

## SUSTAINABILITY & MULTIMODAL PLANNING WORKSHOP #APTAsmp19



Building a Case for Data-Driven Bus Stop Accessibility and Standardization

Thomas Hewitt, Jr. Director, Office of Service Development



MARYLAND TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

### **Discussion Highlights**

- BaltimoreLink and Bus Stops
- Bus Stop Optimization (aka Balancing)
- Assessing and Knowing Your Inventory
- Standardization Through Guidelines
- Project Discussion: Feedback, Rightsizing, and Typical Designs
- Coordination and Prioritization





#### **Importance of Bus Stops**

- Gateway to bus service
- Impacts a majority of riders
- Access and independence





#### **BaltimoreLink Network Redesign**

- Launched June 2017
- Service Types
  - CityLink
  - LocalLink
  - Express BusLink
- Stop Optimization
  - Pre-Launch
  - With Launch
  - Ongoing



#### **Bus Stops by the Numbers**

- Currently, the bus network has approximately 4,300 bus stops
- Systemwide average spacing is 4 stops per mile
  - CityLink = 5 stops per mile
  - LocalLink = 4 stops per mile
  - Express BusLink = 2 stops per mile\*



### **Bus Stop Optimization Efforts**

- By Service Type
  - CityLink and LocalLink
  - Express BusLink phases
- By Corridor/Project
- Isolating Improvements
  - Runtime modifications
  - Additional timebands
  - Other impacts



After applying our process and reducing 20% of our stops, we had our base stop network that could be examined in greater detail.



#### **Bus Stop Assessments & Inventory**

- Primary focus on ADA accessibility assessments
- Updates to existing fields
- Variety of new operational and asset management fields
- Other agency projects necessitated more data
- Collaboration and partnerships (outside MTA)



#### **Bus Stop Assessments & Inventory**

Lane

In-Lane Dedicated

Pull-Out Parking La

Grand Tota

Off Street Shoulder Travel Lar

- Effort included:
  - GPS coordinates
  - Images
  - Infrastructure
  - Conditions/comments
- Post-processing indicates level of improvements
- Costing and prioritization

Туре	Count			
	2,622			
Bus Lane	57	25	6 E 33rd St (542)	
	17			
			Boarding_Alighting_Obstruction	None
	116	A CARLES AND A CARLES	Roadway_Slope	1
е	2,432		Boarding_Alighting_Parallel_Slope	1.4
C			Boarding_Alighting_CrossSlope	6.7
	1,500		Boarding_Alighting_Comment	<null></null>
ne	1,500	Contraction of the second	Connecting_Sidewalk	Yes
		· · · W North Ave	Close_Proximity_Intersection	Yes
l	4,122	63	ADA_Ramp_Present	Yes
Concerne a	Beker St Pressime		Shelter	No
A A PART	Pressimer		Shelter_ID	<null></null>
			Number_Of_Shelters	<null></null>
		• • <del>2</del> % %	Bench_Present_Outside_Shelter	No
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Bench_Condition_Outside_Shelter	
1 1 1 1			Lighting_Present	No
			Crosswalk_Present	Yes
S		C LY Aulberry St 7	Crosswalk_Location	Both On Street and A Street
		• 8 •	Trash_Can_Present	No
	0		Amenity_Comment	<null></null>
	a a a a a a a a	• 🛶 👐 Ballimore St 🖉 🚽 🚿	Overall_Comment	<null></null>
		W Lomberd St St	Inspection_Date	<null></null>
a to	144	0 N Picture	GlobalID	a0ac3acd-ad6d-469 a9be-3eea22f338aa
a person of the			x	-76.569021
n 🍋	ANG	Elen Contraction	у	39.288305
	CHILDENS AVA		Stop_Configuration	NS Pull-Out
-	S Ultra	S CONTROLS	Bus_Stopping_Length_FT	50
CON	A MARINE	295	Platform_Length_FT	70
			Bus_Pad_Length_FT	0
		Charles and the second s	Required_Length	100
	•		Difference	-30
			Directions: To here - From here	

The assessment process and subsequent inventory allowed us to analyze patterns within our stops, so we could standardize our system to improve safety, reliability, and legibility.

Assessments & Standardization & Guidelines

### **Bus Stop Design Guide**

- Reference document for multiple stakeholders:
  - General Public
  - Elected Officials
  - Neighborhood Associations
  - Developers and Businesses
  - MDOT MTA Staff
- Uses industry best practices applied to the context of the Baltimore region



#### **Bus Stop Design Guide**

- Sets the foundation for standardization and guidance
- Categorizes stops by a variety of factors
  - Hierarchy
  - Placement
  - Location
  - Legibility
  - Amenities
- Defensible



### **Bus Stop Design Guide**

- Shelter Scoring Criteria
  - Logical, equitable distribution of shelters
  - Expansion to apply other amenities (MDOT MTA and local jurisdictions)

Stop #10579 Linwood Ave & Fayette St SB NS

Criteria	Value	Points	
Boardings	26 average daily boardings	26	
Transfers	LocalLink 21 to CityLink Blue and CityLink Orange	15	
Frequency	2 buses per hour	10	
Title VI	Both predominantly minority and low-income area	25	
Human services facilities	Library within 750 feet	15	
Operator relief	Operator relief point	10	
Total	101		



With standardization and a set of guidance to educate and train staff, we are progressing on specific projects to enhance the safety, reliability, and legibility of the network.

> Standardization & Guidelines Project Development

#### **Operator Feedback Process**

- Input from bus operations staff is crucial
  - Equally important to educate, modify, and apply guidelines
  - Fixing underlying issues rather than bandage approach



	Missing Sig	gn / Post		Damaged / Defaced Si		Stop(s)/Transfers Not Announced		Obstructe	d Viev
	Wrong Information			Stop Obstruction (i.e. parked cars, debris)		Inadequate Curb Space		Stop Too Close to Turn	
	Establish N	- lew Stop		Relocate E Stop	xisting	Discontinue Existing Stop			
	Other (plea	ase specify):							
			OR	Location:	05	at			
Stop ID:				Location.					
Stop ID: Route Di		NB	SB	EB	(Name Street) WB	Placement:	(Name Interse		Mid

Continue on back if necessary

#### **Bus Stop Rightsizing**

- Addressing bus stop curb length
  - Allows operators to align bus parallel with curb
  - Allows riders to board safely from the boarding area/sidewalk
  - Curb length depends on stop placement, location, and frequency of service (i.e. buses per hour)
- 29% of bus stops need to be rightsized





#### **Bus Stop Typical Designs**

- Common situations and deficiencies at bus stops
- Means to expedite permitting process
- Reduction in capital cost (less design work)
- Need to have trusted contractors who know the ADA

#### Logic Map for Level of Upgrade Classification



#### **Bus Stop Typical Designs**

#### • <u>Scenario</u>:

- No firm, stable boarding area adjacent to curb
- Non-accessible, non-compliant





#### **Bus Stop Typical Designs**

#### • <u>Scenario</u>:

- Boarding area present but length perpendicular to curb is inadequate
- Functionally accessible, non-compliant





#### **Coordination is Key**

- Other State Agencies
  - State Highway Administration
- Local Jurisdictions
  - Baltimore City Department of Transportation
- Community & Business Representation
  - Elected Officials
  - Neighborhood Organizations
  - Developers



### **Prioritizing Improvements**

- Next steps:
  - Analyze core bus origin/destination survey (inc. transfers locations)
  - Review Mobility O/D trips
  - Analyze vehicle data (i.e. boardings/alightings, wheelchair ramp deployment locations)
  - Determine prioritization and phasing
  - Identify top bus stops for improvements
  - Allocate costs for improvements and funding sources
- MDOT MTA's bus stop design guide and inventory of bus stop assessments will set the foundation for improvements

# Thank You!

Thomas Hewitt Jr. thewitt@mdot.maryland.gov