum of all modes since many providers operate more than one mode.

Table 2: National Totals, Report Year 2014

Statistical Category	All Roadway Modes (a)	All Rail Modes (b)	Ferryboat	Total All Transit
Systems, Number of (c)	7,698	97	41	6,792
Trips, Unlinked Passenger (Millions)	5,668	5,003	79	10,750
Miles, Passenger (Millions)	26,350	32,789	505	59,644
Trip Length, Average (Miles)	4.6	6.6	6.4	5.5
Miles, Vehicle Total (Millions)	4,292.1	1,171.5	4.1	5,467.7
Miles, Vehicle Revenue (Millions)	3,728.3	1,121.6	4.0	4,853.9
Hours, Vehicle Total (Millions)	297.6	55.6	0.5	353.7
Hours, Vehicle Revenue (Millions)	265.3	51.8	0.5	317.6
Speed, Vehicle in Revenue Service, Average (mph)	14.1	21.7	8.0	15.3
Fares Collected, Passengers (Millions)	6,469.9	8,637.0	170.8	15,277.7
Fare per Unlinked Trip, Average	1.17	1.73	2.21	1.44
Expense, Operating Total (Millions)	27,203.6	16,565.4	655.8	44,424.8
Operating Expense by Object Class:	·	•		•
Salaries and Wages (Millions)	9,129.6	6,045.9	220.6	14,396.1
Fringe Benefits (Millions)	6,555.8	5,067.3	89.0	11,712.2
Services (Millions)	1,716.0	1,306.9	56.0	3,078.9
Materials and Supplies (Millions)	3,358.0	1,359.8	168.3	4,886.1
Utilities (Millions)	313.5	1,104.1	7.8	1,425.5
Casualty and Liability (Millions)	689.4	388.2	24.4	1,102.0
Purchased Transportation (Millions)	5,020.7	966.8	74.7	6,062.2
Other (Millions)	420.6	326.4	14.9	761.9
Operating Expense by Function Class:				
Vehicle Operations (Millions)	12,795.2	6,171.9	368.1	19,335.1
Vehicle Maintenance (Millions)	4,164.5	2,944.8	93.0	7,202.3
Non-vehicle Maintenance	1,006.6	3,783.0	43.8	4,833.4
General Administration (Millions)	4,216.7	2,698.9	76.2	6,991.8
Purchased Transportation (Millions)	5,020.7	966.8	74.7	6,062.2
Expense, Capital Total (Millions)	5,238.2	12,911.7	316.0	18,465.9
Facilities, Guideway, Stations, Administration Buildings	1,377.8	9,138.1	132.1	10.648.0
Rolling Stock (Millions)	3,091.1	1,781.1	179.7	5,051.9
Other (Millions)	769.2	1,992.5	4.3	2,766.0
Revenue Vehicles Available for Maximum Service	160,891	20,754	202	181,847
Revenue Vehicles Operated at Maximum Service	128,213	17,671	165	146,049
Employees, Operating	301,232	96,989	4,757	402,978
Employees, Vehicle Operations	215,248	38,558	3,521	257,327
Employees, Vehicle Maintenance	42,546	21,258	553	64,347
Employees, Non-Vehicle Maintenance	9,462	26,828	214	36,504
Employees, General Administration	33,975	10,345	469	44,789
Employees, Capital	2,858	9,632	115	12,605
Diesel Fuel Consumed (Gallons, Millions)	468.0	95.6	39.7	603.3
Other Fossil Fuel Consumed (Gallons, Millions)	438.2	1.4	0.7	440.3
Electricity Consumed (kWh, Millions)	64	6,679	0	6,743
(a) Pug Pug Ponid Transit Commuter Pug Demand Bosner	oo Dublioo Tropo	t Vannaal and Tra	llavbua Caa Tab	lo 29 for data for

⁽a) Bus, Bus Rapid Transit, Commuter Bus, Demand Response, Publico, Transit Vanpool, and Trolleybus. See Table 28 for data for individual modes.

Public transportation agencies spent \$62 billion for operation of service and capital investment in 2014. Passengers took 10.75 billion trips and rode transit vehicles for 59.6 billion miles. Summary data for the entire U.S. transit industry is shown in Table 2, and each data item in that table is shown in detail by mode in Table 29 for roadway vehicles or Table 36 for rail vehicles and ferryboats in this publication.

Table 3 shows the 50 largest transit systems ranked in order of unlinked passenger trips. Table 4 shows the 50 urbanized areas with the most transit use ranked by unlinked passenger trips. The largest transit agency, MTA New York City Transit, carried passengers on 3.5 billion unlinked trips for 13 billion miles. The New York-Newark, NY-NJ-CT urbanized area was the site of the most transit use with all the transit agencies headquartered in the area carrying 4.4 billion unlinked passenger trips for 23.4 billion passenger miles.

⁽b) Commuter Rail, Heavy Rail, Hybrid Rail, Light Rail, Other Rail, and Streetcar. See Table 35 for data for individual modes.

⁽c) This statistical category only, "number of systems" counts each system multiple times for multiple "roadway modes" or "rail modes" but only once for "total all transit" regardless of the number of modes the system operates.

NATIONAL DATA SUMMARY

Table 3: 50 Largest Transit Agencies Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles, Report Year 2014 (Thousands)

and Passenger Miles,	Report Year 2014					
Transit Agency	Urbanized Area (First City and	Unlinke Passenger		Passenger Miles		
,	State Names Only)	Thousands	Rank	Thousands	Rank	
MTA New York City Transit(NYCT)	New York, NY	3,545,170.6	1	12,994,407.2	1	
Chicago Transit Authority(CTA)	Chicago, IL	514,216.8	2	2,130,681.1	5	
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transp. Auth.(LACMTA)	Los Angeles, CA	479,654.3	3	2,339,176.8	4	
Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority(WMATA)	Washington, DC	411,323.8	4	1,968,724.5	6	
Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority(MBTA)	Boston, MA	409,248.4	5	1,847,714.9	8	
Southeastern Pennsylvania Transp. Auth.(SEPTA)	Philadelphia, PA	347,177.5	6	1,546,679.2	11	
New Jersey Transit Corporation(NJ TRANSIT)	New York, NY	270,958.0	7	3,432,327.0	2	
San Francisco Municipal Railway(MUNI)	San Francisco, CA	228,748.5	8	488,371.7	19	
Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority(MARTA)	Atlanta, GA	129,123.3	9	685,469.2	13	
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District(BART)	San Francisco, CA	125,784.2	10	1,655,369.3	10	
MTA Bus Company(MTABUS)	New York, NY	125,581.2	11	349,659.7	29	
King County Dept. of Transportation(King County Metro)	Seattle, WA	125,340.4	12	611,738.5	15	
Maryland Transit Administration(MTA)	Baltimore, MD	113,995.7	13	794,420.4	12	
Miami-Dade Transit(MDT)	Miami, FL	110,771.0	14	640,050.0	14	
Denver Regional Transportation District(RTD)	Denver, CO	104,291.4	15	597,776.7	17	
Tri-County Metropolitan Transp. District of Oregon(TriMet)	Portland, OR	99,493.6	16	516,666.0	18	
MTA Long Island Rail Road(MTA LIRR)	New York, NY	97,869.6	17	1,917,248.1	7	
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System(MTS)	San Diego, CA	91,869.9	18	423,809.9	23	
Metropolitan Transit Auth. of Harris County, Texas(Metro)	Houston, TX	85,369.6	19	606,140.3	16	
Metro-North Commuter Railroad(MTA-MNCR)	New York, NY	84,976.5	20	2,588,848.4	3	
Metro Transit	Minneapolis, MN	84,535.5	21	374,842.3	26	
Port Authority Trans-Hudson Corporation(PATH)	New York, NY	84,168.2	22	352,950.5	28	
Northeast Illinois Regional Commuter Railroad(Metra)	Chicago, IL	74,382.1	23	1,668,440.9	9	
Dallas Area Rapid Transit(DART)	Dallas, TX	70,863.3	24	483,808.0	20	
City and County of Honolulu DOT Services(DTS)	Urban Honolulu, HI	67,449.2	25	374,196.1	27	
Port Authority of Allegheny County(Port Authority) Regional Transp. Commission of Southern Nevada(RTC)	Pittsburgh, PA Las Vegas, NV	63,650.3 60,964.7	26 27	285,039.9 231,188.9	31 37	
Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District(AC Transit)	San Francisco, CA	56,765.0	28	221,383.4	39	
Orange County Transportation Authority(OCTA)	Los Angeles, CA	51,783.4	29	250,759.5	34	
Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority(GCRTA)	Cleveland, OH	49,245.9	30	223,146.2	38	
Bi-State Development Agency(METRO)	St. Louis, MO	48,133.4	31	311,089.2	30	
Utah Transit Authority(UTA)	Salt Lake City, UT	46,279.4	32	375,286.1	25	
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority(VTA)	San Jose, CA	44,539.3	33	244,745.7	35	
VIA Metropolitan Transit(VIA)	San Antonio, TX	44,012.7	34	208,722.5	40	
Milwaukee County Transit System(MCTS)	Milwaukee, WI	41,493.4	35	145,312.9	48	
City of Phoenix Public Transit Department(Valley Metro)	Phoenix, AZ	40,850.1	36	149,374.8	47	
Broward County Transit Division(BCT)	Miami, FL	38,785.7	37	176,490.4	43	
Pace - Suburban Bus Division(PACE)	Chicago, IL	34,793.9	38	258,667.8	33	
Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority(CMTA)	Austin, TX	34,178.5	39	167,669.1	44	
Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority(ST)	Seattle, WA	32,942.6	40	424,593.5	22	
Westchester County Bee-Line System	New York, NY	31,683.0	41	156,551.8	46	
Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority(LYNX)	Orlando, FL	30,141.2	42	178,129.6	42	
Charlotte Area Transit System(CATS)	Charlotte, NC	29,438.4	43	157,661.6	45	
Nassau Inter County Express(NICE)	New York, NY	28,744.2	44	137,589.5	49	
Long Beach Transit(LBT)	Los Angeles, CA	28,532.6	45	89,548.1	(a)	
Puerto Rico Highway and Transp. Authority(PRHTA)	San Juan, PR	27,881.9	46	110,546.7	(a)	
Niagara Frontier Transportation Authority(NFT Metro)	Buffalo, NY	26,402.9	47	96,934.7	(a)	
Ride-On Montgomery County Transit	Washington, DC	26,391.6	48	99,302.5	(a)	
Sacramento Regional Transit District(Sacramento RT)	Sacramento, CA	26,376.0	49	127,732.7	(a)	
City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation(LADOT)	Los Angeles, CA	25,585.0	50	62,599.2	(a)	
Southern California Regional Rail Authority(Metrolink)	Los Angeles, CA	13,429.4	(a)	440,984.5	21	
Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board Caltrain(PCJPB)	San Francisco, CA	18,701.9	(a)	392,240.5	24	
Academy Lines, Inc.	New York, NY	3,873.8	(a)	271,628.0	32	
Hudson Transit Lines, Inc.(Short Line) Washington State Ferries(WSF)	New York, NY Seattle, WA	4,577.3 22,850.7	(a)	242,941.4 179,408.7	36 41	
Virginia Railway Express(VRE)	Washington, DC	4,431.7	(a) (a)	132,623.6	50	
virginia Italiway Express(VIL)	vvasinigion, DC	1 1,4 31./	(a)	102,020.0	50	

Includes only transit agencies reporting to Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database for urbanized areas.

(a) Not among 50 largest transit agencies in this category.

For complete size ranking lists of all transit agencies reporting to the Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database see the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix B: Operating Statistics and Rankings at www.apta.com.

Table 4: 50 Urbanized Areas with the Most Transit Travel, Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips,
Passenger Miles, and Population, Report Year 2014 (Thousands)

Passenger Miles	, and Populatio	n, Repo	ort Year 2014 (T	housar	nds)	
Urbanized Area	Unlinked Passenger Trip	os (a)	Passenger Mile	s (a)	Population (2010 Censu	s)
	Thousands	Rank	Thousands	Rank	Number	Rank
New York-Newark, NY-NJ-CT	4,358,276.9	1	23,367,608.4	1	18,351,295	1
Los Angeles-Long Beach-Anaheim, CA	682,209.4	2	3,549,682.3	3	12,150,996	2
Chicago, IL-IN	632,405.4	3	4,205,942.5	2	8,608,208	3
Washington, DC-VA-MD	470,392.2	4	2,452,060.1	5	4,586,770	8
San Francisco-Oakland, CA	456,958.5	5	2,966,172.7	4	3,281,212	13
Boston, MA-NH-RI	417,946.7	6	1,920,409.5	6	4,181,019	10
Philadelphia, PA-NJ-DE-MD	369,864.9	7	1,815,270.3	7	5,441,567	5
Seattle, WA	207,367.4	8	1,407,502.5	8	3,059,393	14
Miami, FL	170,607.7	9	1,052,359.4	9	5,502,379	4
Atlanta, GA	137,477.5	10	824,825.4	10	4,515,419	9
Baltimore, MD	115,882.2	11	804,861.5	11	2,203,663	19
Portland, OR-WA	112,416.2	12	562,623.7	15	1,849,898	24
San Diego, CA	109,684.9	13	650,125.1	12	2,956,746	15
Denver-Aurora, CO	104,489.1	14	606,025.9	14	2,374,203	18
	· ·	15	•	17		
Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN-WI	97,602.9		495,570.3		2,650,890	16
Houston, TX	85,931.7	16	613,040.1	13	4,944,332	7
Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington, TX	80,360.1	17	537,876.3	16	5,121,892	6
Phoenix-Mesa, AZ	75,590.2	18	365,187.4	20	3,629,114	12
Urban Honolulu, HI	67,449.2	19	374,196.1	19	802,459	(b)
Pittsburgh, PA	65,973.5	20	314,341.4	22	1,733,853	27
Las Vegas-Henderson, NV	65,513.0	21	240,040.1	26	1,886,011	23
San Juan, PR	54,690.5	22	248,369.3	24	2,148,346	21
St. Louis, MO-IL	51,032.3	23	337,099.2	21	2,150,706	20
Cleveland, OH	50,071.4	24	232,043.6	27	1,780,673	25
Salt Lake City-West Valley City, UT	46,279.4	25	375,286.1	18	1,021,243	42
San Jose, CA	44,539.3	26	244,745.7	25	1,664,496	29
San Antonio, TX	44,125.9	27	209,949.4	28	1,758,210	26
Milwaukee, WI	43,069.2	28	159,789.4	33	1,376,476	35
Detroit, MI	38,702.2	29	249,829.5	23	3,734,090	11
Austin, TX	34,193.1	30	167,669.1	31	1,362,416	37
Sacramento, CA	31,288.3	31	172,618.0	30	1,723,634	28
Tampa-St. Petersburg, FL	31,223.1	32	161,189.5	32	2,441,770	17
Orlando, FL	30,311.2	33	180,741.5	29	1,510,516	32
Charlotte, NC-SC	29,691.3	34	157,661.6	34	1,249,442	38
Buffalo, NY	26,402.9	35	96,934.7	39	935,906	46
Riverside-San Bernardino, CA	25,598.2	36	151,308.9	35	1,932,666	22
New Orleans, LA	23,938.3	37	62,615.8	(b)	899,703	49
Providence, RI-MA	21,644.2	38	105,300.2	38	1,190,956	39
Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN	20,949.4	39	117,031.3	37	1,624,827	30
Tucson, AZ	20,397.2	40	87,923.6	42	843,168	(b)
Columbus, OH	19,483.2	41	80,667.3	44	1,368,035	36
Hartford, CT	18,501.8	42	121,806.4	36	924,859	47
Virginia Beach, VA	17,499.4	43	90,047.3	41	1,439,666	34
Kansas City, MO-KS	17,406.7	44	72,565.5	48	1,519,417	31
Rochester, NY	17,373.8	45	48,621.7	(b)	720,572	(b)
Albany-Schenectady, NY	16,420.3	46	65,074.3	(b)	594,962	(b)
Durham, NC	15,739.5	47	67,618.3	(b)	347,602	(b)
Madison, WI	15,522.4	48	54,584.0	(b)	401,661	(b)
Louisville/Jefferson County, KY-IN	15,276.4	49	68,839.3	50	972,546	43
Albuquerque, NM	14,506.0	50	94,317.2	40	741,318	(b)
Hanford, CA	2,638.9	(b)	84,542.9	43	87,941	(b)
Jacksonville, FL	12,596.1	(b)	80,165.4	45	1,065,219	40
El Paso, TX-NM	12,746.7	(b)	76,761.4	46	803,086	(b)
Stockton, CA	5,533.6	(b)	72,833.5	47	370,583	(b)
Lancaster-Palmdale, CA	3,632.7	(b)	69,672.2	49	341,219	(b)
Includes only transit agencies reporting to Fed						(0)

Lancaster-Palmdale, CA 3,632.7 (b) 69,672.2 49 Includes only transit agencies reporting to Federal Transit Administration FY 2014 National Transit Database.

For complete size ranking lists of all urbanized areas reported in the Federal Transit Administration 2014 National Transit Database see the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix B: Operating Statistics and Rankings at www.apta.com.

⁽a) Summed from data reported by individual transit agencies in the Federal Transit Administration 2014 National Transit Database. Total amounts reported by each agency are included in the urbanized area in which that agency is headquartered regardless of the number of urbanized areas in which the agency operates transit service.

⁽b) Not among 50 largest areas in this category; only areas in the top 50 in unlinked trips and passenger miles are included; not all of the top 50 areas in population are included.

Passenger Travel

Public transportation provided more than 10 billion unlinked passenger trips for more than 50 billion passenger miles for the ninth-consecutive year in 2014. Unlinked passenger trips are the metric required for federal reporting in the National Transit Database and count a person each time they board a vehicle, whether they are starting their trip or transferring from another transit vehicle. Passenger miles measure how far all transit riders travelled in total. Both statistics measure the consumption of transit service, but in different ways – passenger trips recognize each time a passenger boards or alights a transit vehicle during travel while passenger miles measure the total amount of travel.

Table 5: Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles by Mode, Millions
Report Year 2014

Mode of Service	Passeng	ger Trips	Passeng	Average Trip	
wiode of Service	Millions	Percent	Millions	Percent	Length (Miles)
Bus	5,113	47.6%	19,380	32.5%	3.8
Bus Rapid Transit	54	0.5%	157	0.3%	2.9
Commuter Bus	107	1.0%	2,919	4.9%	27.3
Commuter Rail	490	4.6%	11,718	19.6%	23.9
Demand Response	233	2.2%	2,267	3.8%	9.7
Ferryboat	79	0.7%	505	0.8%	6.4
Heavy Rail	3,928	36.5%	18,339	30.7%	4.7
Hybrid Rail	7	0.1%	91	0.2%	13.0
Light Rail	483	4.5%	2,490	4.2%	5.2
Other Rail Modes (a)	47	0.4%	57	0.1%	1.2
Publico	28	0.3%	111	0.2%	4.0
Streetcar	48	0.4%	93	0.2%	1.9
Transit Vanpool	38	0.4%	1,359	2.3%	35.8
Trolleybus	96	0.9%	158	0.3%	1.6
Total All Modes	10,750	100.0%	59,644	100.0%	5.5

⁽a) Aerial tramway, automated guideway transit, cable car, inclined plane, and monorail.

Unlinked Passenger Trips by Mode data from 1890 through 2014 and Passenger Miles by Mode from 1977 through 2014 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

Passenger trips can be measured in two ways called linked trips and unlinked trips. Linked trips are an entire journey from its origin, for instance at home in the morning, to the destination which may be school or work or some other place. If a traveler transfers and takes another transit vehicle such as two buses or rides a bus and then transfers to a heavy rail train, it is still only one linked trip. The other way to measure trips is unlinked trips where a new trip is counted each time a passenger boards a transit vehicle. If a rider takes a bus and then transfers to a train to reach a destination, the rider takes only one linked trip but takes two unlinked trips, one on the bus and one on the train. The federal government, through the National Transit Database (NTD), requires transit agencies to report their ridership measured in unlinked passenger trips. There are several reasons for this. Primarily it is because transit agencies cannot always tell if a passenger is starting a trip or transferring. If a passenger has a pass and simply shows it to the driver, there is no exact record if that passenger is starting a trip or transferring. The NTD, however, deals in exact numbers. The NTD also collects data for each transit mode to better measure the performance of each mode. If a linked trip was on more than one mode, as in the example of a person transferring from a bus to a train, the trips would need to be assigned to one of the modes and would distort the measurement of each mode's performance.

The average length of a trip on each transit mode varies. The average transit vanpool trip is 35.8 miles, longest of the transit modes that are shown in Table 5, while the average trip on an other rail mode, such as an inclined plane, is 1.2 miles, shortest of all transit modes. Trip length is a factor in both trip costs and speeds. A longer trip means that a smaller portion of each passengers trip time is spent boarding and alighting from the transit vehicle, reducing the portion of costs and trip time for those activities.

Since the early 1970s, public transportation has shown a long-term growth in ridership. Since 1973, as shown in Figure 1, overall transit ridership has grown 55 percent. The rate of growth differs significantly among modes of service. Bus ridership has grown 6 percent over that time period while heavy rail and light rail ridership have each more than doubled. Since the number of demand response service unlinked passenger trips was first reported in 1984 they have more than tripled and since commuter railroad trips were first reported in 1974 they have more than doubled.

Public transportation ridership has increased by over a billion trips each of the past two decades. Reasons for this continuing growth include investments in public transportation, as well as renewed interest in central city living resulting

in growing investments in transit accessible areas. Continued investment in public transportation has meant better service across the country and the construction of new services in many cities. Cities like Washington, DC, are pursuing development opportunities around rail stations to create transit-oriented environments, revitalizing parts of the city that were previously underdeveloped. Cities like Los Angeles and Denver are adding new lines to their rail networks, making high-quality transit available to more people. Other cities like Dallas, Salt Lake City, Phoenix, and Charlotte have built new rail systems from the ground up, dramatically increasing their ridership.




Figure 1: Transit Ridership at Highest Level in Four Decades

Figure 2 compares transit ridership growth in the short-term to other measures. Over the decade since 2005, transit passenger miles of travel have increased 18 percent and population has grown 9 percent, while highway travel has grown 5 percent.





Figure 2: Since 2005 Transit Use Has Grown More Than Population or Highway Travel


Sources: Transit Passenger Miles from *APTA Public Transportation Fact Book* for 2004 through 2015 and estimated from *APTA Public Transportation Ridership Report* unlinked trip data for 2015, Population from U.S. Census Bureau, Highway Vehicle Miles of Travel from Federal Highway Administration *Travel Volume Trends*.

Figure 3: Number of Commuters Using Transit for Their Work Trip



Source: U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

Figure 4: Percent of Workers Commuting on Transit



Source: U.S. Census Bureau: American Community Survey

More than one-half of transit trips are trips to or from work or work related trips. The importance of transit as a means of travel to work has increased substantially over the past 9 years. Beginning in 2005, the U.S. Census Bureau began reporting data on the means of transportation to work on an annual basis in the American Community Survey.

In 2005, 6.2 million or 4.6 percent of all U.S. workers commuted on public transportation. By 2015 the number of workers commuting on transit had risen to 7.8 million, a 25 percent increase in 10 years. In 2015, 5.2 percent of all U.S. workers commuted on transit.

The portion of workers using transit is higher in urban areas, especially more congested large urban areas. In metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs), which are comprised of entire counties and often include significant amounts of rural land use, 5.9 percent of commuters used transit in 2015. In the 100 largest MSAs 7.1 percent of commuters rode on transit, in the 10 largest MSAs 12.9 percent of workers used transit, and in the central cities of those 10 largest MSAs 31.3 percent of commuters used transit. Given the rush-hour congestion found in American cities, asking those workers to commute in personal vehiicles could result in gridlock for everyone.

Service Provided

Table 6: Vehicle Miles Operated, Vehicle Hours Operated, and Speed in Transit Service by Mode, Report Year 2014

2) 111000, 1100011 1001 2011									
Mode	Vehicle Total Miles (Millions)	Vehicle Revenue Miles (Millions)	Vehicle Total Hours (Millions)	Vehicle Revenue Hours (Millions)	Average Speed in Revenue Service (Miles per Hour)				
Bus	2,189.7	1,903.0	172.4	156.6	12.2				
Bus Rapid Transit	10.2	9.5	0.9	0.9	10.6				
Commuter Bus	233.7	182.2	7.8	5.9	30.6				
Commuter Rail	370.8	342.5	11.8	10.7	32.0				
Demand Response	1,595.1	1,372.6	106.7	92.5	14.8				
Ferryboat	4.1	4.0	0.5	0.5	8.0				
Heavy Rail	676.2	657.2	35.0	32.8	20.0				
Hybrid Rail	3.1	3.0	0.1	0.1	30.0				
Light Rail	104.7	102.6	6.6	6.4	16.0				
Other Rail Modes (a)	10.6	10.4	1.2	0.9	11.6				
Publico	23.5	21.6	2.3	2.1	10.3				
Streetcar	6.1	5.9	0.8	0.8	7.4				
Transit Vanpool	228.5	228.4	5.7	5.7	40.1				
Trolleybus	11.4	11.0	1.6	1.6	6.9				
Total	5,467.7	4,853.9	353.7	317.6	15.3				

⁽a) Aerial tramway, automated guideway transit, cable car, inclined plane, and monorail.

Vehicle mile data by mode from 1926 through 2014; vehicle hour data by mode from 1995 through 2014; and average speed data by mode from 1995 through 2014 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

SERVICE PROVIDED/MODAL SHARES OF SERVICE PROVIDED AND CONSUMED

In 2014, public transportation in the United States provided 4.9 billion vehicle revenue miles of service; operating transit vehicles for 318 million hours of revenue service. The fastest service was provided by transit vanpool and commuter rail service, which carry passengers on long trips, at 40.1 and 32.0 miles per hour, respectively. Heavy rail, because of a right-of-way separate from other traffic, offers fast service in higher density urban areas. Modes operating entirely in traffic on city streets are slower. Bus service, which operates in suburbs as well as central cities, averages 12.2 miles per hour. Trolleybus service, which operates primarily in central cities, has an average speed of 6.9 miles per hour. Other modes operate at lower speeds when they are in denser areas with more frequent stop services.

Modal Shares of Service Provided and Consumed

The shares of service provided and consumed among transit modes vary substantially. The size and capacity of transit vehicles varies from ferryboats carrying more than 1,000 passengers down to demand response vehicles which often carry only one or two passengers. The distance passengers are carried by different modes is also a function of speed. Transit vanpools and commuter rail vehicles average more than 30 miles per hour, while ferryboats and trolley buses operate at less than 10 miles per hour.




Figure 5: Modal Shares of Service Provided and Consumed, 2014

Figures 5 compares the portions of all public transportation service provided and consumed by groups of similar modes. More than one-half of vehicle revenue hours operated are provided by buses, which carry more than one-half of all passengers. Because bus passengers take shorter trips and buses operate at lower speeds compared to other modes, they carry less than two-fifths of all passenger miles traveled. Conversely, rail vehicles provide only 16 percent of vehicle revenue hours of service but due to longer and higher speed trips carry 55 percent of all passenger miles traveled on transit.

Vehicles

U.S. public transportation systems operated 146,049 railcars, buses, and vans in a typical peak period during 2014, out of a total of 181,847 vehicles available for service. Demand response service has the largest fleet of vehicles, with 71,359 vehicles available for peak service, while bus service vehicles are a close second, with 64,573 vehicles available for peak service. The heavy rail fleet of 10,551 vehicles is the largest rail vehicle fleet. Table 7 provides information on the number of public transportation vehicles.

Table 7: Revenue Vehicles by Mode Report Year 2014

Mode	Vehicles Available fo	r Maximum Service	Vehicles Used in Maximum Period Service		
Mode	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Bus	64,573	35.5%	51,325	35.1%	
Bus Rapid Transit	440	0.2%	235	0.2%	
Commuter Bus	6053	3.3%	4,842	3.3%	
Commuter Rail	7,337	4.0%	6,326	4.3%	
Demand Response	71,359	39.2%	55,998	38.3%	
Ferryboat	202	0.1%	165	0.1%	
Heavy Rail	10,551	5.8%	9,273	6.3%	
Hybrid Rail	50	<0.1%	39	<0.1%	
Light Rail	2,057	1.1%	1,495	1.0%	
Other Rail Modes (a)	422	0.2%	325	0.2%	
Publico	2,873	1.6%	2,096	1.4%	
Streetcar	337	0.2%	213	0.1%	
Transit Vanpool	15,056	8.3%	13,313	9.1%	
Trolleybus	537	0.3%	404	0.3%	
Total	181,847	100.0%	146,049	100.0%	

Revenue vehicles by mode data from 1926 through 2014 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

The Federal Transit Administration establishes a minimum useful life that a vehicle must exceed before federal financial assistance can be used to replace the vehicle. As reported in Table 8, a large portion of transit vehicles exceed that age. Many transit vehicles, however, have been rehabilitated, which not only extends their useful lives and reduces their maintenance costs, but also extends the age at which they may be replaced.

Table 8: Vehicle Characteristics by Mode
As of January 2015

Mode	Average Age (Years)	Percent with Alternative Power (a)	Minimum Useful Life (Years) (b)	Percent Accessible (c)	Percent Rehabilitated During Lifetime
Bus, All Modes	7.8	46.9%	12	99.7%	9.6%
Commuter and Hybrid Rail Cars	18.4	53.3%	25	86.8%	24.3%
Commuter Rail Locomotives	20.5	3.2%	25		38.9%
Demand Response	4.0	17.0%	4	89.6%	0.0%
Ferryboat	28.0	53.5%	25	58.7%	4.4%
Heavy Rail	21.2	100.0%	25	100.0\$	20.9%
Light Rail and Streetcar	17.8	100.0%	25	89.6%	17.1%
Other Rail Modes	21.8	95.7%	25	81.3%	5.3%
Transit Vanpool	4.6	27.4%	4	4.0%	0.0%
Trolleybus	13.4	100.0%	15	100.0%	21.3%
All Modes		51.9%		91.8%	10.9%

Based on a sample from annual APTA 2015 Public Transportation Vehicle Database.

⁽a) Alternative-powered is defined as vehicles powered by anything other than diesel or gasoline, but including particulate-trapequipped buses.

⁽b) Federal requirement for "Minimum Useful Life" in FTA C 9300.1B Capital Investment Program Guidance and Application Instruction, at www.fta.dot.gov.

⁽c) Accessible by lift, ramp, or station infrastructure.

⁽d) Self-propelled cars only

Vehicle Characteristics data by mode from 1990 through 2015 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.




Figure 6: Increase of Transit Vehicle Accessiblity, 1993-2015

As shown in Figure 6, the transit vehicle fleet has reached near total accessibility for persons using wheelchairs and persons with other travel disabilities. From 1993 to 2015, the percentage of buses that are accessible increased from 60 percent to 99.6 percent. Over the same period, the accessible portion of the commuter rail fleet went from 32 percent to 87 percent, the light rail fleet from 41 percent to 90 percent, the heavy rail fleet from 83 percent to 99 percent, and the trolleybus fleet from 47 percent to 100 percent. The accessible portion of the demand response fleet, where specific vehicles can be assigned to trips to meet a passenger's individual needs, increased from 85 percent of vehicles accessible to 90 percent.

Table 9: Vehicle Equipment by Mode as of January 2015

Type of Equipment	Bus	Bus Rapid Transit	Com- muter Bus	Com- muter Rail	Hybrid Rail	Heavy Rail	Light Rail	Street- car	Ferry- boat
Two-Way Radio	94.2%	100.0%	91.3%	65.2%	100.0%	38.8%	98.6%	95.3%	88.9%
Public Address System	93.1%	70.6%	95.4%	77.6%	100.0%	96.0%	95.6%	83.3%	88.9%
Automated Stop Announcement	71.2%	100.0%	1.3%	35.3%	100.0%	55.6%	89.8%	69.4%	0.0%
Automatic Passenger Counter	50.0%	100.0%	6.7%	4.2%	75.0%	0.2%	39.5%	41.4%	0.0%
Passenger-Operator Intercom	4.0%	0.0%	5.2%	45.2%	50.0%	70.6%	86.0%	1.2%	53.3%
Security or CCTV Type Camera	73.2%	100.0%	18.2%	5.8%	100.0%	19.7%	57.5%	95.0%	59.1%
Exterior Bicycle Rack	77.1%	29.4%	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%
Automatic Vehicle Location or GPS	92.2&	100.0%	89.0%	42.2%	100.0%	15.9%	72.4%	95.7%	77.8%
Traffic Light Preemption	14.1%	100.0%	1.3%	NA	NA	NA	17.4%	16.7%	NA
Restroom	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	51.9%	0.0%	NA	NA	NA	71.7%
WiFi	6.4%	29.4%	3.2%	9.5%	50.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	37.8%
Electrical Outlets	1.1%	0.0%	57.9%	53.2%	25.0%	0.9%	16.2%	0.0%	64.4%
Dynamic Destination Signs	8.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	14.2%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Electronic Destination Signs	95.5%	100.0%	89.4%	40.0%	91.7%	56.4%	61.6%	62.8%	0.0%

NA = Not Applicable

Based on a sample from annual APTA 2015 Public Transportation Vehicle Database.

Vehicle amenities data by mode from 2001 through 2015 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

Communications between transit vehicle operators and their central control, and between transit vehicle operators and their passengers, is a primary purpose of many types of equipment being added to transit vehicles. Two-way radios and automatic vehicle location equipment allow transit agencies to know where vehicles are and operate them in an efficient manner, and to provide real-time information to passengers waiting for vehicles at stops and stations. Public address systems, automated stop announcements, passenger-operator intercoms and closed circuit cameras keep passengers informed and increase the safety and security of their transit trip.




Figure 7: Growth in Percentage of Buses with Passenger Equipment, 2001-2015

The increase in the percentage of buses with equipment for providing customer amenities shows a sustained effort by the transit industry to make travel safer, easier, and more efficient. Increased security is demonstrated by the increase in buses equipped with closed circuit security cameras from 13 percent to 73 percent between 2001 and 2015. As shown in Figure 7, enhanced amenities to improve passengers' trips include an increase in buses equipped with automated stop announcements from 10 percent to 71 percent in 14 years, and buses with exterior bicycle racks from 32 percent to 77 percent. Efficiency is enhanced by the growth of automatic vehicle location systems, which improve the operation of bus fleets as well as the availability of information on bus arrival times, from 21 percent of the bus fleet to 92 percent over the same time period. Further use of technology can help better deploy transit vehicles, manage congestion, and enhance system performance.

Table 10: Vehicle Power Sources by Mode Percent of Vehicles as of January 2015

Mode	Electricity	Diesel Fuel	Electric and Other (Hybrid)	Gasoline	CNG, LNG, and Blends	Other	Total
Bus	0.2%	50.5%	17.8%	1.1%	22.6%	7.9%	100.0%
Bus Rapid Transit		8.8%	91.2%				100.0%
Commuter Bus		93.3%		0.8%	2.5%	3.3%	100.0%
Commuter Rail Self- Propelled Cars	99.7%	0.3%					100.0%
Commuter Rail Locomotives	3.2%	96.8%					100.0%
Demand Response		28.1%	2.3%	54.9%	9.6%	5.2%	100.0%
Ferryboat		46.5%	53.5%				100.0%
Heavy Rail	100.0%						100.0%
Hybrid Rail	0.0%	100.0%					100.0%
Light Rail	100.0%	0.0%					100.0%
Other Rail Modes	95.7%					4.3%	100.0%
Streetcar	100.0%						100.0%
Transit Vanpool	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	72.1%	26.2%	0.6%	100.0%
Trolleybus	87.3%		12.7%				100.0%
All Modes	24.6%	32.5%	9.5%	13.8%	14.8%	4.9%	100.0%

⁽a) Unpowered vehicles.

⁽b) Overhead wire electric with diesel for off-wire operation.

Based on a sample from annual APTA 2015 Public Transportation Vehicle Database.

Vehicle Power Sources data by mode from 1996 through 2015 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

Transit vehicles use a variety of fuels. Heavy rail, light rail, and trolleybus are nearly all electrically powered. However, road modes such as bus and demand response use several fuels. More than 95 percent of buses were diesel powered as recently as 1995 but that percentage has declined as more environmentally friendly natural gas and hybrid buses have been introduced into the transit fleet. Table 10 reports the percentage of vehicles powered by different fuels at the beginning of 2015.

Infrastructure

Rail transit systems own track and rights-of-way, stations, administrative buildings, and maintenance facilities. Bus systems have some dedicated roadways and also have passenger stations and stops, maintenance facilities, parking lots, and administrative buildings. Table 11 reports the miles of track owned and operated by rail systems and the directional route miles over which rail cars are operated. Directional route miles are a National Transit Database metric that counts all the right-of-way rail vehicles operate over. If they operate in one direction, the right-of way is counted as one mile for each physical mile; if vehicles operate in both directions, the right-of-way is counted as 2 miles, but neither the number of "routes" in the normal sense of trains going to different destinations nor the number of tracks affects the count of directional route miles.

Commuter railroads have the most route mileage, while heavy rail and light rail have nearly the same route mileage. The largest portion of commuter rail and light rail mileage is at grade level while a large amount of heavy rail mileage is elevated or in subways. Nearly all heavy rail at grade mileage is separated from road and pedestrian traffic.

Table 11: Rail Track Miles and Directiona	l Route Miles,	Report Year 2014	(a)	
-------------------------------------------	----------------	------------------	-----	--

			Miles of	Track (a)			Directional
Mode	At Grade	Elevated on Structure	Elevated on Fill	Open-Cut	Subway	Total	Route Miles (a)
Cable Car	8.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.8	8.8
Commuter Rail	7,777.6	80.8	467.1	74.3	42.9	8,442.7	8,754.8
Heavy Rail	782.0	509.0	113.4	68.9	800.3	2,273.6	1,622.0
Hybrid Rail	198.6	2.3	0.8	0.0	0.0	201.7	249.8
Inclined Plane	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	2.5
Light Rail	1,152.9	156.8	82.0	53.8	83.3	1,528.8	1,452.7
Monorail/Automated Guideway	4.0	31.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.9	32.7
Streetcar	295.0	0.6	0.2	0.0	5.0	300.8	174.9
All Rail Modes	10,220.4	781.4	663.5	197.0	931.5	12,793.8	12,298.2

⁽a) Summary Data from RY 2014 National Transit Database; includes systems reporting to the National Transit Database only. Rail Track Miles and Directional Route Miles data by mode from 2002 through 2014 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

Although most bus service is operated in mixed service on roads and streets, it is also operated over nearly 5,000 miles of exclusive and controlled right-of-way directional route miles, nearly 40 percent of the amount of rail directional route miles. Buses also operate over more than 230,000 miles of streets and roads throughout the United States. Bus and ferryboat lane and directional route miles are reported in Table 12.

Approximately one-third of the 5,262 passenger stations in urbanized areas are multi-modal. As shown in Table 13, there are more than 1,000 stations for each of three modes: bus, commuter rail, and heavy rail. Other modes also have street stops, for which data are not available.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Table 12: Non-Rail Mode Lane Miles and Directional Route Miles, Report Year 2014 (a)

		Lane M	liles (a)		Direct	tional Route Mil	es (a)
	Exclusive Fixed Guideway Right-of- Way	Fixed High- Guideway Intensity Right-of- Bus Right-		Controlled Access High Intensity Bus Right- of-Way Total Transit Right-of- Way		Exclusive Controlled Right-of- Way Way	
Aerial Tramway	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0
Bus	295.1	703.9	1,647.1	2,646.1	902.8	2,007.8	208,705.1
Bus Rapid Transit	152.7	0.0	16.5	169.2	104.5	98.4	12.2
Commuter Bus	36.4	659.3	696.6	1,392.3	784.2	859.0	24,841.4
Ferryboat	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	761.5	0.0	0.0
Trolleybus	406.5	0.0	0.0	406.5	1.5	456.5	0.0
Total Non-Rail Modes	890.7	1,363.2	2,360.2	4,614.1	2,555.7	3,421.6	233,558.7

⁽a) Summary Data from RY 2014 National Transit Database; includes systems reporting to the National Transit Database only. Bus and Ferryboat Lane Miles and Directional Route Miles data by mode from 2002 through 2014 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

Table 13: Passenger Stations by Mode, Report Year 2014 (a)

		Number of Stations	3			
Mode	Total	ADA Accessible	Multimodal	Number of Escalators	Number of Elevators	
Aerial Tramway	2	2	0	0	1	
Bus	1,475	1,424	552	231	438	
Bus Rapid Transit	27	27	3	11	7	
Commuter Bus	235	233	86	91	102	
Commuter Rail	1,256	860	594	205	477	
Ferryboat	99	89	10	6	13	
Heavy Rail	1,130	558	202	1,794	1,295	
Hybrid Rail	55	55	48	0	1	
Inclined Plane	6	6	2	0	3	
Light Rail	828	762	306	204	357	
Monorail/Automated Guideway	58	57	3	73	57	
Street Car Rail	86	42	7	1	4	
Trolleybus	5	5	1	0	0	
Total	5,262	4,120	1,814	2,616	2,755	

(a) Summary Data from RY 2014 National Transit Database; includes systems reporting to the National Transit Database only. Passenger Stations data by mode from 2002 through 2014 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

Dependability is a basic characteristic of quality transit service. Table 14 reports that transit agencies in urbanized areas operate more than 1,700 maintenance facilities to ensure their vehicles are ready to provide service.

Figure 8 depicts the increased prevalence of electronic devices in rail passenger stations for better passenger information and improved passenger safety. Communication of passenger information improved between 2000 and 2014 as the portion of rail stations with public address systems grew from 47 percent to 74 percent, the portion of rail stations with vehicle status displays grew from 3 percent to 46 percent, and the portion of rail stations with informational video displays grew from 12 percent to 48 percent. Passenger safety has improved with 56 percent of rail stations having security cameras in 2014 compared to 24 percent in 2000. The percentages of stations with these amenities by mode are shown in Table 15.

Table 14: Maintenance Facilities by Mode, Report Year 2014 (a)

		Nι	mber of Mainter	nance Facilities	(a)	
Mada	Gen	eral Purpose Ma	aintenance Facil	ities	Heavy	Total
Mode	Under 200 Vehicles	200 to 300 Vehicles	Over 300 Vehicles	Total General Facilities	Maintenance Facilities	Maintenance Facilities
Bus	690.5	91.8	18.8	801.2	35.7	836.9
Bus Rapid Transit	2.5	0.9	0.2	3.5	0.1	3.6
Commuter Bus	91.9	8.6	1.8	102.3	1.3	103.6
Commuter Rail	59.0	5.0	8.0	72.0	14.9	86.9
Demand Response	502.2	14.1	4.0	520.3	1.3	521.6
Ferryboat	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	1.0	21.0
Heavy Rail	28.6	8.0	12.0	48.6	9.3	57.9
Hybrid Rail	7.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	7.0
Light Rail	34.0	1.0	0.0	35.0	4.1	39.1
Streetcar	13.7	0.0	0.0	13.7	1.7	15.4
Transit Vanpool	19.2	0.0	4.2	23.4	0.0	23.4
Trolleybus	4.0	1.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	5.0
Other	9.0	0.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	9.0
Total	1,481.6	130.4	49.0	1,661.0	69.4	1,730.4

⁽a) Summary Data from RY 2014 National Transit Database; includes systems reporting to the National Transit Database only. Maintenance Facilities data by mode from 2002 through 2014 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

Figure 8: Growth in Percentage of Rail Passenger Stations with Electronic Amenities, 2000-2014




Table 15: Passenger Station Equipment by Mode as of September 1, 2014 (a)

		Percent of Stations with:								
Mode	Number Stations in Sample	Public Address Systems	Vehicle Arrival Time Displays	Informa- tion/Ad Displays	Security Cameras	WiFi	Conces- sions	Restrooms		
Bus	1,058	13.9%	28.0%	43.4%	34.6%	6.8%	6.6%	20.4%		
Ferry	52	50.0%	3.8%	44.2%	55.6%	28.8%	15.4%	57.7%		
All Rail Modes	2,512	73.5%	46.4%	48.4%	56.3%	3.7%	23.8%	26.6%		
Total	3,622	55.8%	40.4%	46.9%	50.0%	5.0%	18.7%	25.2%		

⁽a) Based on a sample from annual APTA 2014 Public Transportation Infrastructure Database. Includes data only for transit agencies included in that database.

Passenger Station Equipment data by mode from 2000 through 2014 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

Passenger Station Parking

Parking facilities are important to provide access to transit stations. Nearly 30 percent of rail passengers drive to rail stations and an additional 10 percent of them arrive at stations as passengers in private vehicles. To accommodate drivers, transit agencies provide parking at their stations. More than 667,000 all-day spaces offer commuter parking at transit stations, and an additional 11,795 spaces offer short-term parking for quicker trips. More than 47,000 spaces in racks or storage facilities are also provided for bicycles. Automobile parking is provided at 38 percent of transit stations, and bicycle parking at 46 percent. Table 16 reports the number of these types of parking spaces by mode. These amounts are reported in an APTA survey and do not include additional parking provided by systems not participating in the survey and by local government parking facilities not owned by transit agencies.

		Automobile Parking Facilities				Bicycle Parking Facilities						
	Number	Number of Number Part-		Numbe	r of Bicycle	Spaces	Percent of	Percent of	Number of			
Mode	Stations in Sample	All-Day Auto Parking Spaces	Stations with All- Day Auto Parking	Day Auto Parking Spaces	Secure	Racks	Total	Stations with Secure Bike Parking	Stations with Bike Racks	Motor- cycle Spaces		
Bus	1,058	185,824	31.7%	4,352	1,838	10,039	11,877	16.4%	33.5%	399		
Ferry	52	7,740	19.2%	1,964	149	198	347	7.7%	34.6%	11		
Rail	2,512	473,640	40.5%	5,479	6,779	28,028	34,807	17.2%	51.6%	847		
Total	3.622	667.204	37.6%	11.795	8.766	38.265	47.031	16.8%	46.1%	1.257		

Table 16: Passenger Station Parking Supply by Mode as of September 1, 2014 (a)

Passenger Station Parking Supply data by mode from 2000 through 2014 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

Employees

In 2014, the public transportation industry employed 402,978 operating employees and 12,605 capital employees. Operating employees include workers in the functions of vehicle operations, vehicle maintenance, non-vehicle maintenance, and general administration. Transit agency capital employees are employees on transit agency staffs performing capitalized activities and do not include employees of vehicle manufacturers, engineering firms, building contractors, or other companies with capital investment contracts from transit agencies. Direct transit employees were paid a total of \$15.4 billion and received benefits of \$11.7 billion, for a total compensation of \$27.1 billion.

Public transportation spending supports many more jobs than solely the employees reported in Table 17. Table 18 reports the jobs supported by transit calculated from the *Economic Impact of Public Transportation Investment, 2014 Update* by the Economic Development Research Group. As shown in Table 18, the employment effect is estimated for direct, indirect, and induced jobs supported by transit spending. Direct jobs include transit system employees who operate and maintain the system's vehicles and facilities and those who administer the system, as well as employees of companies building transit station, rights-of way, and other facilities, or manufacturing transit vehicles. Indirect jobs are in industries that supply goods and services that enable direct spending such as materials and parts for building vehicles, guideways, and stations. Induced jobs result from workers re-spending income on consumer goods and services.

The report estimated jobs generated per \$1 billion in expenditures. The expenditure of \$1 billion for capital investment would result in 15,900 jobs and for operations would result in 24,200 jobs. Based on the typical mix of capital and operating expenditures, \$1 billion in spending would support 21,800. Transit spending in 2014, \$18.5 billion for capital investment and \$44.4 billion for operations, supports more than 1.3 million jobs based on the rates in their analysis. The long-term cost savings from that \$1 billion investment will result in 28,900 additional jobs in the 20th year, resulting in a long-term total support for more than 50,000 jobs per \$1 billion in expenditures.

Total 3,622 667,204 37.6% 11,795 8,766 38,265 47,031 16.8% 46.1% (a) Based on a sample from annual APTA 2014 Public Transportation Infrastructure Database. Includes data only for transit agencies included in that database.

Table 17: Employees by Mode and Function Report Year 2014

Mode	Vehicle Operations	Vehicle Mainte- nance	Non-Vehicle Mainte- nance	General Admin- istration	Operating Total	Capital	Total
Bus	127,644	32,249	6,711	17,582	184,186	2,672	186,858
Bus Rapid Transit	567	137	37	75	816	10	826
Commuter Bus	7,061	1,929	377	1,251	10,617	108	10,725
Commuter Rail	11,119	8,506	6,697	3,280	29,602	3,052	32,655
Demand Response	78,716	7,936	2,156	14,579	103,387	45	103,432
Ferryboat	3,521	553	214	469	4,757	115	4,872
Heavy Rail	20,289	9,481	17,511	5,440	52,721	5,300	58,021
Hybrid Rail	69	52	41	20	182	19	201
Light Rail	5,968	2,536	2,179	1,280	11,963	1,180	13,143
Other Rail Modes	601	454	294	244	1,593	16	1,609
Streetcar	514	228	107	79	927	64	992
Transit Vanpool	103	64	15	406	588	3	591
Trolleybus	1,157	232	166	82	1,638	20	1,657
Total	257,327	64,357	36,504	44,789	402,978	12,605	415,583

NR = Not Reported

Employees by mode data from 1931 through 2014 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

Table 18: Jobs Supported by Transit Expenditures
Report Year 2014

	Nopoli Todi 2011								
Category of Job	Total Transit Expenditures, Billions of Dollars, RY 2014		Jobs Su	pported per \$ Dollars (a)			Jobs Supported by 14 Transit Spending		
	Capital	Operating	Capital	Operating	Total	Capital	Operating	Total	
Jobs from Expenditures:									
Direct Jobs			5,822	13,069	10,984	107,508	580,588	688,096	
Indirect Jobs			4,231	2,142	2,743	78,129	95,158	173,287	
Induced Jobs			5,885	9,000	8,104	108,672	399,823	508,495	
Total Spending/Jobs	18.5	44.4	15,900	24,200	21,800	293,608	1,075,080	1,368,688	
Additional Jobs from Long-T	erm Cost Sa	n 20 th Year		28,931			1,819,491		
Total Jobs from Expenditure	and Long-Te	erm Cost Sav	ings Effect in	20 th Year	50,731			3,188,179	

(a) from Economic Development Research Group. *Economic Impact of Public Transportation Investment, 2014 Update.* May 2014. Available at www.apta.com. Top value from range for Direct, Indirect, and Induced Jobs; Recommended Value for Total Jobs.

Energy and Environment

Transit vehicles used a total of 6.75 billion kilowatt hours of electricity for propulsion power in 2014 and 1,043 million gallons of fossil fuels, as reported in Table 19. Diesel fuel remains the predominant fossil fuel but cleaner fuels such as liquefied natural gas (LNG), compressed natural gas (CNG), and biodiesel are now over 20 percent of all fossil fuels used in transit service.

Public transportation plays an important role in reducing the nation's energy use and greenhouse gas emissions. Due to the combined reduction in private passenger vehicle miles, reduced automobile congestion, and reduced travel distances due to the land use impact of public transportation, more than 4 billion gallons of gasoline are saved and 37 million metric tons of carbon dioxide emissions are avoided, as described in Table 20. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator, the annual carbon dioxide reductions provided by public transportation equals the annual carbon storage capacity of 29 million acres of forest. The EPA Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator is at http://www.epa.gov/cleanenergy/energy-resources/calculator. html#results. Priced at \$2.50 per gallon, the 4 billion gallons of gasoline saved annually reduces U.S. consumer costs by \$10 billion per year.

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

Table 19: Vehicle Fuel Consumption by Mode of Service Report Year 2014

Nopoli Toui 2014										
	Electricity			Fossil Fu	els (Millions of	f Gallons)				
Mode	(Millions of Kilowatt Hours)	Diesel Fuel	Gasoline	LNG and Blends	CNG and Blends	Biodiesel	Other	Total		
Bus	1.5	368.7	10.9	15.4	140.4	37.8	7.4	580.6		
Bus Rapid Transit	2.2	1.8	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	2.9		
Commuter Bus	0.0	43.1	0.8	0.0	4.4	0.4	0.0	48.7		
Commuter Rail	1,809.0	93.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	95.2		
Demand Response	0.0	54.4	184.9	0.0	8.6	5.4	2.7	256.1		
Ferryboat	0.0	39.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	40.5		
Heavy Rail	3,812.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Hybrid Rail	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.7		
Light Rail	938.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Other Rail	71.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Publico	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Streetcar	46.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Transit Vanpool	0.1	0.0	17.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.9		
Trolleybus	63.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total	6,745.0	603.3	214.5	15.4	154.6	45.7	10.1	1,043.6		

Vehicle Fuel Consumption data by mode from 1945 through 2013 can be found in the 2015 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

Table 20: Energy and Emission Benefits from Public Transportation

Changes in Fuel Use Due to Public Transportation	Total Energy Savings (Billion Gallons of Gasoline Equivalent)	Carbon Dioxide Emission Reductions (Million Metric Tons)
Reduction Directly from Riding Public Transportation as Replacement of Private Vehicle Miles, Gross	1.80	16.2
(Less Fuel Currently Used by Public Transportation)	(1.38)	(12.3)
Savings to Private Vehicle Drivers Because of Congestion Reduction Due to Public Transportation	0.34	3.0
Secondary Reduction Due to Reduced Travel Distance Related to Public Transportation Related Location Decisions	3.40	30.1
Total Savings Due to Public Transportation	4.16	37.0

Sources: ICF International, *The Broader Connection between Public Transportation, Energy Conservation and Greenhouse Gas Reduction,* 2008 and SAIC, *Public Transportation's Contribution to U.S. Greenhouse Gas Reduction,* 2007. Both are available at www.apta.com.

Improvements in transit technology and operations can also reduce transit energy use. Figure 9 shows the long-term trend in increasing efficiency of electrically powered transit rail cars. The number of passenger miles of travel for light rail vehicles and streetcars per kilowatt hour of electricity used rose 26 percent from 2.1 passenger miles per kilowatt hour in 1986 to 2.6 passenger miles per kilowatt hour in 2014. The number of passenger miles per kilowatt hour of electricity used for heavy rail vehicles increased 39 percent, from 3.5 passenger miles per kilowatt hour in 1986 to 4.8 passenger miles per kilowatt hour in 2014.





Figure 10: Percentage of Buses Powered by Alternative Fuels



Capital and Operating Expenses

In 2014, total public transportation expenditures were \$62.9 billion, with \$44.4 billion spent on operations and \$18.5 billion spent on capital investments. Heavy rail investments are the largest modal capital expenditures, at \$5.8 billion, followed by bus capital investments, at \$4.6 billion. The largest type of capital investment was for guideways, at \$6.5 billion, followed by passenger vehicles, at \$4.9 billion. Capital expenditures by mode and type are reported in Table 21.

CAPITAL AND OPERATING EXPENSES

Table 21: Capital Expense by Mode and Type, Millions of Dollars Report Year 2014

				. oa. 20.	-				
Туре	All Bus	Commut -er and Hybrid Rail	Demand Re- sponse	Heavy Rail	Light Rail and Street- car	Trolley- bus	Other	Total	% of Total
Guideway	177.9	1,252.3	0.0	1,922.7	3,159.0	5.9	4.0	6,521.7	35.3%
Passenger Stations	327.8	326.8	0.0	1,670.0	227.9	1.4	126.0	2,680.0	14.5%
Administrative Buildings	135.6	13.2	12.9	59.7	0.7	0.8	0.5	223.4	1.2%
Maintenance Facilities	661.5	127.5	53.7	227.9	138.1	0.0	14.3	1,223.0	6.6%
Facilities Subtotal	1,302.7	1,719.8	66.6	3,880.3	3,525.7	8.1	144.8	10,648.0	57.7%
Passenger Vehicles	2,572.2	675.7	393.9	687.1	350.9	10.2	254.9	4,944.9	26.8%
Service Vehicles	44.2	13.1	5.0	22.3	10.9	0.1	11.2	107.0	0.6%
Rolling Stock Subtotal	2,616.4	688.8	398.9	709.5	361.8	10.3	266.1	5,051.9	27.4%
Fare Revenue Collection Equipment	109.8	24.5	5.7	24.0	14.7	0.1	0.1	178.9	1.0%
Communication and Information Systems	371.5	418.6	53.6	697.6	228.9	5.6	2.8	1,778.5	9.6%
Other	202.6	66.3	19.7	443.5	61.9	0.4	14.1	808.6	4.4%
All Other Subtotal	683.9	509.4	79.0	1,165.2	305.4	6.1	17.1	2,766.0	15.0%
Total	4,603.0	2,918.0	544.5	5,754.9	4,192.9	24.5	428.0	18,465.9	100.0%
% of Total	24.9%	15.8%	2.9%	31.2%	22.7%	0.1%	2.3%	100.0%	

⁽a) These are actual accrued expenditures, and do not include debts, depreciations of value, or other non-money costs. Capital expense data from 1992 through 2014 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

Operating expenses are measured in two ways: by function, the type of activity performed; and by object, labor expenses and the type of goods or services purchased. Among the five functions operating funds are applied to, operations accounts for almost half of expenses, followed by vehicle maintenance, general administration, purchased transportation, and non-vehicle maintenance. Salaries and wages and fringe benefits for employees of transit agencies account for more than three-fifths of operating expenses. Operating expenses by mode and function are shown in Table 22 and by mode and object class in Table 23. Operating and capital expenses are totaled by mode in Table 24.

Table 22: Operating Expense by Mode and Function Class, Millions of Dollars Report Year 2014

Mode	Vehicle Operations	Vehicle Mainte- nance	Non-Vehicle Mainte- nance	General Adminis- tration	Purchased Transpor- tation	Total	% of Total
Bus	10,502.9	3,578.3	852.4	3,179.4	2,051.1	20,164.1	45.4%
Bus Rapid Transit	76.3	20.3	8.7	18.8	5.9	129.9	0.3%
Commuter Bus	505.5	172.4	54.2	164.8	204.3	1,101.2	2.5%
Commuter Rail	2,012.9	1,196.0	944.3	862.5	732.9	5,748.7	12.9%
Demand Response	1,541.5	337.7	61.3	743.2	2,648.5	5,332.3	12.0%
Ferryboat	368.1	93.0	43.8	76.2	74.7	655.8	1.5%
Heavy Rail	3,383.2	1,327.0	2,469.0	1,411.8	57.3	8,648.3	19.5%
Hybrid Rail	11.5	1.4	8.0	13.1	50.0	84.0	0.2%
Light Rail	652.3	357.0	321.3	350.1	65.5	1,746.2	3.9%
Other Rail	62.7	37.9	27.6	35.3	34.5	198.0	0.4%
Publico	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	39.4	40.4	0.1%
Streetcar	49.2	25.4	12.8	26.1	26.6	140.2	0.3%
Transit Vanpool	39.3	14.3	2.4	59.2	71.6	186.8	0.4%
Trolleybus	129.7	41.4	27.7	50.3	0.0	249.0	0.6%
Total	19,335.1	7,202.3	4,833.4	6,991.8	6,062.2	44,424.8	100.0%
% of Total	43.5%	16.2%	10.9%	15.7%	13.6%	100.0%	

Operating expense data from 1932 through 2014 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

Table 23: Operating Expense by Mode and Object Class, Millions of Dollars Report Year 2014

Туре	Salaries and Wages	Fringe Benefits	Services	Materi- als and Supplies	Utilities	Casualty and Liability	Pur- chased Trans- portation	Other	Total	% of Total
Bus	7,504.3	5,624.4	1,289.0	2,669.0	242.9	505.9	2,051.1	277.6	20,164.1	45.4%
Bus Rapid Transit	45.2	37.2	19.4	15.3	2.3	2.9	5.9	1.8	129.9	0.3%
Commuter Bus	344.6	223.3	66.0	159.7	10.3	34.3	204.3	58.6	1,101.2	2.5%
Commuter Rail	1,756.4	1,430.0	558.3	683.2	328.6	143.0	732.9	116.4	5,748.7	12.9%
Demand Response	1,098.4	580.4	299.3	459.1	50.4	121.9	2,648.5	74.1	5,332.3	12.0%
Ferryboat	220.6	89.0	56.0	168.3	7.8	24.4	74.7	14.9	655.8	1.5%
Heavy Rail	3,548.6	3,115.8	432.1	500.5	632.8	188.2	57.3	172.9	8,648.3	19.5%
Hybrid Rail	7.2	5.2	11.4	3.7	1.1	3.5	50.0	1.9	84.0	0.2%
Light Rail	624.8	441.6	277.8	142.7	124.3	39.0	65.5	30.4	1,746.2	3.9%
Other Rail	64.0	35.8	19.5	22.0	11.4	7.4	34.5	3.5	198.0	0.4%
Publico	0.1	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.4	0.0	40.4	0.1%
Streetcar	44.9	38.8	7.8	7.7	5.9	7.2	26.6	1.2	140.2	0.3%
Transit Vanpool	26.0	12.8	16.0	37.9	2.3	13.1	71.6	7.0	186.8	0.4%
Trolleybus	110.9	77.8	25.3	17.0	5.3	11.3	0.0	1.4	249.0	0.6%
Total	15,396.1	11,712.2	3,078.9	4,886.1	1,425.5	1,102.0	6,062.2	761.9	44,424.8	100.0%
% of Total	34.7%	26.4%	6.9%	11.0%	3.2%	2.5%	13.6%	1.7%	100.0%	

Operating Expense data from 1932 through 2014 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

Table 24: Total Expense by Mode, Millions of Dollars Report Year 2014

	Operating Ex	xpenditures	Capital Exp	cpenditures Total Expenditures		
Туре	Millions of Dollars	Percent	Millions of Dollars	Percent	Millions of Dollars	Percent
Bus	20,164.1	45.4%	4,210.8	22.8%	24,374.9	38.8%
Bus Rapid Transit	129.9	0.3%	103.0	0.6%	232.9	0.4%
Commuter Bus	1,101.2	2.5%	289.2	1.6%	1,390.4	2.2%
Commuter Rail	5,748.7	12.9%	2,903.5	15.7%	8,652.2	13.8%
Demand Response	5,332.3	12.0%	544.5	2.9%	5,876.8	9.3%
Ferryboat	655.8	1.5%	316.0	1.7%	971.8	1.5%
Heavy Rail	8,648.3	19.5%	5,754.9	31.2%	14,403.2	22.9%
Hybrid Rail	84.0	0.2%	14.5	0.1%	98.5	0.2%
Light Rail	1,746.2	3.9%	3,951.8	21.4%	5,698.0	9.1%
Other Rail	198.0	0.4%	45.8	0.2%	243.8	0.4%
Publico	40.4	0.1%			40.4	0.1%
Streetcar	140.2	0.3%	241.1	1.3%	381.3	0.6%
Transit Vanpool	186.8	0.4%	66.2	0.4%	253.0	0.4%
Trolleybus	249.0	0.6%	24.5	0.1%	273.5	0.4%
Total	44,424.8	100.0%	18,465.9	100.0%	62,890.7	100.0%

Expense data from 1932 through 2014 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.




Figure 11: Comparative Operating Cost Among Modes, 2014

When looking at Figure 11 it can be seen that a comparison of expenses among modes is highly influenced by the measurement selected. This allows assertions that any one mode is more expensive or more efficient than the others. When measured by cost per vehicle mile, commuter rail and light rail service are the most expensive, because they are large, high capacity vehicles, much larger than buses or demand response vehicles. When measured by cost per unlinked passenger trip, heavy rail is the least expensive because of the high occupancy of heavy rail vehicles. Demand response trips are more expensive, because demand response vehicles may have only a single passenger on board. When measured by passenger mile, heavy rail remains the lowest cost, but commuter rail is second lowest because of the long trips taken by commuter rail passengers. Each of these measurements is correct, but they are influenced by different characteristics of vehicle size and speed, and passenger trip lengths.

Public transportation expenditures have a positive impact on the communities in which they operate and those areas in which companies that provide transit agencies with products and services are located. Table 25 provides measurements of those impacts developed in *Economic Impact of Public Transportation Investment, 2014 Update* by the Economic Development Research Group. The table shows the economic impact of \$1 billion in transit expenditures for either capital or operations measured in five different ways. Note that these measurements cannot be added together; they are different measurements of the same or portions of the same overall impact. Every \$1 billion in average transit spending results in 21,700 current jobs based on the division of transit spending between capital and operations in 2013, \$3.0 billion in business sales, \$1.8 billion in gross domestic product, \$1.2 billion in labor income, or \$429 million in tax revenue.

Table 25: Short-Term Economic Impact Per Billion Dollars Expenditure for Public Transportation

Economic Impact	Impact per \$1 Billion Transit Capital Spending (a)	Impact per \$1 Billion Transit Operations Spending (a)	Impact per \$1 Billion Transit Average Spending
Output - Business Sales in Billions of Dollars	\$2.9 billion	\$3.1 billion	\$3.0 billion
Gross Domestic Product - Value Added in Billions of Dollars	\$1.3 billion	\$2.0 billion	\$1.8 billion
Labor Income in Billions of Dollars	\$0.9 billion	\$1.4 billion	\$1.2 billion
Tax Revenue in Millions of Dollars (Rounded)	\$266 million	\$500 million	\$429 million
Jobs (Employment) (b)	15,900	24,200	21,700

⁽a) from Economic Development Research Group. *Economic Impact of Public Transportation Investment*, 2014 Update, May 2014. Available at www.apta.com.

⁽b) Current jobs supported from spending only. See Table 18 for long-term public transportation cost-savings impact on job support.

Capital and Operating Funding

Public transportation operations are funded by passenger fares, other transit agency earnings, and financial assistance from state, local and federal governments. Capital investment is reported only as government funds in the National Transit Database. The majority of revenue for operations comes from passenger fares, together with state and local financial assistance. Passenger fares and other agency earnings account for 36 percent of operating revenues. Directly generated government funds, in cases where the transit agency is functioning as a local government, local and state government assistance combine for 37 percent of all funding. The federal role is more significant for the capital program, providing 43 percent of capital funds compared to 9 percent of operating funds. Funding amounts by source and type are reported in Table 26.

Table 26: Funding Sources Report Year 2014

-	Tran	sit Agency F		1 1 0 0 1 2 0		Government Funds				
Туре	Passen- ger Fares	Other Earnings	Total	Directly Gener- ated	Local	State	Federal	Total	Total Funds	
Capital Funding, Millions of Dollars				4,081.6	3,782.3	2,449.1	7,659.8	17,972.8	17,972.8	
Percent of Capital Funding				22.7%	21.0%	13.6%	42.6%	100.0%	100.0%	
Operating Funding, Millions of Dollars	15,465.2	1,866.9	17,332.0	3,336.2	11,223.9	12,276.6	4,142.4	30,979.1	48,311.1	
Percent of Operating Funding	32.0%	3.9%	35.9%	6.9%	23.2%	25.4%	8.6%	64.1%	100.0%	
Total Funding, Millions of Dollars	15,465.2	1,866.9	17,332.0	1,866.9	7,417.8	15,006.2	14,725.7	48,951.9	66,283.9	
Percent of Total Funding	23.3%	2.8%	26.1%	2.8%	11.2%	22.6%	22.2%	73.9%	100.0%	

Funding sources data from 1926 through 2014 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

Public transportation funding from government agencies is properly called financial assistance. Transit agencies receive financial assistance because a large portion of transit benefits accrue to the entire community, not just to the transit rider. Drivers and the community benefit from congestion reduction, the efficiency of high density business development, reduction in energy use and air pollutant emissions, reduction in the need for expensive personal vehicle parking structures, reductions in roadway injuries and fatalities, and many other benefits. Governments benefit from savings in road construction and maintenance, police and emergency personnel service costs, and all of the costs that would result from increased sprawl if transit service were reduced. Financial assistance transfers some of the value of these benefits that accrue to drivers, the community, and governments back to the transit user, rather than expecting the transit user to pay the full cost of benefits that go to the community as a whole.

Figure 12 reports the change in funding sources for capital over the past 15 years and Figure 13 reports the change in funding sources for operations. Federal capital funds increased from \$4.5 billion to \$7.7 billion over the 15-year period but dropped from 47 percent of all capital revenue to 43 percent. Directly generated and local capital assistance was 44 percent of capital funds in 2014, compared to 42 percent in 2000, and state assistance went from 11 percent to 14 percent over the period.






Figure 12: Growth in Capital Funding by Source, 2000-2014





Operating funding from all sources increased from 2000 through 2014. Passenger fares and other transit system earnings were \$17.3 billion in 2014, 36 percent of all revenues for operations. Directly generated and local funds were 30 percent of operating revenue, state funds were 25 percent and federal funds were 9 percent.

Revenue generated from passenger fares varies across transit modes. The highest level of average revenue per unlinked passenger trip is generated by commuter rail, the transit mode that represents the longest trip length for passengers. Fare policies vary across agencies, but in general, passenger fares are lower for bus trips and relatively similar for light rail and heavy rail. Transit agencies are adopting automated fare collection systems. More than one-half of agencies now use magnetic memory cards and more than one-quarter use digital smart cards to collect passenger fares. These data are reported by mode in Table 27.

Table 27: Passenger Fares by Mode, Report Year 2014

1 4516 27:1 4556	riger raics b	by Mode, Report Teal 2014			
	All Bus	Commuter and Hybrid Rail	Heavy Rail	Light Rail and Streetcar	Trolleybus
Passenger Fares, Millions of Dollars	5,887.8	2,927.9	5,126.3	546.1	88.3
Average Revenue per Unlinked Trip	1.12	5.89	1.31	1.03	0.92
Highest Adult Base Fare, Dollars (a)	12.00	7.50	2.75	2.75	2.50
Average Adult Base Fare, Dollars (a)	1.83	3.63	2.21	1.97	2.19
Median Adult Base Fare, Dollars (a)	1.70	3.10	2.25	2.25	2.25
Lowest Adult Base Fare, Dollars (a)	0.00	1.75	1.40	0.00	1.75
Systems with Peak Period Surcharges (a)	6.0%	11.8%	0.0%	8.7%	25.0%
Systems with Transfer Surcharges (a)	19.6%	11.8%	16.7%	17.4%	75.0%
Systems with Distance/Zone Surcharges (a)	19.0%	76.5%	16.7%	13.0%	0.0%
Systems with Smart Cards (a)	35.5%	29.4%	66.7%	52.2%	50.0%
Systems with Magnetic Cards (a)	60.1%	17.6%	58.3%	44.0%	50.0%

⁽a) Based on sample of systems from APTA 2016 Public Transportation Fare Database, data for 2016.

Transit Spending and Contracting in the Private Sector

Nearly all transit service is provided by or contracted for by public agencies. A large portion of the funds expended by those public agencies, however, is spent in the private sector of the economy. As shown in Table 28, expenditures in the private sector are estimated to be \$34 billion, or 55 percent of all transit expenditures. All capital expenditures are estimated to be for goods and services provided by the private sector, as well as operating expenditures for services, materials and supplies including motor fuel, utilities including propulsion power for electrically powered transit vehicles, a portion of casualty and liability costs, and a portion of purchased transportation costs. Total expenditure in the private sector is an amount equal to 70 percent of the \$49.0 billion in revenue received from all levels of government in 2014.

Table 28: Transit Expenditures in the Privates Sector, Report Year 2014


Estimated Transit Expenditures in the Private Sector


Expenditure Object	Dollars (Millions)	Percent of All Expenditures		
All Capital	18,465.9	29.4%		
Services	3,078.9	4.9%		
Materials and Supplies	4,886.1	7.8%		
Utilities	1,425.5	2.3%		
Casualty and Liability	551.2	0.9%		
Purchased Transportation	6,062.2	9.6%		
Total Expenditures in Private Sector	34,469.8	54.8%		
Total Expenditure, Capital and Operating	62,890.7	100.0%		

A significant amount of transit service is contracted for operation, approximately 97 percent to private operators and 3 percent to other public agencies in RY 2014. The percentage of service provided by contractors for roadway modes is shown on Figure 14. More than 74 percent of demand response service, measured by revenue hours, is provided by contractors, 42 percent of vanpool service, 29 percent of commuter bus service, 17 percent of bus service, and 10 percent of bus rapid transit service. Most of the vehicles operated by contractors, however, are provided by the public transit agency, approximately 93 percent of all types of bus service operated by contractors is with vehicles owned by the transit agency and about 80 percent of the vehicles used by contractors in demand response service are owned by the transit agencies. Figure 15 shows that the percentage of service which is contracted for operation has increased over the past 9 years, demand response from 71 percent to 74 percent, vanpool from 29 percent to 43 percent, and all types of bus service from 13 percent to 17 percent.

Fare data from 1926 through 2014 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

TRANSIT SPENDING AND CONTRACTING IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR





Modal Data

Tables 29 through 41 provide statistics about the characteristics of the various modes of public transportation operations. Data are presented on two summary tables of national information, with roadway modes in Table 29 and rail modes and ferryboat in Table 36, followed by tables listing agency-specific information on unlinked passenger trips. Given the large number of bus, demand response, and transit vanpool agencies, only the largest 50 agencies of each mode are listed for bus and demand response, and 30 for transit vanpool. Tables 30 through 35 and 37 through 41 list agencies operating each mode in urbanized areas and Tables 42 and 43 list agencies by mode of operating service in rural areas.

Transit service is provided by a variety of modes, defined both by the type of vehicle they use, operating characteristics of the service they provide, and the travel needs of the riding public for which they are designed.

A mode is a system for carrying passengers, described by a specific right-of-way, technology, and operational features. The mode of service in most cities is buses.

BUS SERVICE:

Bus service is provided by rubber-tired vehicles powered by engines using fuel carried on the vehicle. Most buses operate in fixed-route service on regular schedules, and passengers pay a fare or present a pass or transfer when boarding their bus. Nearly all buses are accessible for wheelchairs by lifts or ramps, and most can carry bicycles on racks in front of the bus.



The Greater Lafayette Public Transportation Corporation provides fixed-route scheduled bus service in the Lafayette, IN area. Bus data are reported in Tables 29 and 30.



Fixed-route bus service, called "bus" service in the Fact Book, is the basic public transportation service in most American communities. Nearly one-half of all public transportation trips are taken by bus. Modern buses have automated stop announcements, security cameras, bicycle racks, and are accessible to persons in wheelchairs. This Foothill Transit bus provides express service from Diamond Bar, CA, into downtown Los Angeles. Bus data are reported in Tables 29 and 30.

BUS RAPID TRANSIT SERVICE:

Bus Rapid Transit systems operate vehicles on separate rights-of-way with high-frequency service, low-floor vehicles, stations, traffic signal priority, and other operating improvements which increase their speed and passenger capacity. BRT is the newest operational type of bus service and offers increased capacity and higher speeds when buses are taken off of congested streets and arterials in central areas.



The Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority Health Line provides bus rapid transit service in bus-only lanes that are prohibited to other vehicles. This BRT bus is headed east on Euclid Avenue toward University Circle from downtown Cleveland, OH. Bus rapid transit data are reported in Tables 29 and 31.

TRANSIT SPENDING AND CONTRACTING IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR

COMMUTER BUS SERVICE:

Commuter buses provide high-speed longer distance service to commuters for their daily journey to work. The average passenger trip length on a commuter bus is over 26 miles while the average trip on a regular bus is less than 4 miles.



Community Transit Double Tall buses in Everett, WA, provide commuter bus service to downtown Seattle. Commuter bus data are reported in Tables 29 and 32.

DEMAND RESPONSE SERVICE:

Demand response service vehicles travel on roads and streets but take passengers directly from their origins to their destinations. Demand response service is provided primarily by vans. By law, accessible demand response service must be provided in all areas served by regular route transit service to persons with disabilities or those otherwise unable to use fixed-route service.



The Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority provides Access LYNX demand response service in the Orlando, FL region. Passengers who are not able to use fixed-route services are taken directly from their origins to their destinations. Demand response data are reported in Tables 29 and 33.

General demand response service is not required by law and is often open to larger segments of the public or all riders. Some general demand response services are operated during late-night and weekend hours in place of fixed-route services.

TROLLEYBUS SERVICE:

Another type of roadway transit service is the trolleybus. Trolleybuses are standard rubber-tired buses except they are powered by electric motors and receive electricity from two overhead wires through trolley poles on top of the vehicle. Able to negotiate congested city traffic, trolleybuses provide environmentally friendly transit service.



This TransLink trolleybus operates in the central area of Vancouver, BC. Trolleybus data for U.S. are reported in Tables 29 and 35. Data for Canadian transit operations are reported in Table 44.

RAIL SERVICE MODES:

Five rail modes provide most of the rail transit service operated in the U.S.: light rail and streetcar, heavy rail, and commuter rail and hybrid rail. Each of these modes operates on rail rights-of-way, but they differ in many other characteristics. Most operate on private right-of-way exclusive of motor vehicles but some operate in streets. Passengers board some only in stations but others pick up riders at stops in streets. Some are designed for fast, long distance trips and others for shorter trips in congested areas. The following sections describe those and other differences among modes of rail service.

LIGHT RAIL SERVICE:

Light rail is a mode of service provided by single vehicles or short trains on either private rights-of-way or in roads and streets. Passengers board in stations or from track side stops in streets. Light rail vehicles and infrastructure are designed to carry a "light" load of passenger traffic when compared to heavy rail which carries a "heavy" load of passenger traffic. A primary difference between light rail and streetcar is the longer distances between stops and higher operating speeds of light rail trains. Streetcars often function as distributor systems in congested central areas.



Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority light rail vehicles provide transit service in the Los Angeles, CA region. Light rail vehicles operate on private rights-of-way and city streets in many American urban areas. This train is passing the Los Angeles Trade Technical College on the Exposition Transportation Corridor (Expo Line) from Culver City to downtown Los Angeles. The Expo Line is being extended to Santa Monica with passenger service scheduled to begin in 2016. Light rail data are reported in Tables 36 and 40.

STREETCAR SERVICE:

Streetcar service is a type of light rail service with frequent stops with nearly the entire route operated in streets. It is usually in denser, high-traffic areas, and the vehicles are designed for lower speeds and to allow quick boarding and alighting by passengers.

Streetcars provide a type of light rail service characterized by more frequent stops and shorter trips in higher density areas. This streetcar is owned and operated by the City of Portland in partnership with the Tri-County Metropolitan Transportation District of Oregon. Streetcar data are reported in Tables 36 and 40.



TRANSIT SPENDING AND CONTRACTING IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR

HEAVY RAIL SERVICE:

Heavy rail service is separated from vehicle and pedestrian traffic, often elevated, in subways, or in private at-grade rights-of-way. Heavy rail service is provided by electric rail cars on private rights-of-way. The trains are boarded in stations from high level platforms. Heavy rail provides high-speed service with the ability to carry "heavy" loads of passengers.



Heavy rail service provides the greatest passenger capacity of any transit mode. The Chicago Transit Authority heavy rail train pictured above provides high capacity service for travelers in the Chicago, IL region. The train is entering Quincy Station, the oldest CTA heavy rail station, dating from 1897, on the Elevated (EL) or Loop portion of the CTA heavy rail system in downtown Chicago. Heavy rail data are reported in Tables 36 and 38.

HYBRID RAIL SERVICE:

Hybrid rail systems operate light rail-type vehicle trains on railroad rights-of-way, with temporal separation from any freight railroad operations. From a passenger's perspective, they are similar to commuter railroads.



COMMUTER RAIL SERVICE:

Commuter rail service (below) is provided on regular freight railroads or former freight railroad rights-of-way. Trains are made up of either self-propelled cars or cars hauled by locomotives. Passengers board in stations. Commuter rail service is characterized by high-speed, infrequent-stop service over longer distances from outlying areas into the commercial centers of metropolitan areas.



Commuter rail provides high-speed congestion-free travel from distant communities to the business centers of the nation's largest metropolitan areas. This Minneapolis, MN area train is operated on the Northstar Corridor by Metro Transit. Fans are seen departing the train at Target Field Station ready to enjoy a Minnesota Twins baseball game. Commuter rail data are reported in Tables 36 and 37.

Hybrid rail (left) provides commuter rail-type service using light-rail-type vehicles. New Jersey Transit hybrid rail trains operate on the River Line from downtown Camden to Trenton, NJ. These passengers are boarding their train at Burlington Towne Center Station. Hybrid rail data are reported in Tables 36 and 37.

SPECIALIZED RAIL SERVICE MODES:

Several specialized rail modes are operated by a limited number of transit agencies, including cable cars and automated guideway transit, which are shown here. Cable cars are the earliest mechanically powered transit vehicles, towed by a cable in the ground which the car "grips" to move forward and releases to stop. First operated in 1873, before electrically powered rail cars were perfected, cable cars were operated in cities throughout the United States.



Cable cars were the earliest mechanized transit service. The San Francisco Municipal Railway is the last cable car operator. Cable car data are included with other rail modes in Tables 36 and 39.

Automated guideway transit systems provide circulator services in congested central business districts, between other rail systems to and within airports, and on campuses.




Automated guideway transit trains provide distributor or shuttle service without an on-board operator. The Miami-Dade County Metrormover, a 4.4 mile long fully automated system, connects heavy rail stations and bus service with major destinations in downtown Miami, FL. Automated guideway transit data are included with other rail modes in Tables 36 and 39.

FERRYBOAT SERVICE:

Ferryboat is a water-borne transit mode. Passenger only and passenger/vehicle ferries are both found in transit service. Ferryboats allow travelers to avoid very long trips by bus, train, or auto needed in some areas to reach water crossings. Ferryboats are the largest public transit vehicles.



Ferryboat service can greatly reduce the distance people would travel if forced to drive around bodies of water. This Long Beach Transit AquaLink (above) 75-passenger catamaran offers seasonal service linking the most popular attractions around the Long Beach, CA harbor. The Golden Gate Ferry (below) provides service from Larkspur, CA, directly to San Francisco Giant baseball games. Ferryboat data are reported in Tables 36 and 41.



TRANSIT SPENDING AND CONTRACTING IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR

Table 29: Roadway Modes National Totals (a), Report Year 2014

Statistical Category	Bus	Bus Rapid Transit	Commut- er Bus	Demand Re- sponse	Publico	Transit Vanpool	Trolley- bus
Systems, Number of	1,139	11	278	6,346	1	99	5
Trips, Unlinked Passenger (Millions)	5,113	54	107	233	28	38	96
Miles, Passenger (Millions)	19,380	157	2,919	2,267	111	1,359	158
Trip Length, Average (Miles)	3.8	2.9	27.3	9.7	4.0	35.8	1.6
Miles, Vehicle Total (Millions)	2,189.7	10.2	233.7	1,595.1	23.5	228.5	11.4
Miles, Vehicle Revenue (Millions)	1,903.0	9.5	182.2	1,372.6	21.6	228.4	11.0
Hours, Vehicle Total (Millions)	172.4	0.9	7.8	106.7	2.3	5.7	1.6
Hours, Vehicle Revenue (Millions)	156.6	0.9	5.9	92.5	2.1	5.7	1.6
Speed, Vehicle in Revenue Service, Average (mph)	12.2	10.6	30.9	14.8	10.3	40.1	6.9
Fares Collected, Passenger (Millions)	5,165.5	38.0	569.4	433.6	39.4	135.8	88.3
Revenue per Unlinked Trip, Average	1.02	0.71	5.93	2.59	1.41	3.70	0.92
Expense, Operating Total (Millions)	20,164.1	129.9	1.101.2	5,332.3	40.4	186.8	249.0
Operating Expense by Object Class:				ŕ			
Salaries and Wages (Millions)	7,504.3	45.2	344.6	1,098.4	0.1	26.0	110.9
Fringe Benefits (Millions)	5,624.4	37.2	223.3	580.4	0.0	12.8	77.8
Services (Millions)	1,289.0	19.4	66.0	299.5	0.9	16.0	25.3
Materials and Supplies (Millions)	2,669.0	15.3	159.7	459.1	0.0	37.9	17.0
Utilities (Millions)	242.9	2.3	10.3	50.4	0.0	2.3	5.3
Casualty and Liability (Millions)	505.9	2.9	34.3	121.9	0.0	13.1	11.3
Purchased Transportation (Millions)	2,051.1	5.9	204.3	2,648.5	39.4	71.6	0.0
Other (Millions)	277.6	1.8	58.6	74.1	0.0	7.0	1.4
Operating Expense by Function Class:							
Vehicle Operations (Millions)	10,502.9	76.3	505.5	1,541.5	0.0	39.3	129.7
Vehicle Maintenance (Millions)	3,578.3	20.3	172.4	337.7	0.0	14.3	41.4
Non-vehicle Maintenance (Millions)	852.4	8.7	54.2	61.3	0.0	2.4	27.7
General Administration (Millions)	3,179.4	18.8	164.8	743.2	1.0	59.2	50.3
Purchased Transportation (Millions)	2,051.1	5.9	204.3	2,648.5	39.4	71.6	0.0
Expense, Capital Total (Millions)	4,210.8	103.0	289.2	544.5		66.2	24.5
Facilities, Guideway, Stations, Admin.							
Buildings (Millions)	1,171.7	60.9	70.1	66.6		0.5	8.1
Rolling Stock (Millions)	2,391.9	21.2	203.3	398.9		65.5	10.3
Other (Millions)	647.2	20.9	15.8	79.0		0.2	6.1
Revenue Vehicles Available for Maximum Service	64,573	440	6,053	71,359	2,873	15,056	537
Revenue Vehicles Operated at Maximum Service	51,325	235	4,842	55,998	2,096	13,313	404
Employees, Operating	184,186	816	10,617	103,387		588	1,638
Employees, Vehicle Operations	127,644	567	7,061	78,716		103	1,157
Employees, Vehicle Maintenance	32,249	137	1,929	7,936		64	232
Employees, Non-Vehicle Maintenance	6,711	37	377	2,156		15	166
Employees, General Administration	17,582	75	1,251	14,579		406	82
Employees, Capital	2,672	10	108	45		3	20
Diesel Fuel Consumed (Gallons, Millions)	368.7	1.8	43.1	54.4		0.0	0.0
Other Fossil Fuel Consumed (Gallons, Millions)	211.9	1.1	5.6	201.7		17.9	0.0
Electricity Consumed (kWh, Millions)	1.5	2.2	0.0	0.0		0.1	63.6

⁽a) Data for all public transportation service, urbanized area and rural.

Table 30: 50 Largest Bus Agencies in Urbanized Areas Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles, Report Year 2014 (Thousands)

Excludes Bus Rapid Transit And Commuter Bus Service Reported Separately Below

Excludes bus reaple transit And Comi	Tidler Bus dervice	•	•	y DCIOW	
Transit Agency	Urbanized Area (First City and	Unlinke Passenger	-	Passenger M	∕liles
Hallsit Agelloy	State Names Only)	Thousands	Rank	Thousands	Rank
MTA New York City Transit(NYCT)	New York, NY	762,582.6	1	1,588,569.5	1
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transp. Auth.(LACMTA)	Los Angeles, CA	352,589.2	2	1,437,718.6	2
Chicago Transit Authority(CTA)	Chicago, IL	276,116.8	3	684,139.0	4
Southeastern Pennsylvania Transp. Authority(SEPTA)	Philadelphia, PA	177,399.5	4	525,155.0	5
New Jersey Transit Corporation(NJ TRANSIT)	New York, NY	161,229.3	5	1,121,877.6	3
Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority(WMATA)	Washington, DC	139,668.3	6	431,959.3	8
MTA Bus Company(MTABUS)	New York, NY	125,581.2	7	349,659.7	11
Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority(MBTA)	Boston, MAI	108,771.1	8	292,384.0	13
King County Department of Transp.(King County Metro)	Seattle, WA	100,644.6	9	497,561.0	6
San Francisco Municipal Railway(MUNI)	San Francisco, CA	98,365.6	10	225,050.4	19
Miami-Dade Transit(MDT)	Miami, FL	77,356.9	11	451,411.3	7
Denver Regional Transportation District(RTD)	Denver, CO	76,657.9	12	387,701.8	9
Maryland Transit Administration(MTA)	Baltimore, MD	75,780.2	13	262,577.7	16
Metro Transit	Minneapolis, MN	67,814.3	14	283,941.2	15
City and County of Honolulu DOT Services(DTS)	Urban Honolulu, HI	66,285.4	15	362,046.7	10
Metropolitan Transit Auth. of Harris County, Texas(Metro)	Houston, TX	59,993.2	16	331,877.8	12
Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority(MARTA)	Atlanta, GA	59,777.7	17	232,782.1	18
Tri-County Metropolitan Transp. District of Oregon(TriMet)	Portland, OR	59,749.8	18	286,304.9	14
Regional Transp. Commission of Southern Nevada(RTC)	Las Vegas, NV	55,597.7	19	201,263.5	21
Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District(AC Transit)	San Francisco, CA	53,512.9	20	181,094.7	24
Port Authority of Allegheny County(Port Authority)	Pittsburgh, PA	53,401.9	21	238,589.1	17
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System(MTS)	San Diego, CA	51,327.5	22	182,816.4	23
Orange County Transportation Authority(OCTA)	Los Angeles, CA	48,561.2	23	183,484.6	22
VIA Metropolitan Transit(VIA)	San Antonio, TX	42,537.5	24	177,989.1	25
Milwaukee County Transit System(MCTS)	Milwaukee, WI	41,014.3	25	142,120.8	34
City of Phoenix Public Transit Department(Valley Metro)	Phoenix, AZ	40,502.8	26	145,984.8	32
Broward County Transit Division(BCT)	Miami, FL	38,118.5	27	168,960.1	27
Dallas Area Rapid Transit(DART)	Dallas, TX	37,383.0	28	152,224.7	31
The Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority(GCRTA)	Cleveland, OH	34,426.8	29	144,877.3	33
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority(VTA)	San Jose, CA	32,858.6	30	174,990.1	26
Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority(CMTA)	Austin, TX	31,976.5	31	135,348.0	35
Pace - Suburban Bus Division(PACE)	Chicago, IL	31,685.6	32	205,684.5	20
Westchester County Bee-Line System	New York, NY	31,413.9	33	153,667.5	30
Bi-State Development Agency(METRO)	St. Louis, MOL	30,086.5	34	158,401.3	28
Long Beach Transit(LBT)	Los Angeles, CA	28,480.6	35	89,324.1	43
Nassau Inter County Express(NICE)	New York, NY	28,383.9	36	134,875.6	36
Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority(LYNX)	Orlando, FL	27,987.5	37	155,989.1	29
Ride-On Montgomery County Transit	Washington, DC	26,391.6	38	99,302.5	40
City of Detroit Department of Transportation(DDOT)	Detroit, MI	25,116.3	39	115,433.7	37
City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation(LADOT)	Los Angeles, CA	23,406.4	40	31,200.0	(a)
Charlotte Area Transit System(CATS)	Charlotte, NC	22,844.8	41	102,525.9	39
Niagara Frontier Transportation Authority(NFT Metro)	Buffalo, NY	21,602.4	42	82,975.3	45
Rhode Island Public Transit Authority(RIPTA)	Providence, RI	19,780.0	43	86,383.7	44
City of Tucson(COT)	Tucson, AZ	19,713.4	44	79,832.7	49
Utah Transit Authority(UTA)	Salt Lake City, UT	19,520.9	45	89,606.6	41
Central Ohio Transit Authority(COTA)	Columbus, OH	19,041.4	46	72,745.0	(a)
Santa Monica's Big Blue Bus(Big Blue Bus)	Los Angeles, CA	18,817.5	47	73,894.0	(a)
Regional Public Transp. Authority, dba: Valley Metro(RPTA)	Phoenix, AZ	17,832.4	48	81,186.3	47
Regional Transit Service, Inc. and Lift Line, Inc.(R-GRTA)	Rochester, NY	17,194.9	49	47,083.1	(a)
Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority(SORTA / Metro)	Cincinnati, OH	16,426.1	50	89,546.0	42
Foothill Transit	Los Angeles, CA	14,522.2	(a)	104,318.4	38
Metropolitan Council	Minneapolis, MN	10,168.2	(a)	81,752.3	46
Fairfax Connector Bus System(Fairfax Connector)	Washington, DC	10,655.0	(a)	80,209.0	48
Transp. District Commission of Hampton Roads(HRT)	Virginia Beach, VA	15,026.9	(a)	75,683.2	50

⁽a) Not among 50 largest bus transit agencies in this category.

Includes only transit agencies reporting to Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database.

For complete size ranking lists of all transit agencies reporting to the Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database, see the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix B: Operating Statistics and Rankings at www.apta.com.

TRANSIT SPENDING AND CONTRACTING IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR

Table 31: Bus Rapid Transit (a) Agencies in Urbanized Areas Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles, Report Year 2014 (Thousands)

Transit Agency	Urbanized Area (First City and	Unlinked Passenger Trips		Passenger Miles	
	State Names Only)	Thousands	Rank	Thousands	Rank
MTA New York City Transit(NYCT)	New York-, NY	19,953.3	1	37,727.7	2
Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority(MBTA)	Boston, MA	9,080.9	2	15,170.4	4
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transp. Auth.(LACMTA)	Los Angeles, CA	9,012.0	3	56,807.0	1
The Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority(GCRTA)	Cleveland, OH	5,084.5	4	13,277.3	5
Regional Transp. Commission of Southern Nevada(RTC)	Las Vegas, NV	4,132.4	5	16,942.7	3
Lane Transit District(LTD)	Eugene, OR	2,806.8	6	7,835.7	6
Kansas City Area Transportation Authority(KCATA)	Kansas City, MO	1,545.4	7	4,163.4	7
Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority(LYNX)	Orlando, FL	1,043.3	8	976.6	9
Transfort	Fort Collins, CO	568.4	9	1,471.5	8
Interurban Transit Partnership(The Rapid)	Grand Rapids, MI	74.6	10	300.7	10

⁽a) Includes only agencies reporting their operations to the National Transit Database as Bus Rapid Transit. Includes only transit agencies reporting to Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database.

Table 32: 30 Largest Commuter Bus (a) Agencies in Urbanized Areas Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles, Report Year 2014 (Thousands)

Transit Agency	Urbanized Area (First City and	Unlinked		Passenger N	Miles
Transit Agonoy	State Names Only)	Thousands	Rank	Thousands	Rank
Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority(ST)	Seattle, WA	17,669.8	1	262,725.6	2
MTA New York City Transit(NYCT)	New York, NY	13,182.1	2	159,266.0	4
Metropolitan Transit Auth. of Harris County, Texas(Metro)	Houston, TX	8,370.8	3	150,802.0	5
Hudson Transit Lines, Inc.(Short Line)	New York, NY	4,577.3	4	242,941.4	3
Maryland Transit Administration(MTA)	Baltimore, MD	4,017.1	5	115,451.1	6
Academy Lines, Inc.	New York, NY	3,873.8	6	271,628.0	1
Snohomish County PTBA(Community Transit)	Seattle, WA	2,820.9	7	51,157.3	12
Suburban Transit Corporation(Coach USA)	New York, NY	2,793.3	8	68,552.5	10
Rockland Coaches, Inc.	New York, NY	2,614.2	9	62,376.8	11
Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District(AC Transit)	San Francisco, CA	2,545.7	10	32,987.9	19
DeCamp Bus Lines	New York, NY	1,873.9	11	40,897.3	18
City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation(LADOT)	Los Angeles, CA	1,870.8	12	30,130.8	21
Potomac and Rappahannock Transp. Commission(PRTC)	Washington, DC	1,723.8	13	43,216.4	16
Georgia Regional Transportation Authority(GRTA)	Atlanta, GA	1,692.5	14	42,458.9	17
Lakeland Bus Lines, Inc.	New York, NY	1,569.2	15	43,937.9	15
Loudoun County Commuter Bus Service(LC Transit)	Washington, DC	1,402.2	16	46,708.6	14
Trans-Bridge Lines, Inc.	New York, NY	1,223.8	17	105,203.1	7
Charlotte Area Transit System(CATS)	Charlotte, NC-SC	1,042.4	18	14,293.9	30
Martz Trailways	New York, NY	974.7	19	89,073.1	8
Hampton Jitney, Inc.	New York, NY	836.2	20	83,408.3	9
Ventura Intercity Service Transit Authority(VISTA)	Oxnard, CA	823.0	21	9,517.3	38
Clark County Public Transpor. Benefit Area(C-Tran)	Portland, OR	731.1	22	8,407.4	41
Brazos Transit District(The District)	College Bryan, TX	695.3	23	22,617.9	23
Solano County Transit(SolTrans)	Vallejo, CA	672.3	24	8,455.7	40
Jalbert Leasing, Inc. dba C&J	Portsmouth, NH	660.4	25		
Utah Transit Authority(UTA)	Salt Lake City, UT	644.3	26	14,781.2	28
Monsey New Square Trails Corporation	New York, NY	638.6	27	25,608.1	22
Gwinnett County Board of Commissioners(GCT)	Atlanta, GA	632.2	28	17,498.4	26
Olympia Trails Bus Company, Inc.(Coach USA)	New York, NY	609.8	29	10,976.3	32
Adirondack Transit Lines, Inc,(Adirondack Trailways)	New York, NY	601.5	30	50,782.4	13
Plymouth & Brockton Street Railway Company(pbsr)	Boston, MA	483.6	37	30,375.0	20
Antelope Valley Transit Authority(AVTA)	Lancaster, CA	329.7	42	20,619.0	24
Monroe Bus Corporation	Poughkeepsie, NY	321.4	43	17,809.5	25
Cobb County Department of Transportation Authority(CCT)	Atlanta, GA	524.2	35	16,707.7	27
Community Transit, Inc.(Community Transit)	New York, NY	547.8	33	14,574.5	29

⁽a) Includes only agencies reporting their operations to the National Transit Database as Commuter Bus.

For complete size ranking lists of all transit agencies reporting to the Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database, see the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix B: Operating Statistics and Rankings at www.apta.com.

⁽b) Not among 50 largest commuter bus transit agencies in this category.

Includes only transit agencies reporting to Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database.

For complete size ranking lists of all transit agencies reporting to the Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database, see the 2015 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix B: Operating Statistics and Rankings at www.apta.com.

Table 33: 50 Largest Demand Response Agencies in Urbanized Areas Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles, Report Year 2043 (Thousands) - Excludes Demand Response Taxi Service

Transit Agency	and Passenger Miles, Report Tear 2045 (Tho	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			SE TAXI SEIVI	
State Names Only Thousands Rank Thousands Rank Thousands Rank Pace-Suburban Bus Division, ADA Para. Services(PACE) Checago, IL 4.025.8 2 35.697.2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Transit America	Urbanized Area		-	Passenger N	/liles
Mor York City Transit(MYCT) Pace-Suburban Bus Division, DAP Para. Services(PACE) Access Services(AS) Access Services (AS) Access Services	Transit Agency				Thousands	Donk
Pace-Suburban Bus Division, ADA Para, Services(PAC) Chicago, IL 4,025,8 2 35,697,2 3 3,494,29 2 36,897,2 3 4,946,29 2 4,946,29 2 4,946,29 2 4,946,29 2 4,946,29 2 4,946,29 2 3,751,61 3 4,946,29 2 3,751,61 3 4,946,29 2 3,751,61 3 4,946,29 2 3,751,61 3 4,948,29 2 3,751,61 3 4,948,29 2 36,897,77 4 8 15,315,8 9 9 1,531,5 8 1,531,5 8 1,531,5 8 1,531,5 8 1,427,7 4 8 1,227,7 4 8 1,217,77 8 1,22,477,9 14 1,428,16 10 9 9 1,424,77 1 1,428,16 10 9 9 1,442,25 5 1 1,428,16 10 9 9 1,442,25 3 5 9 1,442,25 3 1	MTA Now Vork City Transit/NIVCT\	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Access Services(AS) Wassington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority(WMBTA) Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority(SEPTA) Maryland Transit Administration(MTA) Maryland Transi		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority(MMETA) Boston, MA 2,123.8 4 15,951.9 8 Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority(WMATA) Metro Mobility Washington, DC 2,005.8 5 5,315.8 9 Maryland Transit Administration(MTA) Metropolitan Transit Administration(MTA) Metropolitan Transit Administration(MTA) Massington Management of Transit Authority (Parton Ministration) 1,905.6 6 20,570.7 4 Maryland Transit Administration(MTA) Massington Caransit (Parton Ministration) Metropolitan Transit Authority (Parton Ministration) 4 1,905.6 6 20,570.7 4 Metropolitan Transit Corporation Authority(CGTA) Memir El. 1,679.6 9 1,941.4 2 5 Wew Jersey Transit Corporation (NI) TRANSIT) Pristiburgh, PA 1,539.9 11 1,247.9 14 1,247.9 14 1,247.9 14 1,247.9 14 1,247.9 14 1,247.9 14 1,247.9 14 1,247.9 14 1,247.9 14 1,247.9 14 1,247.9 14 1,247.9 14 1,247.9 </td <td></td> <td>O 1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		O 1				
Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority(WMATA) Washington, DC 2,005,8 5 15,315,8 9 Metror Mobility Maryland Transit Administration(MTA) Manyland Transit Authority (SEPTA) Minneapolis, MN 1,005,6 6 2,0570,7 4 Maryland Transit Authority Authority of Allegheny County/Port Authority Pilbadelphia, PA 1,778,8 8 15,315,8 9 Metropolitan Transit Authority (Transit) Authority (Transit) Authority (Transit) Authority (Transit) Authority (Transit) Authority 1,781,1 7 14,581,6 10 Denver Regional Transit Authority (Transit) Authority (Transit) Authority (Transit) Authority (Transit) Authority (Transit) Authority (Transit) 4 1,781,1 7 1,48,81,6 10 Denver Regional Transit Authority (Transit) Authority of Alleghein District(RTD) Authority (Transit)		o ,				2
Metro Mobility		· ·				
Manyland Transit Administration(MTA) Southeastern Pennsylvania Transp. Authority (SEPTA) Milami-Dade Transit (MDT) Milami, FL 1,679.6 9 19,414.2 5 Milami, FL 1,679.6 9 19,414.2 5 Milami, FL 1,679.6 9 19,414.2 5 Milami, FL Mi	,	<u> </u>				
Southeastern Pennsylvania Transp. Authority(SEPTA) Maimi-Dade Transit(MDT) Marin County (Transit) (MDT) Miami-Dade Transit (Auth. of Harris County, Texas(Metro) Port Authority of Allegheny County(Port Authority) Houston, TX 1,636.2 10 18,415.3 6 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 5 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2 19,414.2	•	'				
Miamin-Dade Transit(MDT)		,				
Metropolitan Transit Auth. of Harris County, Texas(Metro) Port Authority of Allegheny County (Fort Authority of Allegheny County (Fort Authority of Allegheny County (Fort Allegheny County (Fort Allegheny County) (Fort Allegheny County) (Fort Allegheny County (Fort Allegheny County) (Fort A		'				
Port Authority of Allegheny County(Fort Authority) Crange County Transportation Authority(COTA) New Jersey Transit Corporation(NJ TRANSIT) Los Angeles, CA Los Angel		· ·				
Orange County Transportation Authority(CCTA) New York, NY Transit Corporation(NJ TRANSIT) New York, NY 1, 271.3 13, 8,134.0 22		· ·				
New York, NY		5 /			,	
Denver Regional Transportation District(RTD)						
Regional Transportation Comm. of Southern Nevada(RTC) Chicago, IL 1,234.7 15 12,982.6 12 12 12 12 13 13 14 14 15 15 12,982.6 13 14 15 15 14 15 15 15 15		-				
Pace - Suburban Bus Division(PACE) Chicago, IL 1,121.6 16 6,886.8 31						
VIA Metropolitan Transit(VIA)						
City and County of Honolulu DOT Transp. Services(DTS)	, ,	O 1				
Delaware Transit Corporation(DTC)		· ·				
Seattle, WA 1.012.7 20 11,225.2 16 Tri-County Metropolitan Transp, (TriMet) 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 LACMTA - Small Operators(LACMTA) 1.012.7 20 1.1,225.2 16 Portland, OR 927.3 21 9,033.8 20 LACMTA - Small Operators(LACMTA) 1.05 1.05 1.05 Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority(LYNX) 1.05 1.05 1.05 The Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority(GCRTA) 1.05 1.05 1.05 Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority(TA) 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority(TA) 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (Mart) 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (Mart) 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 Salem Area Mass Transi District(Cherriots) 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.05 1.						
Portland, OR					,	
LACMTA		•				
Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority(LYNX) The Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority(GCRTA) Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority(VTA) Sand Clara Valley Transportation Authority(VTA) San Jose, CA 727.7 25 8,096.9 23 Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District(IAC Transit) San Jose, CA 727.7 25 8,096.9 23 Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District(IAC Transit) San Jose, CA 706.5 26 7,300.8 28 San Francisco, CA 706.5 26 7,300.8 28	, , ,	·				
The Greater Cleve and Regional Transit Authority(CRTA) Sant Clara Valley Transportation Authority(VTA) San Jose, CA 770.5 26 7,300.8 28 28 28 28 27 29 28 28 28 27 29 28 28 28 27 28 28 28 28		•				
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority(VTA)						
Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District(AC Transit) San Francisco, CA 706.5 26 7,300.8 28 28 27 8,474.0 21 21 21 22 22 23 24 24 25 26 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 28		-				
Board of County Comm. Palm Beach County(PalmTran) Broward County Transit Division(BCT) Suburban Mobility Authority for Regional Transp.(SMART) Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority(CMTA) Metropolitan Transportation Authority(CMTA) Metropolitan Transportation Authority(CMTA) Austin, TX 613.6 30 4,944.3 40 40 41 40 40 41 40 40						
Broward County Transit Division(BCT) Miami, FL 667.1 28 7,530.4 26 Suburban Mobility Authority for Regional Transp. (SMART) Detroit, MI 658.1 29 4,573.0 44 Capital Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority(MARTA) Blue Water Area Transp. Comm. (Blue Water Area Transit) Austin, TX 613.6 30 4,944.3 40 Bil-State Development Agency Metro(METRO) Austin, TX 613.6 30 4,944.3 40 Sulfolk County Dept. of Public Works - Transp. Division(ST) City of Tucson(COT) Sel. 33 5,758.2 36 Salem Area Mass Transit District(Cherriots) Salem Area Mass Transit District(Cherriots) Salem, OR 525.4 37 7,373.8 27 Rhode Island Public Transit Authority(RIPTA) San Francisco Municipal Railway(MUNI) San Francisco, CA 518.1 38 4,161.5 (a) Spokane Transit Osuncil Milwaukee County Transit System(MCTS) Milwaukee, WI 479.2 43 3,752.1 (a) Milwaukee County Transit Authority(MTA) Filint, MI 471.7 45 4,618.8 43		-				
Detroit, MI		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority(CMTA) Austin, TX 613.6 30 4,944.3 40 Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority(MARTA) Bile Water Area Transp. Comm. (Blue Water Area Transit) 584.0 31 7,729.7 24 Bile State Development Agency Metro(METRO) St. Louis, MO 580.6 33 5,758.2 36 Suffolk County Dept. of Public Works - Transp. Division(ST) St. Louis, MO 580.6 33 5,758.2 36 City of Tucson(COT) New York, NY 572.0 34 7,541.6 25 Salem Area Mass Transit District(Cherriots) San Diego, CA 545.2 36 5,268.1 38 Salem Area Mass Transit District(Cherriots) Salem, OR 525.4 37 7,373.8 27 Rhode Island Public Transit Authority(RIPTA) Providence, RI 518.1 38 4,161.5 (a) Capital Area Transportation Authority(WINI) Rosa Francisco Municipal Railway(MUNI) San Francisco, CA 510.0 40 3,522.1 (a) Omnitrans(OMNI) Rivaluace, CA Milwaukee, WI 479.2 43		· ·				
Metropolitan Åtlanta Rapid Transit Authority(MARTÁ) Blue Water Area Transp. Comm. (Blue Water Area Transit) Bis-State Development Agency Metro (METRO) St. Louis, MO 581.3 32 4,993.2 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3						
Blue Water Area Transp. Comm.(Blue Water Area Transit) Port Huron, MI S81.3 32 4.993.2 39 St. Louis, MO 580.6 33 5,758.2 36 Suffolk County Dept. of Public Works - Transp. Division(ST) Tucson(COT) Tucson(COT) Tucson(COT) San Diego Metropolitan Transit System(MTS) San Diego, CA 545.2 36 5,268.1 38 Salem Area Mass Transit District(Cherriots) Salem, OR 525.4 37 7,373.8 27 Rhode Island Public Transit Authority(RIPTA) Providence, RI 518.1 38 4,161.5 (a) Capital Area Transportation Authority(CATA) Lansing, MI 515.3 39 3,370.7 (a) San Francisco Municipal Railway(MUNI) Riverside, CA 497.0 41 6,663.0 33 Metropolitan Council Mineapolis, MN 486.9 42 4,811.5 42 4,811.5 42 4,811.5 42 4,811.5 42 4,001.1 (a) Mass Transportation Authority(MTA) Flint, MI 471.7 45 4,618.8 43 43 43 43 43 44 40 44 4,001.1 (a) 44 4,001.1 (a) 44 4,001.1 (a)						
Bi-State Development Agency Metro(METRO) St. Louis, MO 580.6 33 5,758.2 36 Suffolk County Dept. of Public Works - Transp. Division(ST) Tucson, AZ 559.5 35 4,295.7 49 San Diego Metropolitan Transit System(MTS) San Diego, CA 545.2 36 5,268.1 38 Salem Area Mass Transit District(Cherriots) Salem, OR 525.4 37 7,373.8 27 Rhode Island Public Transit Authority(RIPTA) Providence, RI 518.1 38 4,161.5 (a) Capital Area Transportation Authority(CATA) Lansing, MI 515.3 39 3,370.7 (a) San Francisco Municipal Railway(MUNI) San Francisco, CA 510.0 40 3,522.1 (a) Omnitrans(OMNI) Riverside, CA 497.0 41 6,663.0 33 Metropolitan Council Minneapolis, MN 486.9 42 4,811.5 42 4,811.5 42 4,001.1 (a) Spokane Transit Authority(STA) Spokane, WA 475.2 44 4,001.1 (a) Mass Transportation Authority(MTA) Flint, MI 471.7 45 4,618.8 43 43 Cape Cod Regional Transit Authority(CCRTA) Barnstable, MA 470.2 46 3,688.6 (a) Dallas Area Rapid Transit Authority(MART) Fitchburg, MA 448.2 48 5,302.4 37 Greater Hartford Transit District(GHTD) Hartford, CT 438.4 50 5,820.6 35 Texoma Area Paratransit System, Inc(TAPS) Sherman, TX 429.5 (a) 7,009.5 30 Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority(The T) Dallas, TX 413.8 (a) 4,561.6 45 Metropolitan Transit Authority(MTA) Nashville, TN 297.9 (a) 4,388.6 47 Interurban Transit Authority(MTA) Salt Lake City, UT 372.5 (a) 4,388.6 47 Interurban Transit Partnership(The Rapid) Jacksonville, FL 370.3 (a) 4,288.7 50 Jacksonville Transportation Authority(JTA) Jacksonville, FL 370.3 (a) 4,288.7 50 Jacksonville Transportation Authority(JTA) Jacksonville, FL 370.3 (a) 4,288.7 50 Jacksonville Transportation Authority(JTA) Jacksonville, FL 370.3 (a) 4,288.7 50 Jacksonville Transportation Authority(JTA) Jacksonville, FL 370.3 (a) 4,288.7 50 Jacks					,	
Suffolk County Dept. of Public Works - Transp. Division(ST) New York, NY 572.0 34 7,541.6 25 City of Tucson (COT) Tucson, AZ 559.5 35 4,295.7 49 San Diego Metropolitan Transit System(MTS) San Diego, CA 545.2 36 5,268.1 38 Salem Area Mass Transit District(Cherriots) Salem, OR 525.4 37 7,373.8 27 Rhode Island Public Transit Authority(RIPTA) Providence, RI 518.1 38 4,161.5 (a) Capital Area Transportation Authority(CATA) San Francisco, CA 510.0 40 3,522.1 (a) Omnitrans(OMNI) Riverside, CA 497.0 41 6,663.0 33 Metropolitan Council Minneapolis, MN 486.9 42 4,811.5 42 Milwaukee County Transit System(MCTS) Milwaukee, WI 479.2 43 3,192.1 (a) Spokane Transit Authority(STA) Spokane, WA 475.2 44 4,001.1 (a) Mass Transportation Authority(MTA) Barnstable, MA 470.2 46						
City of Tucson(COT) Tucson, AZ 559.5 35 4,295.7 49 San Diego Metropolitan Transit System(MTS) San Diego, CA 545.2 36 5,268.1 38 Salem Area Mass Transit District(Cherriots) Salem, OR 525.4 37 7,373.8 27 Rhode Island Public Transit Authority(RIPTA) Providence, RI 518.1 38 4,161.5 (a) Capital Area Transportation Authority(CATA) Lansing, MI 515.3 39 3,370.7 (a) San Francisco Municipal Railway(MUNI) San Francisco, CA 510.0 40 3,522.1 (a) Omnitrans(OMNI) Riverside, CA 497.0 41 6,663.0 33 Metropolitan Council Minneapolis, MN 486.9 42 4,811.5 42 Milwaukee County Transit System(MCTS) Milwaukee, WI 479.2 43 3,192.1 (a) Spokane Transit Authority(STA) Spokane, WA 475.2 44 4,001.1 (a) Mass Transportation Authority(MTA) Flint, MI 471.7 45 4,618.8 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System(MTS) San Diego, CA 545.2 36 5,268.1 38 Salem Area Mass Transit District(Cherriots) Salem, OR 525.4 37 7,373.8 27 Rhode Island Public Transit Authority(RIPTA) Providence, RI 518.1 38 4,161.5 (a) Capital Area Transportation Authority(CATA) Lansing, MI 515.3 39 3,370.7 (a) San Francisco Municipal Railway(MUNI) San Francisco, CA 510.0 40 3,522.1 (a) Omnitrans(OMNI) Riverside, CA 497.0 41 6,663.0 33 Metropolitan Council Milwaukee, CA 497.0 41 6,663.0 33 Milwaukee County Transit System(MCTS) Milwaukee, WI 479.2 43 3,192.1 (a) Spokane Transit Authority(STA) Spokane, WA 475.2 44 4,001.1 (a) Mass Transportation Authority(MTA) Flint, MI 471.7 45 4,618.8 43 Cape Cod Regional Transit Authority(MTA) Barnstable, MA 470.2 46		·				
Salem Area Mass Transit District(Cherriots) Salem, OR 525.4 37 7,373.8 27 Rhode Island Public Transit Authority(RIPTA) Providence, RI 518.1 38 4,161.5 (a) Capital Area Transportation Authority(CATA) Lansing, MI 515.3 39 3,370.7 (a) San Francisco Municipal Railway(MUNI) San Francisco, CA 510.0 40 3,522.1 (a) Omnitrans(OMNI) Riverside, CA 497.0 41 6,663.0 33 Metropolitan Council Minneapolis, MN 486.9 42 4,811.5 42 Milwaukee County Transit System(MCTS) Milwaukee, WI 479.2 43 3,192.1 (a) Spokane Transit Authority(STA) Milwaukee, WI 479.2 43 3,192.1 (a) Mass Transportation Authority(MTA) Flint, MI 471.7 45 4,618.8 43 Cape Cod Regional Transit Authority(CCRTA) Barnstable, MA 470.2 46 3,688.6 (a) Dallas Area Rapid Transit Authority(MART) Fitchburg, MA 448.2 4					,	
Rhode Island Public Transit Authority(RIPTA)		•				
Capital Area Transportation Authority(CATA) Lansing, MI 515.3 39 3,370.7 (a) San Francisco Municipal Railway(MUNI) San Francisco, CA 510.0 40 3,522.1 (a) Omnitrans(OMNI) Riverside, CA 497.0 41 6,663.0 33 Metropolitan Council Mineapolis, MN 486.9 42 4,811.5 42 Milwaukee County Transit System(MCTS) Milwaukee, WI 479.2 43 3,192.1 (a) Spokane Transit Authority(STA) Spokane, WA 475.2 44 4,001.1 (a) Mass Transportation Authority(MTA) Flint, MI 471.7 45 4,618.8 43 Cape Cod Regional Transit Authority(CCRTA) Barnstable, MA 470.2 46 3,688.6 (a) Dallas Area Rapid Transit Authority(MART) Flitchburg, MA 470.2 46 3,688.6 (a) Montachusett Regional Transit Authority(MART) Fitchburg, MA 448.2 48 5,302.4 37 Greater Hartford Transit District(GHTD) Hartford, CT 443.6 49 </td <td>,</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	,	•				
San Francisco Municipal Railway(MÚNI) San Francisco, CA 510.0 40 3,522.1 (a) Omnitrans(OMNI) Riverside, CA 497.0 41 6,663.0 33 Metropolitan Council Minneapolis, MN 486.9 42 4,811.5 42 Milwaukee County Transit System(MCTS) Milwaukee, WI 479.2 43 3,192.1 (a) Spokane Transit Authority(STA) Spokane, WA 475.2 44 4,001.1 (a) Mass Transportation Authority(MTA) Flint, MI 471.7 45 4,618.8 43 Cape Cod Regional Transit Authority(CCRTA) Barnstable, MA 470.2 46 3,688.6 (a) Dallas Area Rapid Transit Authority(MART) Barnstable, MA 470.2 46 3,688.6 (a) Greater Hartford Transit District(GHTD) Fitchburg, MA 448.2 48 5,302.4 37 Greater Hartford Transit District(GHTD) Hartford, CT 443.6 49 3,553.3 (a) Space Coast Area Transit System, Inc(TAPS) Sherman, TX 429.5 (a)		-				
Omnitrans(OMNI) Riverside, CA 497.0 41 6,663.0 33 Metropolitan Council Minneapolis, MN 486.9 42 4,811.5 42 Milwaukee County Transit System(MCTS) Milwaukee, WI 479.2 43 3,192.1 (a) Spokane Transit Authority(STA) Spokane, WA 475.2 44 4,001.1 (a) Mass Transportation Authority(MTA) Flint, MI 471.7 45 4,618.8 43 Cape Cod Regional Transit Authority(CCRTA) Barnstable, MA 470.2 46 3,688.6 (a) Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART) Dallas, TX 469.0 47 6,220.3 34 Montachusett Regional Transit Authority(MART) Fitchburg, MA 448.2 48 5,302.4 37 Greater Hartford Transit District(GHTD) Hartford, CT 443.6 49 3,553.3 (a) Space Coast Area Transit (SCAT) Melbourne, FL 438.4 50 5,820.6 35 Texoma Area Paratransit System, Inc(TAPS) Sherman, TX 429.5 (a) 7,009.5 </td <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1 1</td>	1					1 1
Metropolitan Council Minneapolis, MN 486.9 42 4,811.5 42 Milwaukee County Transit System(MCTS) Milwaukee, WI 479.2 43 3,192.1 (a) Spokane Transit Authority(STA) Spokane, WA 475.2 44 4,001.1 (a) Mass Transportation Authority(MTA) Flint, MI 471.7 45 4,618.8 43 Cape Cod Regional Transit Authority(CCRTA) Barnstable, MA 470.2 46 3,688.6 (a) Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART) Dallas, TX 469.0 47 6,220.3 34 Montachusett Regional Transit Authority(MART) Fitchburg, MA 448.2 48 5,302.4 37 Greater Hartford Transit District(GHTD) Fitchburg, MA 448.2 48 5,302.4 37 Space Coast Area Transit(SCAT) Melbourne, FL 438.4 50 5,820.6 35 Texoma Area Paratransit System, Inc(TAPS) Sherman, TX 429.5 (a) 7,009.5 30 Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority(LANTA) Riverside, CA 398.6		· ·				
Milwaukee County Transit System(MCTS) Spokane Transit Authority(STA) Mass Transportation Authority(MTA) Cape Cod Regional Transit Authority(CCRTA) Dallas Area Rapid Transit Authority(MART) Greater Hartford Transit District(GHTD) Space Coast Area Transit System, Inc(TAPS) Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority(LANTA) Riverside Transit Agency(RTA) Fort Worth Transportation Authority(The T) Metropolitan Transit Authority(MTA) Milwaukee, WI Spokane, WA A75.2 44 4,001.1 (a) A79.2 45 4,618.8 43 A,618.8 43 A69.0 47 6,220.3 34 Montachusett Regional Transit Authority(MART) Fitchburg, MA Hartford, CT Hartford,					,	
Spokane Transit Authority(STA) Mass Transportation Authority(MTA) Cape Cod Regional Transit Authority(CCRTA) Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART) Montachusett Regional Transit Authority(MART) Greater Hartford Transit District(GHTD) Space Coast Area Transit (SCAT) Texoma Area Paratransit System, Inc(TAPS) Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority(LANTA) Riverside Transit Agency(RTA) Fort Worth Transportation Authority(The T) Metropolitan Transit Authority(MTA) Utah Transit Authority(UTA) Jacksonville Transportation Authority(JTA) Spokane, WA 475.2 44 4,001.1 401.1 471.7 45 4,618.8 43 4,618.8 43 4,618.8 43 4,618.8 43 4,618.8 43 4,618.8 43 4,618.8 44 470.2 46 3,688.6 (a) Alentour, MA 448.2 48 5,302.4 37 443.6 49 3,553.3 (a) 8lebourne, FL 438.4 50 5,820.6 35 5,820.6 35 Sherman, TX 429.5 (a) 4,7009.5 30 Allentown, PA 419.5 (a) 6,747.4 32 Riverside, CA 398.6 (a) 4,907.2 41 Dallas, TX Metropolitan Transit Authority(MTA) Utah Transit Authority(MTA) Salt Lake City, UT Grand Rapids, MI Jacksonville, FL 370.3 (a) 4,268.7 50						(a)
Mass Transportation Authority(MTA) Cape Cod Regional Transit Authority(CCRTA) Dallas Area Rapid Transit(DART) Montachusett Regional Transit Authority(MART) Greater Hartford Transit District(GHTD) Space Coast Area Transit(SCAT) Texoma Area Paratransit System, Inc(TAPS) Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority(LANTA) Riverside Transit Agency(RTA) Fort Worth Transportation Authority(The T) Metropolitan Transit Authority(MTA) Utah Transit Authority(UTA) Interurban Transportation Authority(The Rapid) Jacksonville Transportation Authority(JTA) Flint, MI 471.7 45 4,618.8 43 4,618.8 43 4,618.8 44 470.2 46 3,688.6 (a) 3,688.6 (a) 4,920.3 34 448.2 48 5,302.4 37 6,220.3 34 448.2 48 5,302.4 37 6,220.3 34 Melbourne, FL 438.4 50 5,820.6 35 5,820.6 35 7,009.5 30 Allentown, PA 419.5 (a) 6,747.4 32 Riverside, CA 398.6 (a) 4,907.2 41 Fort Worth Transportation Authority(The T) Dallas, TX Nashville, TN 297.9 (a) 4,494.6 46 47 Interurban Transit Partnership(The Rapid) Jacksonville, FL 370.3 (a) 4,268.7 50					,	
Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART) Dallas, TX 469.0 47 6,220.3 34 Montachusett Regional Transit Authority(MART) Fitchburg, MA 448.2 48 5,302.4 37 Greater Hartford Transit District(GHTD) Hartford, CT 443.6 49 3,553.3 (a) Space Coast Area Transit(SCAT) Melbourne, FL 438.4 50 5,820.6 35 Texoma Area Paratransit System, Inc(TAPS) Sherman, TX 429.5 (a) 7,009.5 30 Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority(LANTA) Allentown, PA 419.5 (a) 6,747.4 32 Riverside Transit Agency(RTA) Riverside, CA 398.6 (a) 4,907.2 41 Fort Worth Transportation Authority(The T) Dallas, TX 413.8 (a) 4,561.6 45 Metropolitan Transit Authority(MTA) Nashville, TN 297.9 (a) 4,494.6 46 Utah Transit Authority(UTA) Salt Lake City, UT 372.5 (a) 4,388.6 47 Interurban Transit Partnership(The Rapid) Grand Rapids, MI	. , ,			45		
Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART) Dallas, TX 469.0 47 6,220.3 34 Montachusett Regional Transit Authority(MART) Fitchburg, MA 448.2 48 5,302.4 37 Greater Hartford Transit District(GHTD) Hartford, CT 443.6 49 3,553.3 (a) Space Coast Area Transit (SCAT) Melbourne, FL 438.4 50 5,820.6 35 Texoma Area Paratransit System, Inc(TAPS) Sherman, TX 429.5 (a) 7,009.5 30 Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority(LANTA) Allentown, PA 419.5 (a) 6,747.4 32 Riverside Transit Agency(RTA) Riverside, CA 398.6 (a) 4,907.2 41 Fort Worth Transportation Authority(The T) Dallas, TX 413.8 (a) 4,561.6 45 Metropolitan Transit Authority(MTA) Nashville, TN 297.9 (a) 4,494.6 46 Utah Transit Authority(UTA) Salt Lake City, UT 372.5 (a) 4,388.6 47 Interurban Transit Partnership(The Rapid) Grand Rapids, MI			470.2	46		(a)
Greater Hartford Transit District(GHTD) Hartford, CT 443.6 49 3,553.3 (a) Space Coast Area Transit(SCAT) Melbourne, FL 438.4 50 5,820.6 35 Texoma Area Paratransit System, Inc(TAPS) Sherman, TX 429.5 (a) 7,009.5 30 Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority(LANTA) Allentown, PA 419.5 (a) 6,747.4 32 Riverside Transit Agency(RTA) Riverside, CA 398.6 (a) 4,907.2 41 Fort Worth Transportation Authority(The T) Dallas, TX 413.8 (a) 4,561.6 45 Metropolitan Transit Authority(MTA) Nashville, TN 297.9 (a) 4,494.6 46 Utah Transit Authority(UTA) Salt Lake City, UT 372.5 (a) 4,388.6 47 Interurban Transit Partnership(The Rapid) Grand Rapids, MI 402.2 (a) 4,328.9 48 Jacksonville Transportation Authority(JTA) Jacksonville, FL 370.3 (a) 4,268.7 50	Dallas Area Rapid Transit(DART)	-				
Greater Hartford Transit District(GHTD) Hartford, CT 443.6 49 3,553.3 (a) Space Coast Area Transit(SCAT) Melbourne, FL 438.4 50 5,820.6 35 Texoma Area Paratransit System, Inc(TAPS) Sherman, TX 429.5 (a) 7,009.5 30 Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority(LANTA) Allentown, PA 419.5 (a) 6,747.4 32 Riverside Transit Agency(RTA) Riverside, CA 398.6 (a) 4,907.2 41 Fort Worth Transportation Authority(The T) Dallas, TX 413.8 (a) 4,561.6 45 Metropolitan Transit Authority(MTA) Nashville, TN 297.9 (a) 4,494.6 46 Utah Transit Authority(UTA) Salt Lake City, UT 372.5 (a) 4,388.6 47 Interurban Transit Partnership(The Rapid) Grand Rapids, MI 402.2 (a) 4,328.9 48 Jacksonville Transportation Authority(JTA) Jacksonville, FL 370.3 (a) 4,268.7 50	Montachusett Regional Transit Authority(MART)	Fitchburg, MA	448.2	48	5,302.4	37
Space Coast Area Transit(SCAT) Melbourne, FL 438.4 50 5,820.6 35 Texoma Area Paratransit System, Inc(TAPS) Sherman, TX 429.5 (a) 7,009.5 30 Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority(LANTA) Allentown, PA 419.5 (a) 6,747.4 32 Riverside Transit Agency(RTA) Riverside, CA 398.6 (a) 4,907.2 41 Fort Worth Transportation Authority(The T) Dallas, TX 413.8 (a) 4,561.6 45 Metropolitan Transit Authority(MTA) Nashville, TN 297.9 (a) 4,494.6 46 Utah Transit Authority(UTA) Salt Lake City, UT 372.5 (a) 4,388.6 47 Interurban Transit Partnership(The Rapid) Grand Rapids, MI 402.2 (a) 4,328.9 48 Jacksonville Transportation Authority(JTA) Jacksonville, FL 370.3 (a) 4,268.7 50			443.6	49		(a)
Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority(LANTA) Riverside Transit Agency(RTA) Fort Worth Transportation Authority(The T) Metropolitan Transit Authority(MTA) Utah Transit Authority(UTA) Interurban Transit Partnership(The Rapid) Jacksonville Transportation Authority(JTA) Allentown, PA Riverside, CA Jag8.6 (a) 4,907.2 41 Allentown, PA Riverside, CA Jag8.6 (b) Allentown, PA	Space Coast Area Transit(SCAT)	Melbourne, FL	438.4	50	5,820.6	
Riverside Transit Agency(RTA) Riverside, CA 398.6 (a) 4,907.2 41 Fort Worth Transportation Authority(The T) Dallas, TX 413.8 (a) 4,561.6 45 Metropolitan Transit Authority(MTA) Nashville, TN 297.9 (a) 4,494.6 46 Utah Transit Authority(UTA) Salt Lake City, UT 372.5 (a) 4,388.6 47 Interurban Transit Partnership(The Rapid) Grand Rapids, MI 402.2 (a) 4,328.9 48 Jacksonville Transportation Authority(JTA) Jacksonville, FL 370.3 (a) 4,268.7 50	Texoma Area Paratransit System, Inc(TAPS)	Sherman, TX	429.5	(a)	7,009.5	30
Fort Worth Transportation Authority(The T) Metropolitan Transit Authority(MTA) Utah Transit Authority(UTA) Interurban Transit Partnership(The Rapid) Jacksonville Transportation Authority(JTA) Dallas, TX Nashville, TN Salt Lake City, UT Grand Rapids, MI Jacksonville, FL 370.3 (a) 4,561.6 45 44,94.6 46 47 48 49.22 48 48 49.28.9 48 48 49.28.7 50	Lehigh and Northampton Transportation Authority(LANTA)	Allentown, PA	419.5	. ,	6,747.4	32
Fort Worth Transportation Authority(The T) Metropolitan Transit Authority(MTA) Utah Transit Authority(UTA) Interurban Transit Partnership(The Rapid) Jacksonville Transportation Authority(JTA) Dallas, TX Nashville, TN Salt Lake City, UT Grand Rapids, MI Jacksonville, FL 370.3 (a) 4,561.6 45 44,94.6 46 47 48 49.22 48 48 49.28.9 48 48 49.28.7 50		-		` '		
Metropolitan Transit Authority(MTA) Utah Transit Authority(UTA) Interurban Transit Partnership(The Rapid) Jacksonville Transportation Authority(JTA) Nashville, TN Salt Lake City, UT Grand Rapids, MI Jacksonville, FL 370.3 (a) 4,494.6 46 47 488.6 47 489.9 48 492.2 (a) 4,328.9 48 48 494.6 47 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48				` '		
Utah Transit Authority(UTA)Salt Lake City, UT Grand Rapids, MI372.5 402.2(a) 4,388.647 4,388.6Jacksonville Transportation Authority(JTA)Jacksonville, FL370.3(a)4,268.7	Metropolitan Transit Authority(MTA)			` '		
Interurban Transit Partnership(The Rapid) Jacksonville Transportation Authority(JTA) Grand Rapids, MI Jacksonville, FL 370.3 (a) 4,328.9 48 4,268.7 50		Salt Lake City, UT		(a)	4,388.6	47
	Interurban Transit Partnership(The Rapid)		402.2		4,328.9	48
(a) Not among 50 largest demand reasonage aganging in this entergy,			370.3	. ,		50

(a) Not among 50 largest demand response agencies in this category.

Includes only transit agencies reporting to Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database.

For complete size ranking lists of all transit agencies reporting to the Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database, see the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix B: Operating Statistics and Rankings at www.apta.com.

TRANSIT SPENDING AND CONTRACTING IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR

Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles, Report Year 2014 (Thousands)

Transit Agency	Urbanized Area (First City and	Unlinke Passenger	-	Passenger N	Miles
• •	State Names Only)	Thousands	Rank	Thousands	Rank
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transp. Auth.(LACMTA)	Los Angeles, CA	3,983.6	1	177,435.2	1
King County Department of Transp.(King County Metro)	Seattle, WA	3,414.8	2	66,111.7	5
Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County, Texas(Metro)	Houston, TX	2,436.9	3	69,573.4	4
San Diego Association of Governments(SANDAG)	San Diego, CA	2,145.4	4	102,390.5	2
Pace - Suburban Bus Division(PACE)	Chicago, IL	1,923.2	5	45,684.7	8
California Vanpool Authority(CalVans)	Hanford, CA	1,844.1	6	80,230.4	3
Utah Transit Authority(UTA)	Salt Lake City, UT	1,404.3	7	51,601.6	7
VRide, Inc Michigan	Detroit, MI	1,240.3	8	52,263.2	6
Orange County Transportation Authority(OCTA)	Los Angeles, CA	1,224.5	9	42,638.7	9
vRide, Inc Valley Metro	Phoenix, AZ	1,068.1	10	29,865.0	12
Snohomish County PTBA(Community Transit)	Seattle, WA	924.9	11	24,029.3	19
Pierce County Transp. Benefit Area Auth.(Pierce Transit)	Seattle, WA	906.7	12	24,802.3	17
Dallas Area Rapid Transit(DART)	Dallas, TX	893.0	13	34,420.4	10
Ben Franklin Transit(BFT)	Kennewick, WA	828.2	14	27,035.6	14
New Jersey Transit Corporation(NJ TRANSIT)	New York, NY	770.0	15	27,211.1	13
Intercity Transit(I.T.)	Olympia, WA	743.9	16	26,550.1	15
vRide, Inc Atlanta	Atlanta, GA	719.1	17	25,795.4	16
Greater Hartford Ridesharing CorporationGHRC)	Hartford, CT	624.2	18	24,143.8	18
Miami Lakes - vRide, Inc.	Miami, FL	583.0	19	15,915.6	24
Dallas - vRide, Inc.	Dallas, TX	514.3	20	22,505.8	20
VIA Metropolitan Transit(VIA)	San Antonio, TX	455.8	21	19,138.1	22
Greater Richmond Transit Company(GRTC Transit System)	Richmond, VA	430.0	22	31,445.0	11
Victor Valley Transit Authority(VVTA)	Victorville, CA	413.7	23	19,792.1	21
Potomac and Rappahannock Transp. Commission(PRTC)	Washington, DC	383.2	24	18,499.0	23
Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority(LYNX)	Orlando, FL	333.4	25	11,137.0	28
Research Triangle Regional Public Transportation Authority	Durham, NC	325.7	26	10,037.2	(a)
Southwestern Pennsylvania Commission(SPC)	Pittsburgh, PA	269.1	27	7,766.2	(a)
Piedmont Authority for Regional Transportation(PART)	Greensboro, NC	264.1	28	11,017.7	29
Charlotte Area Transit System(CATS)	Charlotte, NC	255.2	29	12,240.9	25
Spokane Transit Authority(STA)	Spokane, WA	246.3	30	5,925.7	(a)
Des Moines Area Regional Transit Authority(DART)	Des Moines, IA	244.6	(a)	10,201.7	30

⁽a) Not among 30 largest transit vanpool agencies in this category.

Includes only transit agencies reporting to Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database.

For complete size ranking lists of all transit agencies reporting to the Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database, see the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix B: Operating Statistics and Rankings at www.apta.com.

Table 35: Trolleybus Agencies Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles, Report Year 2014 (Thousands)

Transit Agency	Urbanized Area (First City and	Unlinke Passenger	-	Passenger N	Miles
	State Names Only)	Thousands	Rank	Thousands	Rank
San Francisco Municipal Railway(MUNI)	San Francisco, CA	65,328.4	1	99,004.8	1
King County Department of Transp.(King County Metro)	Seattle, WA	19,464.4	2	35,310.7	2
Southeastern Pennsylvania Transp. Authority(SEPTA)	Philadelphia, PA	6,562.6	3	12,854.4	3
Greater Dayton Regional Transit Authority(GDRTA)	Dayton, OH	2,406.6	4	6,710.3	4
Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority(MBTA)	Boston, MA	1,763.6	5	3,851.3	5

Includes only transit agencies reporting to Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database.

For complete size ranking lists of all transit agencies reporting to the Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database, see the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix B: Operating Statistics and Rankings at www.apta.com.

Table 36: Rail Modes and Ferryboat National Totals (a), Report Year 2014

Statistical Category	Commut- er Rail	Heavy Rail	Hybrid Rail	Light Rail	Streetcar	Other Rail Modes	Ferry- boat
Systems, Number of	27	15	5	23	11	16	41
Trips, Unlinked Passenger (Millions)	490	3,928	7	483	48	47	79
Miles, Passenger (Millions)	11,718	18,339	91	2,490	93	57	505
Trip Length, Average (Miles)	23.9	4.7	13.0	5.2	1.9	1.2	6.4
Miles, Vehicle Total (Millions)	370.8	676.2	3.1	104.7	6.1	10.6	4.1
Miles, Vehicle Revenue (Millions)	342.5	657.2	3.0	102.6	5.9	10.4	4.0
Hours, Vehicle Total (Millions)	11.8	35.0	0.1	6.6	0.8	1.2	0.5
Hours, Vehicle Revenue (Millions)	10.7	32.8	0.1	6.4	0.8	0.9	0.5
Speed, Vehicle in Revenue Service,							
Average (mph)	32.0	20.0	30.0	16.0	7.4	11.6	8.0
Fares Collected, Passengers (Millions)	2,877.7	5,126.3	9.7	496.4	49.7	77.2	170.8
Revenue per Unlinked Trip, Average	5.87	1.31	1.34	1.03	1.03	1.74	2.21
Expense, Operating Total (Millions)	5,748.7	8,648.3	84.0	1,746.2	140.2	198.0	655.8
Operating Expense by Object Class:							
Salaries and Wages (Millions)	1,756.4	3,548.6	7.2	624.8	44.9	64.0	220.6
Fringe Benefits (Millions)	1,430.0	3,115.8	5.2	441.6	38.8	35.8	89.0
Services (Millions)	558.3	432.1	11.4	277.8	7.8	19.5	56.0
Materials and Supplies (Millions)	683.2	500.5	3.7	142.7	7.7	22.0	168.3
Utilities (Millions)	328.6	632.8	1.1	124.3	5.9	11.4	7.8
Casualty and Liability (Millions)	143.0	188.2	3.5	39.0	7.2	7.4	24.4
Purchased Transportation (Millions)	732.9	57.3	50.0	65.5	26.6	34.5	74.7
Other (Millions)	116.4	172.9	1.9	30.4	1.2	3.5	14.9
Operating Expense by Function Class:				00		0.0	
Vehicle Operations (Millions)	2,012.9	3,383.2	11.5	652.3	49.2	62.7	368.1
Vehicle Maintenance (Millions)	1,196.0	1,327.0	1.4	357.0	25.4	37.9	93.0
Non-vehicle Maintenance (Millions)	944.3	2,469.0	8.0	321.3	12.8	27.6	43.8
General Administration (Millions)	862.5	1,411.8	13.1	350.1	26.1	35.3	76.2
Purchased Transportation (Millions)	732.9	57.3	50.0	65.5	26.6	34.5	74.7
Expense, Capital Total (Millions)	2,903.5	5,754.9	14.5	3,951.8	241.1	45.8	316.0
Facilities, Guideway, Stations, Admin.	,	, -		-,			
Buildings (Millions)	1,708.8	3,880.3	11.0	3,385.6	140.1	12.3	132.1
Rolling Stock (Millions)	687.4	709.5	1.4	315.6	46.2	21.0	179.7
Other (Millions)	507.3	1,165.2	2.1	250.6	54.8	12.6	4.3
Revenue Vehicles Available for Maximum Service	7,337	10,551	50	2,057	337	422	202
Revenue Vehicles Operated at Maximum							
Service	6,326	9,273	39	1,495	213	325	165
Employees, Operating	29,602	52,721	182	11,963	927	1,593	4,757
Employees, Vehicle Operations	11,119	20,289	69	5,968	514	601	3,521
Employees, Vehicle Maintenance	8,506	9,481	52	2,536	228	454	553
Employees, Non-Vehicle Maintenance	6,697	17,511	41	2,179	107	294	214
Employees, General Administration	3,280	5,440	20	1,280	79	244	469
Employees, Capital	3,052	5,300	19	1,180	64	16	115
Diesel Fuel Consumed (Gallons, Millions)	93.9	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.7
Other Fossil Fuel Consumed (Gallons, Millions)	1.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8
Electricity Consumed (kWh, Millions)	1,809.0	3,812.1	0.0	938.6	46.8	71.2	0.0

⁽a) Data for all public transportation service, urbanized area and rural.

TRANSIT SPENDING AND CONTRACTING IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR

Table 37: Commuter Rail and Hybrid Rail Agencies Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles, Report Year 2014 (Thousands)

and Passenger Willes, r	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,			
Transit Agency	Urbanized Area (First City and	Unlinke Passenger	-	Passenger N	Miles
	State Names Only)	Thousands	Rank	Thousands	Rank
Commut	er Rail Agencies				
MTA Long Island Rail Road(MTA LIRR)	New York NY	97,869.6	1	1,917,248.1	3
New Jersey Transit Corporation(NJ TRANSIT)	New York, NY	85,639.2	2	2,172,465.7	2
MTA Metro-North Commuter Railroad(MTA-MNCR)	New York, NY	84,463.7	3	2,588,133.6	1
Northeast Illinois Reg. Commuter Railroad Corp.(Metra)	Chicago, IL	74,382.1	4	1,668,440.9	4
Southeastern Pennsylvania Transp.Authority(SEPTA)	Philadelphia, PA	37,690.4	5	497,583.3	6
Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority(MBTA)	Boston, MA	35,251.7	6	721,741.1	5
Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board, Caltrain(PCJPB)	San Francisco, CA	17,759.5	7	389,288.7	8
Southern California Regional Rail Authority(Metrolink)	Los Angeles, CA	13,429.4	8	440,984.5	7
Maryland Transit Administration(MTA)	Baltimore, MD	9,167.9	9	272,410.8	9
Utah Transit Authority(UTA)	Salt Lake City, UT	4,468.8	10	120,156.3	11
Virginia Railway Express(VRE)	Washington, DC	4,431.7	11	132,623.6	10
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority(TRI-Rail)	Miami, FL	4,401.0	12	119,670.2	12
Northern Indiana Commuter Transportation District(NICTD)	Chicago, IL	3,614.3	13	104,415.7	13
Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority(ST)	Seattle, WA	3,361.3	14	77,023.8	15
Dallas Area Rapid Transit(DART)	Dallas, TX	2,283.9	15	43,549.0	20
North County Transit District(NCTD)	San Diego, CA	1,673.8	16	47,124.7	18
Pennsylvania Department of Transportation(PENNDOT)	Philadelphia, PA	1,170.6	17	99,330.0	14
Rio Metro Regional Transit District(RMRTD)	Albuquerque, NM	1,083.5	18	47,553.3	17
Altamont Corridor Express(ACE)	Stockton, CA	1,075.6	19	48,424.5	16
Connecticut Department of Transportation(CDOT)	Hartford, CT	921.6	20	22,619.4	21
Metro Transit	Minneapolis, MN	721.2	21	18,259.2	22
Northern New England Passenger Rail Authority(NNEPRA)	Portland, ME	536.5	22	44,412.3	19
Regional Transportation Authority(RTA)	Davidson, TN	243.1	23	3,776.3	23
Central Florida Commuter Rail(SunRail)	Orlando, FL	169.9	24	2,611.9	24
Alaska Railroad Corporation(ARRC)	Anchorage, AK	164.7		20,480.0	
Hybrid	Rail Agencies				
New Jersey Transit Corporation(NJ TRANSIT)	New York, NY	2,869.7	1	44,322.4	1
North County Transit District(NCTD)	San Diego, CA	2,551.1	2	22,178.1	2
Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority(CMTA)	Austin, TX	763.6	3	12,006.8	3
Denton County Transportation Authority(DCTA)	Denton, TX	568.3	4	8,339.4	4
Tri-County Metropolitan Transp. District of Oregon(TriMet)	Portland, OR-WA	512.4	5	4,310.0	5

⁽a) Alaska Railroad Corporation is the only agency operating service identified as the mode "Alaska Railroad" in the National Transit Database. It is included with Commuter Rail service agencies in this table.

Includes only transit agencies reporting to Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database.

For complete size ranking lists of all transit agencies reporting to the Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database, see the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix B: Operating Statistics and Rankings at www.apta.com.

A full list of commuter and hybrid rail agencies is available in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables.

Table 38: Heavy Rail Agencies Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles, Report Year 2014 (Thousands)

Transit Agency	Urbanized Area (First City and	Unlinked Passenger Trips		Passenger Miles	
	State Names Only)	Thousands	Rank	Thousands	Rank
MTA New York City Transit(NYCT)	New York, NY	2,743,004.5	1	11,152,745.3	1
Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority(WMATA)	Washington, DC	269,529.0	2	1,519,705.3	3
Chicago Transit Authority(CTA)	Chicago, IL	238,100.1	3	1,446,542.1	4
Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority(MBTA)	Boston, MA	178,462.4	4	606,830.0	5
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District(BART)	San Francisco, CA	125,784.2	5	1,655,369.3	2
Southeastern Pennsylvania Transp. Authority(SEPTA)	Philadelphia, PA	99,288.8	6	439,437.8	7
Port Authority Trans-Hudson Corporation(PATH)	New York, NY	83,070.4	7	349,934.6	8
Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority(MARTA)	Atlanta, GA	68,761.6	8	444,957.3	6
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transp. Auth.(LACMTA)	Los Angeles, CA	50,364.8	9	254,439.7	9
Miami-Dade Transit(MDT)	Miami, FL	21,751.4	10	159,954.1	10
Maryland Transit Administration(MTA)	Baltimore, MD	14,632.4	11	75,330.8	12
Alternativa de Transporte Integrado -ATI(PRHTA)	San Juan, PR	10,877.3	12	51,975.1	14
Port Authority Transit Corporation(PATCO)	Philadelphia, PA	10,007.3	13	88,526.8	11
Staten Island Rapid Transit Operating Authority(SIRTOA)	New York, NY	8,301.8	14	52,033.9	13
The Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority(GCRTA)	Cleveland, OH	6,203.8	15	41,266.6	15

Includes only transit agencies reporting to Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database.

For complete size ranking lists of all transit agencies reporting to the Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database, see the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix B: Operating Statistics and Rankings at www.apta.com.

Table 39: Other Rail Agencies Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles by Type of Rail Agency, Report Year 2014 (Thousands)

r assenger miles by Type of Nail Agency, Nepolt Teal 2014 (Thousands)					
Transit Agency	Urbanized Area (First City and State	Unlinked Passenger Trips		Passenger Miles	
• •	Names Only)	Thousands	Rank	Thousands	Rank
A	Aerial Tramway				
Town of Mountain Village (a)	Mountain Village, CO	2,407.2	1		
City of Portland(PBOT)	Portland, OR	1,741.7	1	1,114.7	1
	Cable Car				
San Francisco Municipal Railway(MUNI)	San Francisco, CA	7,331.8	1	9,247.9	1
	Inclined Plane				
Port Authority of Allegheny County(Port Authority)	Pittsburgh, PA	716.9	1	83.8	2
Chattanooga Area Regional Transp. Authority(CARTA).	Chattanooga, TN	396.8	2	396.8	1
Cambria County Transit Authority(CamTran)	Johnstown, PA	70.8	3	12.0	3
Monorail and A	Automated Guideway Tran	sit			
Miami-Dade Transit(MDT)	Miami, FL	9,983.1	1	9,270.4	1
Las Vegas Monorail Company(LVMC)	Las Vegas, NV	4,548.3	2	8,851.2	2
West Virginia Univ., Morgantown Personal Rapid Transit	Morgantown, WV	2,341.7	3	4,449.1	3
City of Seattle - Seattle Center Monorail Transit(SMS)	Seattle, WA	2,162.6	4	1,946.4	5
Detroit Transportation Corporation(Detroit People Mover)	Detroit, MI	2,150.1	5	3,299.7	4
Jacksonville Transportation Authority(JTA)	Jacksonville, FL	1,188.0	6	843.5	6

⁽a) Reported in National Transit Database Rural Data Tables.

Includes only transit agencies reporting to Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database.

For complete size ranking lists of all transit agencies reporting to the Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database, see the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix B: Operating Statistics and Rankings at www.apta.com

TRANSIT SPENDING AND CONTRACTING IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR

Table 40: Light Rail and Streetcar Agencies Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips and Passenger Miles, Report Year 2014 (Thousands)

and rassenger willes, i	topoit roai zorr	11100001100)		1	
Transit Agency	Urbanized Area (First City and	Unlinke Passenger		Passenger N	Miles
	State Names Only)	Thousands	Rank	Thousands	Rank
Light	Rail Agencies				
Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority(MBTA)	Boston, MA	72,481.7	1	180,879.4	6
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transp. Author.(LACMTA)	Los Angeles, CA	63,704.8	2	412,776.4	1
San Francisco Municipal Railway(MUNI)	San Francisco, CA	48,779.2	3	138,802.4	8
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System(MTS)	San Diego, CA	39,694.6	4	228,531.8	3
Tri-County Metropolitan. Transp. District of Oregon(TriMet)	Portland, OR	38,194.5	5	215,898.0	4
Dallas Area Rapid Transit(DART)	Dallas TX	29,458.3	6	242,559.9	2
Denver Regional Transportation District(RTD)	Denver, CO	26,363.1	7	199,703.2	5
Utah Transit Authority(UTA)	Salt, UT	19,868.6	8	94,751.9	10
New Jersey Transit Corporation(NJ TRANSIT)	New York, NY	19,178.5	9	58,316.2	15
Bi-State Development Agency(St. Louis) Metro(METRO)	St. Louis, MO	17,466.3	10	146,929.6	7
Metro Transit	Minneapolis, MN	16,000.0	11	72,641.9	13
Valley Metro Rail, Inc.(VMR)	Phoenix-Mesa, AZ	14,331.5	12	97,905.9	9
Sacramento Regional Transit District(Sacramento RT)	Sacramento, CA	12,710.5	13	74,580.3	12
Metropolitan Transit Auth, of Harris County, Texas(Metro)	Houston, TX	12,701.0	14	33,086.5	18
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority(VTA)	San Jose, CA	10,953.0	15	61,658.6	14
Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority(ST)	Seattle, WA	10,937.9	16	83,984.6	11
Maryland Transit Administration(MTA)	Baltimore, MD	8,109.3	17	52,021.6	16
Port Authority of Allegheny County(Port Authority)	Pittsburgh, PA	7,937.5	18	33,889.1	17
Charlotte Area Transit System(CATS)	Charlotte, NC	5,068.2	19	26,194.6	19
Niagara Frontier Transportation Authority(NFT Metro)	Buffalo, NY	4,636.1	20	12,248.5	21
The Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority(GCRTA)	Cleveland, OH	2,779.2	21	16,450.4	20
Transportation District Comm. of Hampton Roads(HRT)	Virginia Beach, VA	1,669.0	22	6,296.3	22
Stree	tcar Agencies				
Southeastern Pennsylvania Transp. Authority(SEPTA)	Philadelphia, PA	24,458.4	1	58,436.2	1
San Francisco Municipal Railway(MUNI)	San Francisco, CA	8,172.4	2	12,078.8	3
New Orleans Regional Transit Authority(NORTA)	New Orleans, LA	7,457.3	3	13,945.1	2
City of Portland(PBOT)	Portland, OR	4,441.3	4	4,931.0	4
Memphis Area Transit Authority(MATA)	Memphis, TN	1,103.2	5	1,028.3	5
Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority(ST)	Seattle, WA	973.6	6	859.5	6
King County Department of Transp.(King County Metro)	Seattle, WA	707.7	7	594.1	8
McKinney Avenue Transit Authority(MATA)	Dallas TX	505.1	8	661.6	7
Hillsborough Area Regional Transit Authority(HART)	Petersburg, FL	277.8	9	499.5	9
Central Arkansas Transit Authority(CATA)	Little Rock, AR	99.5	10	172.2	10
Kenosha Transit(KT)	Kenosha, WI-IL	45.1	11	50.7	11

Includes only transit agencies reporting to Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database.

For complete size ranking lists of all transit agencies reporting to the Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database, see the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix B: Operating Statistics and Rankings at www.apta.com.

A full list of light rail agencies is available in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables.




Figure 16: The Number of Rail Transit Systems
Has More Than Tripled Since 1970

Of the 86 streetcar, commuter rail, hybrid rail, heavy rail, and light rail systems now operated by transit agencies, more than 75 percent have opened since 1970. Only 9 current rail systems have been operating since the 19th Century, all but 2 from before electricity was used to power rail cars. During the previous three decades, the 1940s through the 1960s, only one currently operating rail system was opened. Many of the street railways built from 1890 to 1910 had closed before 1970. Since 1970, 24 new commuter and hybrid rail systems, 7 new heavy rail, and 35 new light rail and streetcar systems have begun transit service. Rail transit is the new modern way to travel. The number of completely new systems increased each decade from the 1970s through the 2000s. Beside the 10 new systems in the 2010s, there have been 33 extensions added to existing rail systems in the first half of the decade. A complete list of fixed guideway systems and the year they opened can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

Table 41: Ferryboat Agencies in Urbanized Areas Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips

and Passenger Miles, Report Year 2014 (Thousands)

Transit Agency	Urbanized Area (First City and	Unlinked Passenger Trips		Passenger Miles	
	State Names Only)	Thousands	Rank	Thousands	Rank
Washington State Ferries(WSF)	Seattle, WA	22,850.7	1	179,408.7	1
New York City Department of Transportation(NYCDOT)	New York, NY	21,068.9	2	108,729.8	2
Port Imperial Ferry Corporation dba NY Waterway	New York, NY	4,143.6	3	16,418.6	6
Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transp.District(GGBHTD)	San Francisco, CA	2,470.6	4	26,911.2	4
Puerto Rico Maritime Transport Authority(PRMTA)	San Juan, PR	1,987.3	5	25,307.8	5
San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transp. Auth.	San Francisco, CA	1,925.6	6	30,143.1	3
BillyBey Ferry Company, LLC	New York, NY	1,462.5	7	3,130.2	9
Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority(MBTA)	Boston, MA	1,313.2	8	10,906.8	7
Louisiana Department of Transp. & Development(LA DOTD)	New Orleans, LA	1,283.7	9	641.9	14
Port Authority Trans-Hudson Corporation(PATH)	New York, NYCT	1,097.8	10	3,015.9	10
Casco Bay Island Transit District(CBITD)	Portland, ME	962.6	11	3,532.8	8
Plaquemines Parish Government(PPG)	New Orleans, LA	898.0	12	449.0	16
Chatham Area Transit Authority(CAT)	Savannah, GA	729.7	13	277.3	17
King County Ferry District(KCFD)	Seattle, WA	467.1	14	2,409.9	11
New Orleans Regional Transit Authority(NORTA)	New Orleans, LA	459.9	15	229.9	19
Kitsap Transit	Bremerton, WA	458.6	16	715.6	13
Transportation District Commission of Hampton Roads(HRT)	Virginia Beach, VA	332.0	17	236.7	18
Pierce County Ferry Operations(Pierce County Ferry)	Seattle, WA	191.2	18	841.4	12
MTA: Metro-North Commuter Railroad (MTA-MNCR)	New York, NY	149.8	19	581.2	15
Corpus Christi Regional Transportation Authority(The B)	Corpus Christi, TX	84.0	20	100.8	21
Rock Island County Met. Mass Transit District(MetroLink)	Davenport, IA-IL	34.9	21	195.2	20
Central Oklahoma Transp. and Parking Authority(COTPA)	Oklahoma City, OK	8.7	22	25.9	22

Includes only transit agencies reporting to Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database. For complete size ranking lists of all transit agencies reporting to the Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database, see the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix B: Operating Statistics and Rankings at www.apta.com.

Rural Agency Modal Data

The National Transit Database publishes a separate and less detailed database for rural transit agencies which provide service outside of urbanized areas. Unless specifically stated, all data in the *Public Transportation Fact Book* include the entire public transportation industry, including agencies operating in urbanized areas and those operating in rural areas

Table 42: 35 Largest Rural Bus and 12 Largest Rural Commuter Bus Agencies Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips, Report Year 2014

Unlinked Passenger Trips State Transit Agency Name Number (a) Rank Rural Bus Agencies MD Mayor and City Council Town of Ocean City 2,727,724 CO Roaring Fork Transportation Authority 1,983,742 2 3 IL City of Macomb 1,919,723 CO Summit County Board of Community Commissioners 4 1,845,666 UT Park City Municipal Corporation 1,818,869 5 NC **AppalCart** 1,725,371 6 WA Pullman Transit 1,389,761 7 Martha's Vineyard Transit Authority MA 1,224,587 8 ΑK City and Borough of Juneau 1,171,850 9 City of Steamboat Springs CO 1,124,061 10 WA Island Transit 977,348 11 South Teton Area Rapid Transit WY 960,270 12 CA Eastern Sierra Transit Authority 910,459 13 TN City of Gatlinburg 900,394 14 CO Eagle County Regional Transportation Authority 889,876 15 VT Advance Transit, Inc. NH 868,082 16 ΤN Pigeon Forge Fun Time Trolleys 866,756 17 County of Kaua'i - Transportation Agency ΗΙ 819,950 18 WA Clallam Transit System 796,498 19 OK **OSU-Stillwater Community Transit** 719,111 20 NV**Tahoe Transportation District** 717,082 21 City of Oneonta 22 NY 711,128 CO Mountain Express 675,171 23 WY University of Wyoming 24 664,237 CO Town of Breckenridge 660,369 25 WA Grays Harbor Transit 647,943 26 TX City of South Padre Island 626,330 27 CO 28 City of Durango 577,540 CO Town of Snowmass Village 29 504,556 30 PA Indiana County Transit Authority 487,011 New Castle Area Transit Authority 31 PΑ 482,468 VT Marble Valley Regional Transit District 32 469,419 ID Mountain Rides Transportation Authority 449,634 33 NM Incorporated County of Los Alamos 446,361 34 Mason County Transportation Authority 35 WA 441,058 Rural Commuter Bus Agencies CO Roaring Fork Transportation Authority 1,759,612 1 ΗΙ County of Hawaii Mass Transit Agency 920,280 2 CA **Humboldt Transit Authority** 608,927 3 Kern Regional Transit 4 CA 522,729 ΑZ Navajo Nation 5 250,842 ΤX El Paso County 6 197,465 OR Yamhill County 169,812 7 City of Sandy 8 OR 153,514 NV Southern Nevada Transit Coalition - Boulder Cit 142,077 9 VT Marble Valley Regional Transit District 133,444 10 New Castle Area Transit Authority 130,082 PΑ 11

Includes only transit agencies reporting to Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database for Rural Areas. RY 2014 rural area NTD data are available on the APTA web site at www.apta.com.

City of Show Low

⁽a) Sum of "regular trips" and "coordinated trips."

Table 43: 35 Largest Rural Demand Response and 12 Largest Demand Response Taxi Agencies Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips, Report Year 2014

Ranked by Unlinked Passenger Trips, Report Yea		Unlinked		
State	Transit Agency Name	Passenger		
		Number (a)	Rank	
	Rural Demand Response Agencies	4 475 000	4	
MO	OATS, Inc.	1,475,289	1	
MS	City of Oxford	884,016	2	
KY	Rural Transit Enterprises Coordinated, Inc.	759,255	3	
OK	KI BOIS Community Action Foundation, Inc.	731,695	4	
MS	SMART Starkville-MSU Area Rapid Transit	698,571	5	
MI	Isabella County Transportation Commission	625,784	6	
AL	West Alabama Rural Public Transportation	584,567	7	
MI 	Bay Area Transportation Authority	551,084	8	
IL 	South Central Illinois Mass Transit District	544,285	9	
AR	Central Arkansas Development Council	409,214	10	
MI	Marquette County Transit Authority	408,400	11	
IA	North Iowa Area Council of Governments	395,350	12	
IA	Southwest Iowa Planning Council /SW Iowa Transit	389,397	13	
TX	Capital Area Rural Transportation System (CARTS - RURAL)	388,803	14	
TX	Panhandle Community Services	385,505	15	
SD	CCTS d/b/a River Cities Transit	384,718	16	
IA	Heart of Iowa Regional Transit Agency	343,977	17	
MI	Huron Transit Corporation	340,747	18	
CA	Fresno County Rural Transit Agency	335,191	19	
AL	Baldwin County Commission	325,004	20	
OH	Athens Transit	303,753	21	
IA	Regional Transit Authority/RIDES	293,825	22	
MN	Arrowhead Economic Opportunity Agency, Inc.	285,357	23	
GA	Southwest Georgia RC	276,279	24	
OK	Community Action Development Corporation	274,451	25	
MO	Southeast Missouri Transportation, Inc.	271,214	26	
TN	South Central Tennessee Development District	271,185	27	
AR	Southeast Arkansas Transit	246,481	28	
KY	Leslie, Knott, Letcher & Perry Community Action	238,797	29	
IN	SIDC RIDE SOLUTION	229,641	30	
OK	Big Five Community Services, Inc.	219,359	31	
KY	Bluegrass Community Action Agency	206,104	32	
TX	Rural Economic Assistance League, Inc.	205,697	33	
OK	Grand Gateway EDA/ Pelivan	201,006	34	
IL	West Central Mass Transit District	200,487	35	
	Rural Demand Response Taxi Agencies			
WI	City of Beaver Dam	125,612	1	
WI	City of Watertown	113,051	2	
WI	City of Portage	110,673	3	
HI	County of Hawaii Mass Transit Agency	102,376	4	
WI	City of Wisconsin Rapids	98,770	5	
WI	City of Marshfield	86,713	6	
WI	City of Rhinelander	81,032	7	
WI	City of Waupaca	63,643	8	
WI	City of Monroe	58,714	9	
WI	City of Fort Atkinson	45,169	10	
WI	City of Viroqua	42,265	11	
WI	City of Black River Falls	39,775	12	

WICity of Black River Falls39,77512Includes only transit agencies reporting to Federal Transit Administration RY 2014 National Transit Database for Rural Areas. RY2014 rural area NTD data are available on the APTA web site at www.apta.com.

⁽a) Sum of "regular trips" and "coordinated trips."

Canadian Data

Table 44 provides a summary of Canadian public transportation data as provided by the Canadian Urban Transit Association (CUTA).

Table 44: Canadian Transit Data Summary (All Dollar Amounts Are Canadian Dollars) Report Year 2014

Statistic	Amount	Statistic	Amount
Fixed-Route Transit Services		Fixed-Route Transit Services, continued	
Number of Systems Reporting	105	Direct Operating Expenses (Millions) (c)	7,429.0
Vehicle Revenue Miles (Millions)	658.3	Transportation Operations (Millions)	3,075.3
Total Vehicle Miles (Millions)	740.4	Fuel/Energy (Millions)	644.9
Vehicle Revenue Hours (Millions)	49.5	Vehicle Maintenance (Millions)	1,122.3
Total Vehicle Hours (Millions)	54.8	Plant Maintenance (Millions)	635.9
Regular Service Passengers (a) (Millions)	2,068.6	General and Administration (Millions)	880.4
Passenger Boardings (b) (Millions)	3,052.3	Passenger Revenue (Millions)	3,968.2
Regular Service Passenger Miles (Millions)	12,244.3	Total Operating Revenue (Millions)	4,591.5
Employees (Full and Part Time)	57,269	Other Operating	
Operators	30,270	Contributions (Millions)	4,046.8
Other Transportation Operations	5,262	Total Operating Revenue and	
Vehicle Maintenance	8,722	Financial Assistance (Millions)	8,638.3
Non-Vehicle Maintenance	5,502	Adult Cash Fare, Average	2.79
General Administration	7,412	Total Capital	
Total Passenger Vehicles	19,211	Expenditures (Millions)	5,730.2
Bus (d)	15,888	Specialized Transit Services	
Commuter Rail	991	Number of Systems Reporting,	
Heavy Rail	1,480	Dedicated Service	71
Light Rail and Streetcar	844	Passengers Dedicated Service (Millions)	11.8
Other	8	Passengers Dedicated and Non-Dedicated	
Peak Period Passenger Vehicles	15,212	Service Total (millions)	19.3
Bus (d)	12,411	Total Vehicle Miles, Dedicated	
Commuter Rail	879	Service (Millions)	55.8
Heavy Rail	1,234	Total Vehicle Hours, Dedicated	
Light Rail and Streetcar	681	Service (Millions)	5.0
Other	7	Total Operating Revenue	
Average Bus Age (years)	7.5	(Millions)	526.3
Percent Bus Fleet Accessible	97.52	Operating Expense (Millions)	526.0

Source: Canadian Urban Transit Association, totals for reporting agencies only.

Canadian "fixed-route transit services" data from 1955 through 2014 and "specialized transit services" data from 1991 through 2014 can be found in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book Appendix A: Historical Tables at www.apta.com.

⁽a) "Regular service passenger trips" are similar to linked trips and are not the same measurement as "unlinked passenger trips" reported for United States transit agencies in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book.

⁽b) "Boarding passengers" is a similar measure to "unlinked passenger trips" reported for United States transit agencies in the 2016 Public Transportation Fact Book.

⁽c) Includes unallocated amounts.

⁽d) Includes trolleybuses.

APTA Association History


The American Public Transportation Association traces its ancestry back 133 years to December 13, 1882 when 56 transit executives from across the United States and Canada met at Young's Hotel in Boston and created the American Street Railway Association. In the early years of the association's existence, annual meetings saw technical presentations and committee reports on horse shoeing, collection of fares, track construction, removal of snow and ice, horse stables, and cable power. The association was created during a period of rapid technological change; the "Verbatim Report" of the 1884 Annual Meeting in New York City includes the first discussion of the potential use of electricity to propel streetcars.

The changes in transit vehicle types and motive power are reflected in the name changes of the association. In 1905, the association changed its name to the American Street and Interurban Railway Association to reflect its members' provision of local service on urban "streets" and higher speed "interurban" service between center cities and suburbs and to other more distant urban communities. A name change in 1910 to the American Electric Railway Association reflected the near universal adoption of electricity as propulsion power for transit cars. In 1912, the U.S. Census of Street and Electric Railways found that 943 out of 975 street and interurban railways were powered by electricity. The increasing use of motor buses and trolley buses by transit systems resulted in the association changing its name in 1932 to the American Transit Association.

In 1929, members of the American Electric Railway Association created a separate organization, the Electric Railway Presidents' Conference Committee, to develop a streetcar called the PCC car. The streamlined body of the PCC car reflected the modernist design movement of the times and the mechanical systems of the car were revolutionary compared to previous designs. The committee was incorporated as the the Transit Research Corporation (TRC) in 1935 to manage the use of PCC designs and continue street and rapid transit car design improvement. The changing emphasis of the TRC toward legislative matters resulted in a name change to the Institute for Rapid Transit (IRT). In 1969 the IRT moved its headquarters from Chicago to Washington, DC, reflecting the continued focus on its legislative activities. The American Transit Association had already moved its offices from New York City to Washington, DC, in 1966, for the same reasons.

Representing many of the same transit companies and striving to achieve the same improvements and growth in the transit industry, the American Transit Association and Institute for Rapid Transit merged in 1974 to create the American Public Transit Association. In 2000, the Association's name was changed to the American Public Transportation Association, reflecting the wide variety of mobility and transportation services beyond traditional transit provided by its members. The following pages present APTA's association ancestry, chief executive officers, lifetime achievement award recipients, senior elected officers, and Hall of Fame members.

APTA Association Ancestry



APTA Chief Executive Officers

Richard A. White has spent his entire career in the public transportation industry. White served as General Manager and Chief Executive Officer of the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Agency (WMATA) from 1996-2006 and led the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART) from 1991-1996, serving as Deputy General Manager and then General Manager. He also served in management roles at the Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County (Houston METRO) and New Jersey Transit Corporation. White has eight years of experience on the private sector side with consulting services in the international transportation and infrastructure market. He also worked for six years with the Urban Mass Transportation Administration, now the Federal Transit Administration. He served as APTA's memberelected chair 2005-2006. His biography can be found on APTA's web site at www.apta.com.

Richard A. White, Acting President & CEO 2016 - Current

Michael P. Melaniphy, President & CEO 2011 - 2016

William W. Millar, President 1996 - 2011

Jack R. Gilstrap, Executive Vice President 1980 - 1996

B. R. Stokes, Executive Director 1974 - 1977, Executive Vice President 1977 - 1980

APTA Lifetime Achievement Award Recipients

APTA's Lifetime Achievement Award recognizes persons who have made outstanding contributions that have changed the relationship of public transportation to its local communities and American society. Each recipient has taken action and provided leadership to dramatically improve the ability of public transportation to meet the needs of all Americans.

Rosa Parks, 1997

Mortimer Downey, 2000

Norman Y. Mineta, 2006

APTA Senior Elected Officers

From 1974 through 1987, the American Public Transportation Association had both an elected president and an elected chair who jointly served in the capacity of senior elected official.

1974-1975 President	1976-1977 Chair	1979-1980 President
Stanley H. Gates, Jr.,	James J. McDonough,	Houston P. Ishmael,
Houston, TX	Chicago, IL	Memphis, TN
1974-1975 Chair	1977-1978 President	1979-1980 Chair
William J. Ronan,	Thomas O. Prior,	John L. McDonnell,
New York City, NY	San Diego, CA	Oakland, CA
1975-1976 President	1977-1978 Chair	1980-1981 President
Stanley H. Gates, Jr.,	James J. McDonough,	Leonard Ronis,
Houston, TX	Chicago, IL	Cleveland, OH
1975-1976 Chair	1978-1979 President	1980-1981 Chair
William J. Ronan,	Houston P. Ishmael,	John L. McDonnell,
New York City, NY	Memphis, TN	Oakland, CA
1976-1977 President	1978-1979 Chair	1981-1982 President
Thomas O. Prior,	Harold L. Fisher,	Leonard Ronis,
San Diego, CA	New York City, NY	Cleveland, OH

APTA ASSOCIATION HISTORY

1981-1982 Chairs Eugene M. Barnes, Chicago, IL David F. Girard-diCarlo, Philadelphia, PA

1982-1983 President James H. Graebner, San Jose, CA

1982-1983 Chair Joseph Alexander, Washington, DC

1983-1984 President James H. Graebner, San Jose, CA

1983-1984 Chair Joseph Alexander, Washington, DC

1984-1985 President Bernard J. Ford, Chicago, IL

1984-1985 Chair Warren H. Frank, Syracuse, NY

1985-1986 President Lawrence W. Jackson, Long Beach, CA

> 1985-1986 Chair Warren H. Frank, Syracuse, NY

1986-1987 President Lawrence W. Jackson, Long Beach, CA

> 1986-1987 Chair Reba Malone, San Antonio, TX

> 1987-1988 Chair Reba Malone, San Antonio, TX

1988-1989 Chair James E. Cowan, Portland, OR

1989-1990 Chair Daniel T. Scannell, New York City, NY 1990-1991 Chair Alan F. Kiepper, New York City, NY

1991-1992 Chair Louis H. Parsons, Toronto, ONT

1992-1993 Chair Louis J. Gambaccini, Philadelphia, PA

> 1993-1994 Chair Rod Diridon, San Jose, CA

1994-1995 Chair Richard J. Simonetta, Atlanta, GA

> 1995-1996 Chair Frank J. Wilson, Trenton, NJ

> 1996-1997 Chair Leslie R. White, Vancouver, WA

1997-1998 Chair Howard C. Breen, Kansas City, MO

1998-1999 Chair Shirley A. DeLibero, Houston, TX

1999-2000 Chair John P. Bartosiewicz, Fort Worth, TX

2000-2001 Chair Ronald J. Tober, Charlotte, NC

2001-2002 Chair Peter M. Cipolla, San Jose, CA

2002-2003 Chair Celia G. Kupersmith, San Francisco, CA

2003-2004 Chair George F. Dixon, III, Cleveland, OH 2004-2005 Chair Richard A. White, Washington, DC

2005-2006 Chairs Ronald L. Barnes, Columbus OH Howard Silver, Bakersfield, CA

2006-2007 Chair Howard Silver, Bakersfield, CA

2007-2008 Chair Michael Townes, Norfolk, VA

2008-2009 Chair Beverly A. Scott, Ph.D., Atlanta, GA

> 2009-2010 Chair Mattie P. Carter, Memphis, TN

2010-2011 Chair Michael J. Scanlon, San Carlos, CA

2011-2012 Chair Gary C. Thomas, Dallas, TX

2012-2013 Chair Flora M. Castillo, Newark, NJ

2013-2014 Chair Peter Varga, Grand Rapids, MI

2014-2015 Chair Phillip A. Washington, Denver, CO

2015-2016 Chair Valarie J. McCall, Cleveland, OH

2016-2017 Nathaniel J. Ford Jacksonville, FL

APTA Hall of Fame

Admission into the APTA Hall of Fame is a special honor reserved for individuals who have long and distinguished careers in the industry, who have made extraordinary contributions to public transportation, and who have actively participated in APTA activities. Brief statements of Hall of Fame member contributions to the transit industry may be found on the APTA web site at http://www.apta.com/about/hallofframe/Pages/default.aspx. Hall of Fame inductees are reported below by the year they were inducted into the Hall of Fame.

1983	1987	1995	2003
Carmack Cochran	Edgar A. Claffey	Robert S. Korach	Lawrence D. Dahms
Leo J. Cusick	William F. Farell	George Krambles	Alan F. Kiepper
E. Roy Fitzgerald	David Q. Gaul	James R. Mills	
Dominic J. Giacoma	P.S. Jenison	James Reading	2004
F. Norman Hill	Anthony R. Lucchesi	Frank Julian Sprague	John A. Dyer, Ph.D.
Donald C. Hyde	Thomas G. Neusom		Jan den Oudsten
Frederick J. Johnson	Herbert J. Scheuer	1996	
Walter J. McCarter		Keith Bernard	2005
W.H. Paterson	1988	Robert Buchanan	Carlton Sickles
Walter S. Rainville, Jr.	Henry R. DeTournay	Albert Paul Moniz	Virendra K. Sood
,	Georges G. Donato	B.R. Stokes	
1984	John J. Gilhooley		2006
George J. Clark	William B. Hurd	1997	H. Welton Flynn
Walter S. Douglas	Victor Sharman	George E. Benson	Louis L. Heil
Jackson Graham	Lloyd G. Berney	Peter Bigwood	Dan Reichard, Jr.
John F. Hoban	James A. Caywood	Henry C. Church	Shirley A. DeLibero
Robert B. Johnston	Robert M. Coultas	John F. Hutchison	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Alton McDonald	Alan Sterland	Harvel W. Williams	2007
Robert Pollock			David L. Gunn
David Ringo	1990	1998	
Robert Sloan	Alan L. Bingham	John A. Dash	2008
	Charles E. Keiser	Warren H. Frank	Joe Alexander
1985	Leonard Ronis	Jack R. Gilstrap	Frank Lichtanski
Wilfred E.P. Duncan	Erland A. Tillman	Kenneth M. Gregor	Reba Malone
Stanley H. Gates, Jr.		William A. Luke	
Joseph V. Garvey	1991		2009
Peter J. Giacoma	Wilbur P. Barnes	1999	Bernard J. Ford
Jesse L. Haugh	S.A. Caria	Albert Engelken	
Henry M. Mayer	Houston P. Ishmael	Louis J. Gambaccini	2011
Thomas O. Prior	Edward R. Stokel	George W. Heinle	Roger Snoble
William J. Ronan	Robert G. Decker	James A. Machesney	3
Bernard Shatzkin	John Duncan Simpson	ŕ	2012
Harley L. Swift	Carmen Turner	2000	Peter Cipolla
,	H. Donald White	Milton Pikarsky	· ·
1986		Daniel T. Scannell	2013
John C. Baine	1993		William W. Millar
Leonard W. Bardsley	James W. Donaghy	2001	Richard Simonetta
Fred B. Burke	Joseph C. Kelly	Gerald T. Haugh	
George Gibbs	Robert Wayne Nelson	Robert G. MacLennan	2014
David G. Hammond	•		Rod Diridon, Sr.
Lucien L'Allier	1994	2002	Ronald J. Tober
Peter J. Meinardi	Robert M. Brown	James L. Lammie	
	Miriam L. Gholikely		2015
	Colonel William R. Lucius		Elonzo W. Hill
	Kenneth S. Voigt		Jerome C. Premo
			22.2

Milestones in Public Transportation and High-Speed Rail History

Public transportation, except for ferryboats, was not a part of everyday life until the 19th century, since home, work, and recreation were almost always within walking distance of each other. As cities grew and distances increased, horse-pulled stagecoaches were introduced to meet the need for better transportation for the few who could afford it, and the railroad was invented. The horsecar--initially a horse-pulled stagecoach body on special wheels that ran on rails--was devised to operate on the unpaved or poorly paved streets of that era.

As technology developed, elevated steam railroads, cable-pulled cars, electric streetcars, and underground electric trains all became common. Many of these developments were pioneered in the United States. All operated on rails, and it wasn't until the 1910-1920 period that improved street pavement and internal combustion engines led to the widespread introduction of buses. These are some of the more important milestones in that history.

The 19th Century: The Invention of Modern Urban Public Transportation

1800	Most Americans lived in rural areas. Only 322,000 people, 6.1 percent of the total U.S. population of
	5.3 million, lived in urban areas. New York City was the nation's most populous city, with 60,000
	people, nearly twice as many as 10 years earlier. People still walked everywhere, but the sudden
	growth of cities was creating a need for transportation alternatives. By the 1830 Census, shortly after
	the introduction of transit service, New York City's population exceeded 200,000.

- Transit service was first provided in New York City, using horse-drawn carriages. Abraham Brower provided service in lower Manhattan. Brower also introduced a vehicle designed especially for transit service, the horse-drawn *Omnibus*, in 1831. For 12½ cents, about \$3.30 in today's money, the traveler could ride about two miles from the Battery north to Bond Street.
- A year after the Omnibus entered service, the first horse-drawn street railway began operation in New York. The New York and Harlem Railway ran along the Bowery from Prince Street to 14th Street.
- The first common carrier railroad in the United States was the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad, with a line from Baltimore to Ellicott's Mills, now Ellicott City, MD, which opened in 1830. Which intercity railroad, however, operated the first service intended solely for commuters is uncertain. An 1855 New York and Harlem Railway timetable, by then using steam powered trains north of 32nd Street, listed 14 trains a day to and from Williams' Bridge, and seven as far as White Plains.
- The growing importance of urban transportation is exemplified by senior military leaders from both the North and South who were executives of street railways before and after the Civil War. Future Union General William Tecumseh Sherman was president of the Fifth Street Railroad in St. Louis, MO, when the Civil War started. Following the Civil War, former Confederate General P.G.T. Beauregard became president of the New Orleans and Carrollton Street Railway, now the St. Charles Avenue Streetcar Line of the New Orleans Regional Transit Authority.
- The first elevated railway opened in New York City. The West Side and Yonkers Patent Railway, a cable powered railway, was not successful and ceased operation in 1870. It was replaced in 1871 by the Westside Patented Railway Company, which successfully used trains pulled by small steam engines.
- The Great Epizootic of 1872 killed large numbers of horses used by street railways, 18,000 in New York City alone. The desire to reduce the risk, as well as the pollution associated with horse-driven cars, would lead to increased efforts to find mechanically powered substitutes.
- The first successful cable-hauled street railway, the Clay Street Hill Railroad, opened in San Francisco, CA. The sole remaining cable cars in the U.S. today are operated by the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, but do not follow the 1873 route. Although often visualized as a transit mode solely for hilly terrains, cable cars were used throughout the country; in 1887 the Chicago City Railway was operating 150 three-car trains in regular service.
- The decades after the Civil War witnessed the growth of "main line" suburbs served by commuter railroads. Frequent train service allowed upper middle class professionals and executives to maintain large households in suburbs and commute to their employment in central cities. Examples of these main lines included the Chicago and Northwestern Railway reaching north from Chicago to Evanston, Wilmette, Winnetka, and Glencoe and the Pennsylvania Railroad line west from Philadelphia to Ardmore, Haverford, Bryn Mawr, and Villanova.

- On November 22, delegates from five cities met to form the Ohio Street Railway Association, the first state transit association.
- On December 13, 56 delegates of street railways met at Young's Hotel in Boston, MA to found the American Street Railway Association, APTA's original predecessor. Hardin H. Littell, General Manager of the Louisville City Railway Company was selected President. One delegate, Frank DeHass Robison, would later become a co-owner of two National League baseball teams, the Cleveland Spiders and the St. Louis Cardinals.
- The Brooklyn Bridge opened between New York and Brooklyn. One way to cross it was a 6,000 foot long cable car ride. It is believed to be the earliest publicly built and operated transit service. By 1907, streetcars and elevated trains carried more than one-quarter million riders a day over the bridge.
- The Union Passenger Railway in Richmond, VA began regular service on February 2. The Union Passenger Railway was the first successful electrically powered streetcar service in the United States. The system's designer, Frank J. Sprague, would receive contracts to build 113 more electric street railways in the next two years.
- "The Great White Hurricane," a March blizzard, left 2 to 5 feet of snow across the Northeastern United States. Officially, 21 inches of snow fell in New York City. Official records are not available from that time for Boston. The blizzard is considered to be an early and important impetus for the creation of both the New York City and Boston subway systems. A March 13, 1888 New York Times article stated "that a system of really rapid transit which cannot be made inoperable by storms must be straightway devised and as speedily as possible be constructed."
- The Amalgamated Association of Street and Electric Railway Employees, now named the Amalgamated Transit Union (ATU), was founded. The ATU has the largest membership among unions that represent transit workers throughout the United States and Canada.
- The first transit post office was operated by the St. Louis and Suburban Railway. Similar to a railway post office car on a railroad, the transit post office car had, in addition to the streetcar crew, a postal clerk to cancel and sort mail, and another to receive and drop off mail. A letter dropped in a white mail box would be picked up by a streetcar post office. Streetcar mail service was provided in 14 of America's largest cities. The United Railways and Electric Company of Baltimore, MD, was the last operator of streetcar mail service in 1929.
- The Census Office of the Department of Interior published the 1890 Census of Street Railway Transportation. The Census found that Americans took two billion trips on street railways in 1890. Although the number of street railways using electric power had grown from zero in 1885 to 144 in 1890, most street railways remained horse powered. Of the 32,505 streetcars in service, 2,805 were electrically powered, 2,113 were steam powered, 5,089 were cable cars, and 22,408 were pulled by animals.
- The first section of the Tremont Street subway opened in Boston, MA. The first subway in the United States, it was built by the Boston Transit Commission, a public agency, to take streetcars operated by the private West End Street Railway off of the highly congested surface streets in downtown Boston.

The Early 20th Century: Subways and Infrastructure Investments Change the Urban Landscape

- The United States had become an urban nation during the 19th century. Introduction of the steel framed skyscraper, such as Chicago's 1890 Rand McNally Building and St. Louis's 1891 Wainwright Building, led to increased concentration of America's commerce in her central urban cores. Of the 76.2 million American residents, 39.6 percent or 30.2 million people lived in urban areas. New York City was the largest city, with 3.4 million people, Chicago and Philadelphia had more than one million residents, and St. Louis, Boston, and Baltimore more than 500,000. Transportation innovation and investment were vital for solving the congested transportation problems of the growing metropolises.
- The State of North Dakota Capital Car Line opens in Bismarck, ND. The Capital Car Line was the first rail transit system owned by a state government. It provided railway service from the Capitol building through downtown Bismarck.
- The first New York City subway line opened from City Hall to 145th Street. The subway was built by New York City and leased to the Interborough Rapid Transit Company for operation.

- The American Street Railway Association annual meeting was held in the Transportation Pavilion of the Louisiana Purchase Exposition in St. Louis, MO. Forty years later the Exposition would be celebrated in the movie *Meet Me in Saint Louis*, which included Judy Garland signing *The Trolley Song*.
- The first transit bus, a gasoline powered double-decker, was operated by the Fifth Avenue Coach Company in New York. Poorly maintained streets in many cities slowed introduction of buses. By 1926 there were 14,400 transit buses in operation, compared to 62,857 streetcars. The number of vehicles in transit bus service first exceeded the number of streetcars in 1939.
- The City of New York becomes the owner and operator of the Staten Island Ferry. The takeover followed Staten Island's consolidation into New York City in 1898.
- 1906 The first municipally owned and operated electric street railway opened in Monroe, LA.
- The first of two pairs of tubes opened under the Hudson River, a second pair would open the following year. The first crossings of the Hudson River at New York, the tubes carried trains of the Hudson and Manhattan Railroad, now the Port Authority Trans-Hudson, or, more familiarly, PATH. For the first time railroad passengers could transfer to transit cars and quickly cross from New Jersey to New York without concern about the weather conditions affecting river traffic.
- A great Mississippi River bridge, named after Illinois Congressman and Senator William B. McKinley, who was also chief executive of the Illinois Traction System, opened. The McKinley Bridge brought Illinois Traction suburban streetcars and interurban trains directly into the downtown St. Louis, MO, area. Only the third bridge to cross the Mississippi at St. Louis, the bridge has now been rebuilt for pedestrian, bicycle, bus, and automobile traffic.
- The Chief Examiner of Accounts of the Interstate Commerce Commission stated that "In the preparation of the revision of the accounting rules contained in [the Uniform System of Accounts] . . . the Commission has had the cooperation of the Committee on a Standard Classification of Accounts of the American Electric Railway Accountants' Association." APTA predecessors also developed the standard motor bus accounting system and assisted in early Bureau of the Census publications of street railway data. APTA predecessors were the sole compilers and publishers of national transit data from the 1940s until the first National Transit Database (NTD) report was published by the Federal Transit Administration. APTA was a leader in developing the Uniform System of Accounts (USOA) which led to the NTD in 1979.
- The American Museum of Safety authorized the American Electric Railway Association to present the Anthony N. Brady Awards for Safety. The Boston Elevated Railway Company of Boston, MA, was the first winner of the Gold Medal for outstanding safety. Other honorees were the Public Service Railway Company, Newark, NJ, and the Northern Traction and Light Company, Akron, OH. APTA continues to present Bus and Rail Safety and Security Excellence Awards annually to recognize the efforts of transit agencies to provide safe travel for their passengers and a safe workplace for their employees.
- The Fourth Avenue Subway in Brooklyn, first line of the Dual Contracts, opened. Subway Contracts III and IV are a joint partnership, with New York City building the subways, and private companies owning and operating the rail transit systems. The Dual Contracts were among America's greatest civic investments, allowing residents of the shockingly overcrowded lower East Side of Manhattan to access lower-cost, higher-quality housing.
- 1917 Responding to labor shortages during World War I, street and elevated railways in a dozen cities hired female conductors for the first time. After the war, their numbers diminished, and by the 1930 Census only 17 women were employed as streetcar conductors. Women would again be hired during World War II as conductors as well as for other transit jobs traditionally held only by men.
- The impact of cost increases and fixed revenues lead to consideration of widespread public takeover of transit properties. James D. Mortimer, President of the Milwaukee Electric Railway and Light Company, introduced a motion at the Annual Conference of the American Electric Railway Association describing the recent financial difficulties faced by street railways. He proposed that the best option for private street railways to remain in operation was to seek takeover by a public agency. The recommendation concluded that, "The American Electric Railway Association recommends to its Member Companies that they facilitate in every reasonable way the public acquisition of the present electric railway properties. . . ". The motion was passed by the Conference attendees and referred to the Association Executive Committee, but no further action is known to have been taken.

Following World War I: Depression, a Second World War, and Public Roads for Private Vehicles Lead to Fluctuating Transit Decline and Growth -- Electric Railways Foretell High-Speed Rail

- From 1910 to 1920, plans had been developed for rail rapid transit subway systems in many cities. World War I and wartime inflation, construction of serviceable streets for private vehicles, and economic slowdowns caused the delay and eventual cancellation of rapid transit subway investments in St. Louis, Pittsburgh, Los Angeles, Seattle, Chicago, Providence, and Detroit. Eleven miles of subway constructed in Cincinnati by 1923 were never finished or used. Nine miles of subway entered service in Rochester in 1927, but the interurbans that used them had all stopped operating by 1931, and only a single streetcar line continued in the Rochester Subway until 1956.
- Transit systems in Seattle (1914), Detroit (1921), San Francisco (1912), New York (1932), and Boston (1918) came under public ownership or public control because of inflation, fixed fares, increased public investments in roads, later the economic depression, and other fiscal stresses faced by transit systems. Major infrastructure investments such a subways and elevated lines were built by municipal and state governments for operation by private companies in Philadelphia, Boston, and New York.
- The first "park and ride" lot allowed a commuter to park at Upper Darby, PA, and take the Philadelphia Rapid Transit Company's Market Street elevated train into downtown Philadelphia. That lot is no longer there, the space being part of the Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority's modern 69th Street Transportation Center. More than 850,000 transit agency provided parking spaces are now available to transit multimodal commuters and many more are provided in municipal parking facilities at transit stations.
- Among the highest-speed trains in the early 20th Century were electric transit interurbans. The Cincinnati and Lake Erie Railroad, which operated an interurban system from Cincinnati to Toledo, OH, introduced its lightweight *Red Devil* cars, which operated at 90 mph. One *Red Devil* reached 97 mph as it outran a biplane in front of the publicist's movie camera.
- The first delivery of an Electric Railway Presidents' Conference Committee (PCC) streetcar was made to the Pittsburgh Railways. The PCC was a light-weight, streamlined streetcar with significantly advanced design and technology compared to older vehicles. The new streetcars were intended to reduce costs and help stem ridership declines on street railways. Nearly 5,000 were built in the United States and Canada, with the last deliveries in 1952. About 20,000 vehicles based on the PCC design were also built in Belgium, Italy, Spain, Czechoslovakia and Poland.
- Works Project Administration (WPA) funding was provided to the Boston Transit Department to help finance the Huntington Avenue Subway and the City of Chicago to help finance the State Street Subway. These are examples of early transit investments made by the WPA and Public Works Administration as the federal government sought to stimulate the economy to end the Great Depression.
- Another high-speed electric transit interurban train, the streamlined articulated Chicago North Shore and Milwaukee Railroad *Electroliner*, operating between the line's namesake cities, entered service. Although the four-car trains were operated at 110 mph in tests, they were restricted to 90 mph in service because they could outrun the signals to lower street and highway crossing gates.
- The American Transit Association published the first issue of the *Public Transportation Fact Book*, originally titled "The Transit Industry in the United States, Basic Data and Trends." The Census Bureau had not published its quinquennial transit data summary in 1942 because of WWII, so the ATA issued an alternative publication.
- The American Transit Association published the first issue of *Passenger Transport*, the newspaper of the public transportation Industry. The lead story in the first issue was "New England Regional Bus Conference Deals with Wartime Problems of Transit Industry." Now published by APTA in print and electronic editions, *Passenger Transport* is "the source for public transportation news and analysis." The most recent issue and archived stories can be accessed in APTA's web page at www.apta.com.
- African Americans were first hired for jobs from which they had previously been excluded such as streetcar conductors and motormen. Maya Angelou, renowned author and poet, became the first African-American woman streetcar conductor in San Francisco when she was hired by the Market Street Railway Company at the age of 16. At about the same time, Mrs. Arcola Philpott became the first African-American motorman, then called a "motormanette" because she was female, on the Los Angeles Railway.

Transit agencies set records for passenger use: 23.4 billion trips in 1945, the last year of World War II, and 23.5 billion trips in 1946. Sales of new automobiles to civilians had ended on New Year's Day 1942. A national speed limit of 35 miles per hour was imposed, many people had a six-day work week, gasoline was rationed until August 1945, and tires until December 1945. Returning military veterans increased travel demand sufficiently before autos again became available to make the year after the war the highest for transit travel by the smallest of margins.

The Post World War II Period: Completion of Public Ownership Movement, Social Change, and Federal Participation in Transit; Continued Development of High-Speed Rail

- The American Transit Association offered a prize on its national radio program, *Spotlight on America*, to determine the identity of the person who originated the expression, "Kilroy was here." That phrase and a cartoon of a long-nosed, two-eyed face peering over a wall was seen everywhere in the world that American troops went during World War II, even in ship compartments that had been sealed since the day they were built. The most credible story was given by James J. Kilroy, a shipyard inspector from Halifax, MA. His prize: a 36-year-old, 50-foot-long streetcar which, when delivered to his house, became the sleeping area for six of his nine children.
- Rosa Parks, a seamstress in Montgomery, AL, refused to follow segregated bus seating laws. Her action was one of the important early symbols in the Civil Rights Movement, leading to the Montgomery Bus Boycott which brought the Rev. Martin Luther King, Jr. to national prominence. Ms. Parks was the first recipient of APTA's Lifetime Achievement Award in 1997.
- Cleveland, OH was the first urban area to open a new heavy rail system since Philadelphia in 1907. Heavy rail systems provide the high capacity service needed for very large urban developments. Since 1955, heavy rail systems have been built in the San Francisco, Washington, DC, Atlanta, Baltimore, Miami, Los Angeles, and San Juan urban areas.
- 1961 President John F. Kennedy said that mass transportation is, "... a distinctly urban problem and one of the key factors in shaping community development," when he signed the Housing Act of 1961 on June 30. The Act provided public transportation demonstration funding and mass transportation project loans.
- President Lyndon B. Johnson signed the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964 on July 9. The Act established a federal transit aid program under the Administrator of the Housing and Home Finance Agency. The president said, "This is by any standard one of the most profoundly significant domestic measures to be enacted by the Congress during the 1960's."
- The U.S. Congress passed the High-Speed Ground Transportation Act of 1965 to foster growth of high-speed rail. The law authorized \$90 million over three years to "contract for demonstrations to determine the contributions that high-speed ground transportation could make to more efficient and economical intercity transportation systems."
- The United States Department of Transportation (DOT), which was created by an Act of Congress and signed into law by President Lyndon B. Johnson on October 15, 1966, began operation on April 1, 1967.
- Hopkins Airport in Cleveland, OH became the first U.S. airport to be accessed by rail transit service when the Cleveland Transit System Rapid was extended 4 miles. Today airports in many American cities have direct rail transit service.
- The federal government Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1968 transferred the transit program to the Department of Transportation effective July 30, creating the Urban Mass Transit Administration (UMTA), the original name of the Federal Transit Administration.
- The Penn Central Company began operation of electrical multiple unit *Metroliner* trains, developed under the provisions of the High-Speed Ground Transportation Act of 1965. In 1952, the Pennsylvania Railroad *Congressional* train had taken 3 hours 35 minutes to travel from New York City to Washington at an average speed of 63 mph. A *Metroliner* making all stops could make the same trip in 2 hours 59 minutes at an average speed of 76 mph and a non-stop trip in 2 hours 30 minutes at an average speed of 91 mph. The trains had a top speed of 125 mph.

- The first Automatic Vehicle Location (AVL) system for transit buses was initiated by the Chicago Transit Authority. An AVL system tracks the location of buses. It can measure schedule adherence and track operating and maintenance data. Location information from an AVL system provides data for estimating times of vehicle arrival at bus stops and stations in real time and activating next stop announcements aboard transit vehicles.
- The *Turbo Train*, a high-speed turbine powered articulated tilt-train design supported by the High-Speed Ground Transportation Act of 1965, enters service between Boston and New York City on the Penn Central Railroad. Although the highest speed they operated at in regular service was 90 to 100 mph, in tests one train reached 170 mph.
- Construction of the Bay Area Rapid Transit District's 6-mile-long, 3.6 miles under water, Transbay Tube was completed in August. Fifty-seven premade sections of tunnel were lowered to the floor of San Francisco Bay to make the tunnel. Completion of the system lay ahead before trains began running through the Transbay Tube in 1974. During this period BART's chief executive was B.R. Stokes, who would become the first head of the American Public Transit Association.

Late 20th Century: Growth and Investment Foster Modern Transit Infrastructure That Permits Rational and Sustainable Growth of Large Metropolitan Areas

- President Richard M. Nixon signs the National Capital Transportation Act of 1972 to help continue funding for the Washington Metro, which the President describes as "the area wide rapid rail transit system which figures so centrally in our vision of a new Washington for the Bicentennial and beyond." The Washington Metrorail system opened in 1976.
- An early, federally sponsored, Dial-a-Ride demonstration program opened in Haddonfield, NJ. Dial-a-Ride service, better known as paratransit or demand response service, provides transit service directly from a transit patron's origin to their destination. Demand response service is an essential part, along with accessible fixed-route service transit vehicles, in meeting the needs of disabled transit riders. In 2014, 668 transit service providers in urbanized areas and 1,092 transit service providers in rural areas operated demand response service.
- The El Monte Busway in Los Angeles, CA opened. It was among the early high-occupancy vehicle roadways and the first in the Los Angeles area. Busways are a component of Bus Rapid Transit service (BRT). BRT increases the speed and capacity of bus service by using dedicated rights-ofway, fares paid in stations, signal preemption, and other means of increasing bus speed.
- 1974 The American Transit Association and the Institute for Rapid Transit merged on October 17 to create the American Public Transit Association, now named the American Public Transportation Association.
- 1974 President Gerald R. Ford signed the National Mass Transportation Assistance Act of 1974, which distributed federal funds by formula for the first time in order to ensure that funding is available to help meet the transit needs of all of America's urban areas.
- Speaking before 2,600 delegates at the American Public Transit Association Annual Meeting, President James E. Carter, Jr. said that "Better mass transit will help us attack a whole range of critical, interrelated problems, not just energy, but also inflation, unemployment, the health of our environment, and the vitality of our cities."
- The first National Transit Database (NTD) report, with data for Report Year 1979, is published by the Federal Transit Administration in May 1981. The reporting system, which was originally called Project FARE, is the culmination of a 1971 request by the American Transit Association and Institute for Rapid Transit for the federal government to fund development of a uniform financial reporting system. The operating and financial data reporting system is among the most complete transportation data collection systems for any transportation mode in the world.
- APTA held its first triennial Transit EXPO trade show in conjunction with its Annual Meeting at McCormick Place in Chicago, IL.

- The first new light rail system in 46 years opened in San Diego, CA. The San Diego Trolley, Inc., a subsidiary of the San Diego Metropolitan Transit System, now serves 53 stations over 54 miles of line. The previous entirely new light rail system was the Newark City Subway, now operated by New Jersey Transit, which opened in 1935. By 1981, only 7 surface rail systems remained in operation in the United States. There are now 35 streetcar and light rail systems, a five-fold increase since 1981.
- The Municipality of Metropolitan Seattle began fabricating exterior bicycle racks for buses in its own maintenance facilities to expand its bikes on buses demonstration program that had started in the late 1970s. By 2013, 74 percent of all transit buses had exterior bicycle racks.
- President Ronald W. Reagan signed the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982, which provides for a portion of the federal motor fuel tax to be used for public transportation investments. The amount of the tax collected would be increased in the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, signed by President George H. W. Bush, and by the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993, signed by President William J. Clinton.
- The Deficit Reduction Act of 1964 directed the Internal Revenue Service to treat employer payments for transit commuting up to \$15 per month as a non-taxable "de minimus" fringe benefit. The Commuter Benefit allows employees to receive free parking or transit fare media from their employers tax free or to receive them as part of their compensation on a pre-tax basis. The Commuter Benefit has fluctuated in value since then and until December 2014 was \$130 per month for transit media and \$250 per month for parking.
- Lieutenant Hikaru Itaka Sulu, Helm Officer and Tactical/Weapons Officer of the USS Enterprise played on the television show *Startrek* by APTA Vice President Human Resources George Takei became the first intergalactic transit commuter to open an APTA EXPO.
- The newly enacted Americans with Disabilities Act requires that fixed-route transit service be accessible to persons with disabilities and that transit operators provide complimentary demand response service for persons with disabilities who cannot use fixed-route service. Passenger trips on demand response services increased from 68 million in 1990 to 223 million in 2013.
- The Federal Transit Act Amendments of 1991, Title III of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA) established the current format of federal transit law. This Act also changed the name of the Urban Mass Transit Administration to its current name, the Federal Transit Administration.
- Transit Cooperative Research Program Report Number 1, *Artificial Intelligence for Transit Railcar Diagnostics*, was published. The TCRP was authorized by ISTEA as a cooperative effort by the Federal Transit Administration, the Transportation Research Board, and the Transit Development Corporation, Inc. (TDC), a nonprofit educational and research organization established by APTA. Research is necessary to solve transit operating problems, to adapt appropriate new technologies from other industries to transit use, and to introduce innovations into the transit industry. The TCRP serves as one of the principal means by which the transit industry can develop innovative near-term solutions to meet demands placed on it. TCRP publications can be accessed from www.tcrponline.org.
- The Passenger Rail Equipment Standards program was established by APTA to develop safety standards for commuter rail cars. The PRESS program has grown into the APTA Standards Program, which publishes standards that include transit operating standards and procedures, standards for inspection and maintenance of equipment and structures, and testing requirements for transit equipment. Current APTA standards can be accessed at www.apta.com.
- The Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority introduced 21st Century fare collection technology in the last year of the 20th Century. Called 'smart cards,' and now adopted by transit agencies across the U.S., the new fare media uses imbedded computer chips to provide for value storage to pay for fares and parking and adjust payments for distance, time of day, day of week, transfers, and discounts. Value can be added to the cards over the internet or by employers who take advantage of Internal Revenue Service commuter fare programs. A single smart card can be used on most transit agencies in large metropolitan areas. The Washington Metro card, for example, can also be used to travel on transit systems in the District of Columbia, Northern Virginia, Central Maryland, and Baltimore.

The 21st Century: Technological Change and Shared Government Commitments Lead to Increased Efficiency, Effectiveness, and Equity for Public Transit Agencies and Their Growing Number of Riders

- 2000 The 20th Century had witnessed continued urban concentration. In 2000, 79 percent, or 222 million out of America's 281 million people, lived in urban areas. New York City had grown to 8 million people and the New York urbanized area contained nearly 18 million people. Thirty-eight urbanized areas had populations of over 1 million.
- 2000 The American Public Transit Association was renamed the American Public Transportation Association to more fully describe the wide range of urban and rural transportation services provided by its members.
- Acela Express trains began providing high-speed electric railroad service in the Northeast Corridor, with some trains traveling the entire route from Washington to Boston. The tilting train sets can reach a maximum speed of 150 mph. Acela and other Amtrak service have become so popular that by 2012, 75 percent of combined rail and airplane travel between Washington and New York was via Amtrak and 54 percent of combined rail and airplane travel between New York and Boston was carried on Amtrak.
- Transit buses began adopting sophisticated technology. Four percent of buses had hybrid, natural gas, and other environmentally-friendly power in 2000, compared to 40 percent of buses by 2013. The portion of buses with automatic vehicle location (AVL) equipment increased from 19 percent in 2001 to 71 percent in 2013. AVLs are important in improving the efficiency of bus scheduling and operations, as well as allowing transit agencies to provide real-time bus arrival information to transit passengers.
- 2005 President George W. Bush signed the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) which increased federal assistance for transit. In 2008, he would sign the Passenger Rail Investment and Improvement Act which also increased federal support for Amtrak intercity rail and the development of high-speed rail corridors.
- 2006 Ridership exceeded 10 billion unlinked passenger trips for the first time since 1957.
- The High Speed Ground Transportation Association became part of APTA, adding advocacy for highperformance intercity rail to APTA's mission.
- An estimated 25 transit agencies were using virtual dissemination technology to make real-time passenger information (RTPI) available to the public. Real-time bus and train arrival and departure data allow potential transit riders to make informed decisions about their travel. Five years later, in 2013, more than one-half of transit agencies surveyed by APTA provided arrival and departure times for passengers.
- The first "Transportation Tuesday at APTA" evening discussion event was held at the APTA offices. Robert Puentes, senior fellow and director of Brookings Institution's Metropolitan Infrastructure Initiative spoke about the economic impact of infrastructure investment. Since then APTA Transportation Tuesdays have featured Federal Transit Administration Acting Administrator Therese McMillan, Federal Highway Administrator Victor Mendez, Federal Railroad Deputy Administrator Karen Rae, National Transportation Safety Board Chairman Debborah Hersman, U.S. DOT Assistant Secretary for Policy Polly Trottenberg, and U.S. DOT Assistant Secretary for Budget and Programs Sylvia Garcia.
- 2009 President Barack H. Obama signed the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act which provided funding to stimulate the economy through construction of infrastructure and other investments. Federal funding for public transit and high-speed rail was a significant part of recovery policy.
- 2012 The Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21), federal public transportation law which connects transit program performance to national policy goals, was signed into law by President Barack H. Obama.
- Formal groundbreaking for the first segment of the California High-Speed Rail Authority system took place in Fresno, CA. The CHSRA is planned to connect Los Angeles with San Francisco by 2019.
- The Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act extended the authorization of federal funding for transit through FY 2020. A complete explanation and history of federal transit financial assistance can be found at the APTA Primer on Transit Funding: The Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act and Other Related Laws, FY 2016 Through FY 2020 on the APTA web site at www.apta.com.

APTA Statistical Publications

The American Public Transportation Association (APTA) is a nonprofit international association of 1.500 public and private sector organizations, engaged in the areas of bus, paratransit, light rail, commuter rail, subways, waterborne services, and intercity and highspeed passenger rail. This includes: public transit systems; planning, design, construction, and finance firms; product and service providers; academic institutions; transit associations; and state departments of transportation. APTA is the only association in North America that represents all modes of public transportation. APTA members serve the public interest by providing safe, efficient and economical transit services and products. More than 90 percent of the people using public transportation in the United States and Canada ride APTA member systems.

The **Public Transportation Fact Book** (formerly the **Transit Fact Book**) was first published in 1943. Available data are expanded by standard statistical methods to estimate U.S. national totals. *All data are for the U.S. only, except for the section about Canada.* Data for Canada were provided by the Canadian Urban Transit Association (CUTA).

This book includes only public transportation data and excludes taxicab, unregulated jitney, school bus, sightseeing service, intercity bus, charter bus, and military transportation services, and services not available to the general public, or segments of the general public (e.g., governmental and corporate shuttles), and special application systems (e.g., amusement parks, airports, and the following types of ferry service: international, rural, rural interstate, and urban park).

Data are based on the annual National Transit Database (NTD) report published by the U.S. Federal Transit Administration (FTA). APTA supplements these data with special surveys. Where applicable, data are calculated based on 2010 U.S. Census Bureau urbanized area population categories. Because data are reported to the NTD based on transit agency fiscal years rather than calendar years, data listed for a particular year are necessarily extrapolations of the sum of data reported for all fiscal years ending in a particular calendar year. All Canadian data are based on calendar years.

Public Transportation Fact Book data differ from national total data reported in the NTD in two ways: (1) Fact Book data are expanded to include all United States public transportation, while totals reported in the NTD are limited to summation of those systems reporting data in the NTD. Systems not currently included in NTD totals are small transit operators given waivers from NTD reporting requirements, some private operators not contracting with public agencies, and some operators who choose not to participate in the NTD. Data from rural operators in the NTD is

limited. (2) The **Fact Book** reports some data collected by APTA surveys and not taken from the NTD. Any such data are noted on tables in this book.

The **Public Transportation Fact Book** is published in three parts. This format allows greater detail in statistical content while improving accessibility of information.

This **Public Transportation Fact Book** presents statistics describing the entire United States transit industry for 2013. Also included are definitions of reported data items.

The Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables presents primary data items for the entire time period they have been reported in Fact Books and other statistical reports prepared by APTA and its predecessor organizations. Many data items are reported for every year beginning in the 1920s, and ridership is reported from 1907. It is available online at www.apta.com.

The Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix B: Transit Agency and Urbanized Area Operating Statistics presents six operating statistics for each transit agency in size order, totaled for all service modes operated by the agency, and in size order for each individual mode. Data are also summed for urbanized areas, both all modes totaled and for individual modes. These lists greatly expand similar data in previous Fact Books and allow a simple method to determine comparably sized transit agencies, a difficult task when using existing data sources. It is available online at www.apta.com.

APTA produces additional data reports that provide detailed information about individual transit agencies that are not available from other sources. These reports or information for obtaining these reports is on the APTA web site at www.apta.com.

The **Public Transportation Fare Database**, published annually, reports details of individual transit agency fare structures, fare collection practices, and fare collection equipment.

The **Transit Vehicle Database**, published annually, lists all vehicles owned by participating agencies in fleets, that is, groups of identical vehicles manufactured in the same year. Extensive information is included on their propulsion plants, dimensions, and equipment such as communications and passenger amenities.

The **Transit Infrastructure Database**, published in alternating years, lists all fixed-guideways and stations operated by participating transit agencies. The status of fixed guideways not yet open is reported, and the equipment in stations is detailed.

APTA STATISTICAL PUBLICATIONS/FACT BOOK METHODOLOGY

The **Public Transportation Ridership Report**, published quarterly, presents ridership for three months plus quarterly and year-to-date tallies for all participating transit agencies. The reported data are used to estimate national total ridership that is reported for individual service modes and an aggregate total. This report presents a quick indicator of the state of the transit industry shortly after the close of the period being reported.

The APTA Primer on Transit Funding presents a detailed explanation of funding programs in federal laws authorizing funding for the transit industry. Detailed statistics report the federal funds available and the text describes eligible uses for these funds and

the methods by which funds are distributed. A new **Primer** is prepared for each surface transportation authorization law, and it is updated to reflect annual appropriations of federal funds for transit.

A Profile of Public Transportation Passenger Demographics and Travel Characteristics Reported in On-Board Surveys is an extensive investigation of the demographic characteristics and travel behavior of transit passengers based on transit agency surveys of onboard passengers.

Extensive data for individual transit agencies can be found at the Federal Transit Administration's National Transit Database web site:

http://www.ntdprogram.gov/ntdprogram/.

Fact Book Methodology

The procedure for estimating total data in the 2015 Public Transportation Fact Book, and prior issues of the Fact Book, is to expand available data by standard statistical methods to estimate U.S. national totals. It includes only public transportation data and excludes taxicab, unregulated jitney, school bus, sightseeing service. intercity bus, charter bus, transportation, and services not available to the general public or segments of the general public (e.g., governmental and corporate shuttles), and special application systems (e.g., amusement parks, airports, and the following types of ferry service: international, rural, rural interstate, and urban park).

The Fact Book can be indirectly traced to the Bureau of Census Report on Transportation in the United States at the Eleventh Census: 1890, Part II - Street Railway Transportation, published in Washington, DC, by the Government Printing Office in 1895. That volume listed data for individual street railways and aggregate data for the entire street railway industry. The Census was conducted again in 1902, 1907, and 1912, but a report with data for individual railways was not published during World War I. The Census of Electrical Industries: 1917, Electric Railways, published by the Government Printing Office in 1920, provided summary data only; no data for individual electric railways were included. Summary data were published by the Census every five years through The census of transit operations was not published for 1942. In response, the APTA predecessor American Transit Association (ATA) published The Transit Industry of the United States: Basic Data and Trends, 1942 Edition in March 1943. The following year the summary of transit data, titled the Transit Fact Book 1944, was published and dated for the year in which it was published, which has been continued as the Fact Book dating policy since then.

All data in the Fact Book calculated by APTA and its predecessors are statistical expansions of sample data designed to represent the total activity of all transit agencies. Base data are taken from the Federal Transit Administration's National Transit Database (NTD). These data are supplemented by data from other sources including state departments of transportation and APTA surveys of APTA transit system members. Data are expanded by mode in stratified categories of similar systems based on population and other characteristics. All procedures are adapted to minimize the maximum possible error, a standard statistical procedure.

Because NTD data are collected for "report years," Fact Book data are also calculated for report years. A report year is each transit agency's fiscal year that ends during a calendar year.

All data in the Fact Book are reported for "modes of service." A mode of service is not always identical with a vehicle type of the same name. For example, fixed-route bus service may in specific circumstances be provided by larger van type vehicles and variable origin and destination demand response service may in specific circumstances be provided by bus vehicles.

A description of historical changes in Fact Book data preparation is in the Methodology section of the **Public Transportation Fact Book, Appendix A: Historical Tables.** It is APTA policy to continually seek to improve the quality of data reported in the Fact Book. Data are sought from all available sources and statistical procedures used to verify that the data presented in the Fact Book are improved in order to be as accurate as possible.

Glossary

Definitions are grouped by topic, consistent with groupings on tables, in the following categories:

- Employee and Labor Definitions
- Energy Use and Vehicle Power Definitions
- Financial Capital Expense Definitions
- Financial Operating Expense Definitions
- Financial Fare Structure Definitions
- Financial Revenue Definitions
- General Definitions
- Infrastructure Definitions
- Mode of Service Definitions
- Service Consumed Definitions
- Service Supplied Definitions
- Vehicle Characteristic and Amenity Definitions

EMPLOYEE AND LABOR DEFINITIONS:

Capital Employee is an employee whose labor hour cost is reimbursed under a capital grant or is otherwise capitalized.

Operating Employee is an employee engaged in the operation of the transit system. Operating employees are classified into the following four categories describing the type of work they do:

General Administration Employee is an operating employee at any level engaged in general management and administration activities, including transit system development, customer services, promotion, market research, injuries and damages, safety, personnel administration, general legal services, general insurance, data processing, finance and accounting, purchasing and stores, general engineering, real estate management, office management and services, general management, and planning.

Non-Vehicle Maintenance Employee is an operating employee at any level engaged in non-vehicle maintenance, or a person providing maintenance support to such persons for inspecting, cleaning, repairing and replacing all components of vehicle movement control systems; fare collection and counting equipment; roadway and track; structures, tunnels, and subways; passenger stations; communication systems; and garage, shop, operating station, and general administration buildings, grounds and equipment. In addition, it includes support for the operation and maintenance of electric power facilities.

Vehicle Operations Employee is an operating employee at any level engaged in vehicle operations or a person providing support in vehicle operations activities, a person engaged in ticketing and fare collection activities, or a person engaged in system security activities.

Vehicle Maintenance Employee is an operating employee at any level engaged in vehicle maintenance, a person performing inspection and maintenance, vehicle maintenance of vehicles, performing servicing functions for revenue and service vehicles, and repairing damage to vehicles resulting from vandalism or accidents.

Number of Employees is the number of actual persons directly working for a transit agency, regardless of whether the person is full-time or part-time.

Salaries and Wages are payments to employees for time actually worked.

Fringe Benefits are payments to employees for time not actually worked, and the cost of other employee benefits to the transit agency. Payment for time not actually worked includes payments to the employee for vacations, sick leave, holidays, and other paid leave. Other benefits include transit agencies payments to other organizations for retirement plans, social security, workmen's compensation, health insurance, other insurance, and other payments to other organizations for benefits to employees.

Total Compensation is the sum of Salaries and Wages and Fringe Benefits.

ENERGY USE AND VEHICLE POWER DEFINITIONS:

Alternate Power is fuel that is substantially not diesel fuel or gasoline.

Electric Power Consumption is the amount of electricity used to propel transit vehicles, also called **propulsion power**. It does not include electricity used for lighting, heating, or any use other than propulsion power.

Fossil Fuel is any fuel derived from petroleum or other organic sources including diesel fuel, compressed natural gas, gasoline, liquefied natural gas, liquid petroleum gas or propane, and kerosene.

FINANCIAL - CAPITAL EXPENSE DEFINITIONS:

Capital Expenses are expenses related to the purchase of equipment. Equipment means an article of non-expendable tangible personal property having a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost which equals the lesser of the capitalization level established by the government unit for financial statement purposes or \$5,000. Capital expenses in the NTD accounting system do not include all expenses which are eligible uses for federal capital funding

GLOSSARY

assistance; some of those expenses are included with operating expenses in the National Transit Database accounting system used herein.

Facilities capital expense includes administration, central/overhaul maintenance facilities, light maintenance and storage facilities, and equipment of any of these items. Categories of facilities capital expense are:

Guideway is capital expense for right-of-way facilities for rail or the exclusive use of buses, including the buildings and structures dedicated for the operation of transit vehicles including elevated and subway structures, tunnels, bridges, track and power systems for rail, and paved highway lanes dedicated to bus. Guideway does not include passenger stations and transfer facilities.

Passenger Stations is capital expense for passenger boarding and diseebarking areas with platforms including transportation centers and park-and-ride facilities but excluding transit stops on streets.

Administration Buildings is capital expense for buildings which house management and support activities.

Maintenance Facilities is capital expense for building used for maintenance activities such as garages and shops.

Rolling Stock capital expense is expense for vehicles, including boats, used by transit agencies. Categories of rolling stock capital expense are:

Revenue Vehicles is capital expense for vehicles used to transport passengers.

Service Vehicles is capital expense for vehicles used to support transit activities such as tow trucks, supervisor cars, and police cars.

All Other capital expense includes furniture, equipment that is not an integral part of buildings and structures, shelters, signs, and passenger amenities (e.g., benches) not in passenger stations. Categories of all other capital expense are:

Fare Revenue Collection Equipment is capital expense for equipment used to collect fares such as fare boxes, turnstiles, and ticket machines.

Communications and Information Systems is capital expense for equipment for communicating such as radios and for information management such as computers and software.

Other is capital expense that does not fall in the categories defined above.

FINANCIAL - OPERATING EXPENSE DEFINITIONS:

Operating Expenses are the expenses associated with the operation of the transit agency and goods and services purchased for system operation. It is the sum of either the functions or the object classes listed below.

An **Operating Expense Function** is an activity performed or cost center of a transit agency. The four basic functions are:

Vehicle Operations includes all activities associated with the subcategories of the vehicle operations function: transportation administration and support; revenue vehicle operation; ticketing and fare collection; and system security.

Vehicle Maintenance includes all activities associated with revenue and non-revenue (service) vehicle maintenance, including administration, inspection and maintenance, and servicing (cleaning, fueling, etc.) vehicles.

Non-Vehicle Maintenance includes all activities associated with facility maintenance, including: maintenance of vehicle movement control systems; fare collection and counting equipment; structures, tunnels and subways; roadway and track; passenger stations, operating station buildings, grounds and equipment; communication systems; general administration buildings, grounds and equipment, and electric power facilities.

General Administration includes all activities associated with the general administration of the transit agency, including transit service development, injuries and damages, safety, personnel administration, legal services, insurance, data processing, finance and accounting, purchasing and stores, engineering, real estate management, office management and services, customer services, promotion, market research and planning.

An **Operating Expense Object Class** is a grouping of expenses on the basis of goods and services purchased. Nine object classes are reported as follows:

Salaries and Wages are the pay and allowances due employees in exchange for the labor services they render on behalf of the transit agency. The allowances include direct payments to the employee arising from the performance of a piece of work.

Fringe Benefits are the payments or accruals to others (insurance companies, governments, etc.) on behalf of an employee and direct payments and accruals to an employee arising from something other than a piece of work.

Employee Compensation is the sum of "Salaries and Wages" and "Fringe Benefits."

Services include the labor and other work provided by outside organizations for fees and related expenses. Services include management service fees, advertising fees, professional and technical services, temporary help, contract maintenance services, custodial services and security services.

Materials and Supplies are the tangible products obtained from outside suppliers or manufactured internally. These materials and supplies include tires, fuel and lubricants. Freight, purchase discounts, cash discounts, sales and excise taxes (except on fuel and lubricants) are included in the cost of the material or supply.

Utilities include the payments made to various utilities for utilization of their resources (e.g., electric, gas, water, telephone, etc.). Utilities include propulsion power purchased from an outside utility company and used for propelling electrically driven vehicles, and other utilities such as electrical power for purposes other than for electrically driven vehicles, water and sewer, gas, garbage collection, and telephone.

Casualty and Liability Costs are the cost elements covering protection of the transit agency from loss through insurance programs, compensation of others for their losses due to acts for which the transit agency is liable, and recognition of the cost of a miscellaneous category of corporate losses.

Purchased Transportation is transportation service provided to a public transit agency or governmental unit from a public or private transportation provider based on a written contract. Purchased transportation does not include franchising, licensing operation, management services, cooperative agreements or private conventional bus service.

Other Operating Expenses is the sum of taxes, miscellaneous expenses, and expense transfers.

Total Operating Expense is the sum of all the object classes or functions.

FINANCIAL - FARE STRUCTURE DEFINITIONS:

Passenger Fares are revenue earned from carrying passengers in regularly scheduled and demand response service. Passenger fares include: the base fare; zone premiums; express service premiums; extra cost transfers; and quantity purchase discounts applicable to the passenger's ride.

Adult Base Cash Fare is the minimum cash fare paid by an adult for one transit ride; excludes transfer charges, zone or distance charges, express service charges, peak period surcharges, and reduced fares.

Passenger Fares Received per Unlinked Passenger Trip is "Passenger Fares" divided by "Unlinked Passenger Trips."

Peak Period Surcharge is an extra fee required during peak periods (rush hours).

Transfer Surcharge is an extra fee charged for a transfer to use when boarding another transit vehicle to continue a trip.

Zone or Distance Surcharge is an extra fee charged for crossing a predetermined boundary.

Smart Cards are small cards, usually plastic, with an imbedded computer chip good for one or more trips that is usually altered by a fare collection machine removing some or all of the stored value as each trip is taken.

FINANCIAL - REVENUE DEFINITIONS:

Passenger Fare Revenue is revenue earned from carrying passengers in regularly scheduled and demand response service. Passenger fares include: the base fare; zone premiums; express service premiums; extra cost transfers; and quantity purchase discounts applicable to the passenger's ride. Passenger fare revenue is listed only for operating revenue sources.

Government Funds, Federal (also called Federal Assistance) is financial assistance from funds that are from the federal government at their original source that are used to assist in paying the operating or capital costs of providing transit service. On tables in the Public Transportation Fact Book, federal financial assistance is counted as either operating or capital funding consistent with accounting practices of the federally mandated National Transit Database reporting system rather than as defined in federal transit funding laws.

Government Funds, State (also called **State Assistance**) is financial assistance obtained from a state government(s) to assist with paying the operating and capital costs of providing transit services.

Government Funds, Local (also called Local Assistance) is financial assistance from local governments (below the state level) to help cover the operating and capital costs of providing transit service. Some local funds are collected in local or regional areas by the state government acting as the collection agency but are considered local assistance because the decision to collect funds is made locally.

Directly Generated Funds are any funds generated by or donated directly to the transit agency, including passenger fare revenues, advertising revenues, concessions, donations, bond proceeds, parking revenues, toll revenues from other sectors of agency operations such as bridges and roads, and taxes imposed by the transit agency as enabled by a state or local government. Some directly generated funds are funds earned by the transit agency, such as fare revenues, concessions, and advertising, while other directly generated funds are financial assistance such as taxes imposed by the transit agency. Directly generated funds are listed in three categories:

Passenger Fares which is defined above.

Transit Agency Funds, Other Earnings are directly generated funds that do not come from passenger fares or from government funds.

Government Funds, Directly Generated are directly generated funds that come from taxes, toll transfers, and bond proceeds.

Total Government Funds is the sum of federal assistance, state assistance, local assistance, and that portion of directly generated funds that accrue from tax collections, toll transfers from other sectors of operations, and bond proceeds.

GENERAL DEFINITIONS:

Public Transportation (also called transit, public transit, or mass transit) is transportation by a conveyance that provides regular and continuing general or special transportation to the public, but not including school buses, charter buses, or sightseeing service.

Transit agency (also called **transit system**) is an entity (public or private) responsible for administering and managing transit activities and services. Transit agencies can directly operate transit service or contract out for all or part of the total transit service provided. When responsibility is with a public entity, it is a **public transit agency**. When more than one mode of service is operated, it is a **multimodal transit agency**.

Report year is the year for which data are summed in the Fact Book. The report year data are the sum of the fiscal year data for each U.S. transit agency that ends during a calendar year. For most Fact Book tables it is data for all transit agency fiscal years that end in calendar year 2011.

INFRASTRUCTURE DEFINITIONS:

Directional Route Miles are the length of the rightsof-way, either rail, roadway including public streets and roads with mixed traffic, or water route, traversed by transit vehicles and measured in both direction for a two-way right-of-way or one direction for a one lane right-of-way. The number of routes operated over a specific section of right-of-way is not significant to the count

Lane Miles are the length of a roadway dedicated to high occupancy vehicles (HOV) multiplied by the number of dedicated traffic lanes, including roadway shoulders if they are legally used during peak hours.

Maintenance Facility, General Purpose is a facility used for inspecting, servicing and performing light maintenance work upon revenue vehicles, including brake adjustments, engine degreasing, tire work, minor body repairs, and painting.

Maintenance Facility, Heavy is a facility used for performing heavy maintenance work on revenue vehicles. Heavy maintenance includes unit rebuilds, engine overhauls, significant body repairs, and other major repairs.

Passenger Station is a place for passengers to board or alight from vehicles with a platform. Bus and light rail stops along streets are not considered to be stations even if they have shelters and other amenities.

Track Miles are the length of all tracks, measured in one direction only, used by a rail system for operations including mainline tracks, siding tracks, and yard tracks.

MODE OF SERVICE DEFINITIONS:

Mode is a system for carrying transit passengers described by specific right-of-way, technology, and operational features.

Aerial Tramway is an electric system of aerial cables with suspended powerless passenger vehicles. The vehicles are propelled by separate cables attached to the vehicle suspension system and powered by engines or motors at a central location not on board the vehicle.

Automated Guideway Transit (also called personal rapid transit, group rapid transit, or people mover) is an electric railway (single or multi-car trains) of guided transit vehicles operating without an onboard crew. Service may be on a fixed schedule or in response to a passenger activated call button.

Bus is a mode of transit service (also called **motor bus**) characterized by roadway vehicles powered by diesel, gasoline, battery, or alternative fuel engines contained within the vehicle. Vehicles operate on streets and roadways in fixed-route or other regular service. Types of bus service include **local service**, where vehicles may stop every block or two along a route several miles long. When limited to a small

geographic area or to short-distance trips, local service is often called **circulator**, **feeder**, **neighborhood**, **trolley**, or **shuttle service**. Other types of bus service are **express service**, **limited-stop service**, and **Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)**.

Bus Rapid Transit is a type of bus service which offers higher speed and higher capacity service than regular fixed-route buses. These improvements are associated with dedicated rights-of-way, stations, traffic signal priority or pre-emption, low-floor vehicles or level-platform boarding, and separate branding of the service.

Cable Car is a railway with individually controlled transit vehicles attached while moving to a cable located below the street surface and powered by engines or motors at a central location not on board the vehicle.

Commuter Bus is a type of fixed-route bus service that connects outlying areas with central cities with no stops for at least 5 miles after leaving the central city. This service typically uses over-the-road-type buses rather than transit buses and primarily provides peak period commuter service.

Commuter Rail is a mode of transit service (also called metropolitan rail, regional rail, or suburban rail) characterized by an electric or diesel propelled railway for urban passenger train service consisting of local short distance travel operating between a central city and adjacent suburbs. Service must be operated on a regular basis by or under contract with a transit operator for the purpose of transporting passengers within urbanized areas, or between urbanized areas and outlying areas. Such rail service, using either locomotive hauled or self-propelled railroad passenger cars, is generally characterized by multi-trip tickets, specific station to station fares, railroad employment practices and usually only one or two stations in the central business district. Intercity rail service is excluded, except for that portion of such service that is operated by or under contract with a public transit agency for predominantly commuter services. Most service is provided on routes of current or former freight railroads.

Demand Response is a mode of transit service (also called **paratransit** or **dial-a-ride**) characterized by the use of passenger automobiles, vans, or small buses operating in response to calls from passengers or their agents to the transit operator, who then dispatches a vehicle to pick up the passengers and transport them to their destinations. The vehicles do not operate over a fixed route or on a fixed schedule. The vehicle may be dispatched to pick up several passengers at different pick-up points before taking them to their respective destinations and may even be interrupted en route to these destinations to pick up other passengers.

Ferryboat is a transit mode comprising vessels carrying passengers and in some cases vehicles over a body of water, and that are generally steam or diesel powered. When at least one terminal is within an urbanized area, it is **urban ferryboat** service. Such service excludes international, rural, rural interstate, island, and urban park ferries.

Heavy Rail is a mode of transit service (also called **metro**, **subway**, **rapid transit**, or **rapid rail**) operating on an electric railway with the capacity for a heavy volume of traffic. It is characterized by high-speed and rapid acceleration passenger rail cars operating singly or in multi-car trains on fixed rails; separate rights-of-way from which all other vehicular and foot traffic are excluded; sophisticated signaling, and high platform loading.

Hybrid Rail is a mode of transit operated on the routes of intercity railroads and has operating characteristics of commuter rail. This service typically operates diesel multiple-unit vehicles with characteristics of light rail vehicles. Hybrid rail vehicles are operated with temporal separation from railroad traffic.

Inclined Plane is a railway operating over exclusive right-of-way on steep grades (slopes) with powerless vehicles propelled by moving cables attached to the vehicles and powered by engines or motors at a central location not on board the vehicle. The special tramway type of vehicles has passenger seats that remain horizontal while the undercarriage (truck) is angled parallel to the slope.

Light Rail is a mode of transit service (also called **streetcar**, **tramway**, or **trolley**) operating passenger rail cars singly (or in short, usually two-car or three-car, trains) on fixed rails in right-of-way that is often separated from other traffic for part or much of the way. Light rail vehicles are typically driven electrically with power being drawn from an overhead electric line via a trolley or a pantograph; driven by an operator on board the vehicle; and may have either high platform loading or low level boarding using steps. Passenger stations or stops are usually farther apart than the normal spacing for streetcar systems.

Monorail is an electric railway of guided transit vehicles operating singly or in multi-car trains. The vehicles are suspended from or straddle a guideway formed by a single beam, rail, or tube.

Publico is a mode of transit service provided by vans or small buses. Publicos are privately owned and operated and are regulated by a public service commission under a franchise agreement. They operate on fixed routes but do not have fixed schedules. The only current publico service is in San Juan, PR.

Streetcar is a type of light rail service where nearly the entire route is in streets or other roadways. Single-

GLOSSARY

vehicle trains are most common with frequent in-street stops. They normally are used for shorter trips in central or higher density areas. Passenger stops are closer together than the station spacing on light rail systems.

Transit Vanpool is ridesharing by prearrangement using vans or small buses providing round trip transportation between the participant's prearranged boarding points and a common and regular destination. Data included in this report are the sum of vanpool data reported in the National Transit Database (NTD) and do not include any data for vanpools not listed in it. Vanpool service reported in the NTD must be operated by a public entity, or a public entity must own, purchase, or lease the vehicle(s). Vanpool included in the NTD must also be in compliance with mass transit rules including Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) provisions, be open to the public (and that availability must be made known) and use vehicles with a minimum capacity of 7 persons.

Trolleybus is a mode of transit service (also called **trolley coach**) using vehicles propelled by a motor drawing current from overhead wires via connecting poles called trolley poles from a central power source not on board the vehicle.

SERVICE CONSUMED DEFINITIONS:

Unlinked Passenger Trips, also called **boardings**, is the number of times passengers board public transportation vehicles. Passengers are counted each time they board vehicles no matter how many vehicles they use to travel from their origin to their destination, and regardless of whether they pay a fare, use a pass or transfer, ride for free, or pay in some other way.

Passenger Miles is the cumulative sum of the distances ridden by each passenger.

Average Trip Length is the average distance ridden for an unlinked passenger trip computed as passenger miles divided by unlinked passenger trips.

Average Passenger Load is the average number of passengers aboard a vehicle at any one time for its entire time in revenue service including late night and off-peak hour service as well as peak rush hour service.

SERVICE SUPPLIED DEFINITIONS:

Average Speed of a vehicle is the miles it operated in revenue service divided by the hours it is operated in revenue service.

Miles of Track is a measure of the amount of track operated by rail transit systems where each track is counted separately regardless of the number of tracks on a right-of-way.

Revenue Service is the operation of a transit vehicle during the period which passengers can board and ride on the vehicle. Revenue service includes the carriage of passengers who do not pay a cash fare for a specific trip as well as those who do pay a cash fare; the meaning of the phrase does not relate specifically to the collection of revenue.

Revenue Vehicle is a vehicle in the transit fleet that is available to operate in revenue service carrying passengers, including spares and vehicles temporarily out of service for routine maintenance and minor repairs. Revenue vehicles do not include service vehicles such as tow trucks, repair vehicles, or automobiles used to transport employees.

Vehicles Available for Maximum Service are vehicles that a transit agency has available to operate revenue service regardless of the legal relationship thorough which they are owned, leased, or otherwise controlled by the transit agency. Also called revenue vehicles owned or leased.

Vehicles Operated Maximum Service is the largest number of vehicles operated at any one time during the day, normally during the morning or evening rush hour periods.

Vehicle Total Miles are all the miles a vehicle travels from the time it pulls out from its garage to go into revenue service to the time it pulls in from revenue service, including "deadhead" miles without passengers to the starting points of routes or returning to the garage. For conventional scheduled services, it includes both revenue miles and deadhead miles.

Vehicle Revenue Miles are the miles traveled when the vehicle is in revenue service (i.e., the time when a vehicle is available to the general public and there is an expectation of carrying passengers). Vehicles operated in fare-free service are considered in revenue service. Revenue service excludes school bus service and charter service.

Vehicle Total Hours are the hours a vehicle travels from the time it pulls out from its garage to go into revenue service to the time it pulls in from revenue service, including "deadhead" miles without passengers to the starting points of routes or returning to the garage. For conventional scheduled services, it includes both revenue time and deadhead time.

Vehicle Revenue Hours are the hours traveled when the vehicle is in revenue service (i.e., the time when a vehicle is available to the general public and there is an expectation of carrying passengers). Vehicles operated in fare-free service are considered in revenue service. Revenue service excludes school bus service and charter service.

VEHICLE CHARACTERISTIC AND AMENITY DEFINITIONS:

Accessible Vehicles are transit passenger vehicles that do not restrict access, are usable, and provide allocated space and/or priority seating for individuals who use wheelchairs.

Alternate Power transit vehicles are vehicles powered by any fuel except diesel fuel or gasoline.

Automated Stop Announcement is an automated system that announces upcoming stops.

Automatic Vehicle Location or GPS equipment allows a vehicle to be electronically located or tracked by local sensors or satellites.

Automatic Passenger Counter equipment counts passenger boardings/alightings but is not part of the farebox.

Average Age of transit vehicles is calculated from the difference between the current year and each vehicle's model year, not from the vehicle's actual date of manufacture or delivery.

Dynamic Destination Signs

Electronic Destination Signs

Exterior Bicycle Rack equipped vehicles can carry bicycles on racks outside of the vehicle such as on the front of a bus or the open deck of a ferryboat.

Passenger-Operator Intercom equipped vehicles have an intercom system that allows passengers and the vehicle's or train's operator to communicate with each other.

Public Address System equipped transit vehicles have one-way audio announcement system that allows the vehicle operator to communicate with passengers.

Rehabilitated transit vehicles are those rebuilt to the original specifications of the manufacturer.

Restroom is a restroom on board the transit vehicle and available for passenger use.

Security or CCTV Type Camera equipped vehicles have cameras installed inside the vehicle for security purposes.

Self-propelled vehicles have motors or engines on the vehicle that supply propulsion for the vehicle. Fuel may be carried on board the vehicle such as diesel fueled buses or supplied from a central source such as overhead wire power for light rail vehicles.

Traffic Light Preemption equipped vehicles are able to, either automatically by sensors or as a result of operator action, adjust traffic lights to provide priority or a green light.

Two-Way Radio equipped transit vehicles have a two-way radio system that allows the vehicle operator and the operating base or control center to communicate with each other.

Unpowered vehicles are those without motors. They are either pulled by self-propelled cars or locomotives or moved by cables such as an inclined plane.