



APTA SUDS-UD-RP-003-11

Rev. 1

Revised March 26th, 2013

Published March 31st, 2011

APTA Sustainable Urban Design

Standards Working Group

Why Design Matters for Transit

Abstract: This *Recommended Practice* promotes the importance of design in the success of a transit project, including transit facilities and transit systems. Key principles of design are summarized, and specific tools to achieve design excellence are described, including design guidelines. Design and the design process are promoted for establishing an agency vision and sense of purpose, guiding the development of a facility and clarifying the agency's core functions so the design decisions will support (rather than contradict) them. Typical transit facility/system design goals include convenience, cost-effectiveness, safety, security, dynamic placemaking, multimodal balance, economic stimulation, environmental sustainability and a positive identity for the transit line, transit agency and surrounding community.

Keywords: aesthetics, design, development, durability, features, function

Summary: Design is the necessary process for responding to three critical challenges of a successful development project: aesthetics, function and durability. A project that appeals to its users, anticipates and accommodates them, and ensures that future users can also benefit from its development has successfully addressed all three concerns. A lack of attention to design at the macro (systemwide) and micro (station/stop) levels puts the success of a project at risk. Function must be achieved while being aesthetically pleasing, and ignoring the future ability to maintain and sustain a project is never a cost-effective decision, no matter what the initial cost savings are. In short, good design is a good investment, and having good design guide decisions is one way to ensure that a project will be perceived as both an immediate success and one that gracefully stands the test of time. Successful transit agencies know that people have a choice; these things matter to riders.

Scope and purpose: This document advocating the benefits of design excellence for transit facilities and systems begins with the discussion of design as the intersection of three "realms": aesthetics, function and durability. The document highlights how a good design process can enhance the successful experience and use of a transit facility and system for all stakeholders. While this standard can be applied to both large and small transit agencies, it should be applied in a way that is sensitive to the regional and local contexts, with the clear understanding that good design is an integral feature of a positive environment, resulting in a good quality of life.

This *Recommended Practice* represents a common viewpoint of those parties concerned with its provisions, namely, transit operating/planning agencies, manufacturers, consultants, engineers and general interest groups. The application of any standards, practices or guidelines contained herein is voluntary. In some cases, federal and/or state regulations govern portions of a transit system's operations. In those cases, the government regulations take precedence over this standard. APTA recognizes that for certain applications, the standards or practices, as implemented by individual transit agencies, may be either more or less restrictive than those given in this document.

© 2013 American Public Transportation Association. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the American Public Transportation Association.



Participants

The American Public Transportation Association greatly appreciates the contributions of the **APTA Sustainable Urban Design Standards Working Group, Design Subgroup**, which provided the primary effort in the drafting of this *Recommended Practice*.

At the time this standard was completed, the working group included the following members:

Peter Albert
 David Allen
 Ken Anderson
 Mary Archer
 GB Arrington
 Paul Bay
 Christian Bauer
 Sandra Bloodworth
 Carrie Butler
 Aspet Davidian
 Jeff Deby
 Kim DeLaney
 Jillian Detweiler
 John diDomenico
 Maya Emsden
 David Feltman
 Tian Feng
 Lucy Galbraith
 Jason Green
 Ellen Greenberg
 Mike Harbour
 Jeff Harris
 Eric Hesse
 Todd Hemingson
 Jeff Hiott
 Jack Kanarek
 Ron Kilcoyne
 Jeremy Klop
 Judith Kunoff
 Trent Lethco
 Pallas Lombardi
 Ryan McFarland
 Val Menotti
 Doug Moore
 Cynthia Nikitin
 Jorge Pardo
 Jim Parsons
 Elena Patarini
 Mary Priester
 Tamim Raad
 Moreno Rossi
 Christof Spieler
 David Taylor
 Gary Toth
 Audrey Trotti
 Jerry Walters
 Dave Wohlwill
 Shannon Yadsko

Contents

1. Overview	1
1.1 APTA Sustainable Urban Design Standards program goals.....	2
1.2 Design: function, aesthetics and durability	2
2. Why commit to design excellence?	3
3. Benefits of good design	4
3.1 Increased safety and security	4
3.2 Enhanced community pride and image.....	5
3.3 Enhanced economic development	5
3.4 More efficient operations.....	5
3.5 Improved customer experience.....	6
3.6 Comprehensive service to all (design for barrier free)	6
3.7 Increased environmental sustainability.....	6
3.8 Improved organizational identity.....	6
3.9 Lowered maintenance costs	7
3.10 Ridership.....	7
4. Next steps	7
4.1 Make design excellence an organizational priority	7
4.2 Ensure that design decisions are led by design professionals.....	7
4.3 Develop and adopt design guidelines	7
4.4 Set the bar higher	9
4.5 Learn from the design process	9
4.6 Seek endorsement for design excellence	9
4.7 Promote design excellence within the organization and the community	9
5. Conclusions	9
Appendix A: References for review and inspiration	11
Appendix B: Case studies of art and design in public transportation	16
Definitions	16
Abbreviations and acronyms	17

Why Design Matters for Transit

1. Overview

APTA is committed to excellence in art and design in all aspects of the public transportation experience. Design is the necessary process for responding to three critical features of a successful development project: **aesthetics, function** and **durability**. A project that appeals to its users, that anticipates and accommodates them, and that ensures that future users can also benefit from its use has successfully addressed all three features. In the world of transit—its facilities and systems, including infrastructure, station architecture, rolling stock and branding—there are common goals of design excellence that can inspire pride and confidence in all who experience the design solutions, including convenience, cost-effectiveness, safety, passenger security, dynamic/vital placemaking, achieving multimodal balance, being an economic catalyst, environmental sustainability and dynamic placemaking. These are all variations of aesthetics, function and durability. These features may be addressed with different degrees of relative importance in the overall project design, and design excellence has sometimes been considered a lesser concern than, for example, the utilitarian functionality of infrastructure implemented on a limited budget. This document asserts that design excellence is in itself a priority for even the most cost-conscious transit properties, which will typically benefit from a set of adopted guidelines that promote a conscientious and prioritized focus on design, supported by decisions led by design professionals. Invariably, a good design process will ensure that all three features are considered and incorporated and, ideally, implemented to enhance one another in their execution.

A lack of attention to design at the macro (systemwide) and micro (station/stop) levels puts the success of a project at risk. A project need not accommodate function at the expense of appearance. And ignoring the future ability to maintain and sustain a project is never a cost-effective decision, no matter what the initial costs savings are. In short, good design is a good investment, and having good design guide decisions is one way to ensure that a project will be perceived as both an immediate success and one that gracefully stands the test of time. Successful transit agencies know that people have a choice; these things matter to riders.

In many ways, the lack of consideration of design is a design choice, since decisions are made every day that affect the design and operation of transit facilities. The decisions are made by many parties affecting the built environment, including public works and buildings departments, developers, elected officials, community groups and transit agencies. It is often the case that these people may not share the same goals regarding the project development. But without coordination and consensus, the development of a project will not result in the efficient, attractive, safe and comfortable facility that transit riders deserve and transit operators need.

A good design process establishes a vision and sense of purpose to guide the development of a facility. The preparation of design guidelines will focus the process and represent the prioritized consensus about the design goals. They clarify the project's core functions so the design decisions will support rather than contradict them. If design guidelines are to be useful, they are written so that they can be understood and used by a wide audience, allowing an entire community to easily find agreement on the key priorities and principles. This agreement will help resolve the conflicts and challenges that will arise throughout the development of the facility. Therefore, agencies should either develop their own design guidelines or use guidelines that have worked elsewhere.

1.1 APTA Sustainable Urban Design Standards program goals

The importance of design in developing transit facilities, and how to achieve design excellence, lies at the heart of the goals of the Sustainable Urban Design Standards program. These are:


- to build sustainable communities by **integrating transit service** into existing and new neighborhoods, corridors and regions;
- to increase transit ridership by effectively **linking transit service** with more compact development;
- to improve transit efficiency by **coordinating transit service** and investments with infrastructure improvements and land development;
- to conserve natural resources by **developing patterns and communities** that require less land for development, create open space and reduce the demand for fossil fuels to meet energy needs; and
- to promote the sense of civic pride in using transit by **enhancing its image and “brand”** as a primary urban transportation mode.

The key words among these goals are *integrating*, *linking*, *coordinating*, *developing* and *enhancing* to encourage transit agencies in accomplishing outcomes in specific and thoughtful ways. Design is both the concept and the process of applying thought to achieve specific desired results.

1.2 Design: function, aesthetics and durability

More specifically, a good design process determines three principle characteristics:

- **Function:** How it works.
- **Aesthetics:** How it is perceived.
- **Durability:** How it holds up.



It's essential to keep the three features of design (**Figure 1**) in coexistence. These features are not ranked in order of importance; they are interdependent. Without durability and aesthetics, function is impaired. Yet aesthetics must be durable, to ensure a long life cycle. All three design features comprehensively define the

station environment characteristics when they are anticipated and evaluated together. Good design uplifts the environment and also enhances the quality of life.

Every transit facility decision integrates aesthetics, function and durability to some degree. The type of design priorities each agency follows is a choice made early on in the process. Frequently, local agencies, under pressure to keep costs within limits, focus more on pure function. While at the point of initial capital outlay this appears to save money, failure to accommodate durability or aesthetics puts the long-term success of a project at risk, increases life-cycle cost and can reduce ridership. This *Recommended Practice* is intended to underscore the need to incorporate comprehensive design practices from the outset of the planning process through complete implementation of transit facilities.

2. Why commit to design excellence?

The design of transit facilities as well as system performance plays an integral role in building transit ridership and ensuring customer satisfaction and system productivity. An increasing number of transit agencies have used comprehensive design to change the way they provide service to their customers, to improve their public image and even to redefine their purpose. While good design does not necessarily cost more than poor design, these transit agencies have shown that investing in design features to *build* ridership can be a cost-effective alternative to reducing service or sacrificing aesthetics in an effort to cut costs—that can create a continuing downward spiral of ridership. In fact:

- **People react positively to features that were expressly designed to improve the transit experience.** Passengers especially appreciate these when they are well placed and well designed, particularly when such basic service characteristics as frequency, efficiency, safety and reliability are perceived by passengers to be well under control. Design features can help to instill rider confidence in a transit agency, as well as raise passenger optimism regarding the quality and stability of future transit trips and experiences. An attractive, comfortable transit facility may be especially important for infrequent or choice riders, a major target audience for increasing ridership. Design features do not just help make transit safer and more comfortable, but also influence first-time or new riders' perceptions of transit as a viable mobility option.
- **Good design adds value.** As Apple has legendarily demonstrated, a product's design, in and of itself, can become the distinguishing factor in its success with customers. The investment in the design process and provision of excellent design solutions are more likely to attract the support of public/private partnerships with local communities, businesses and governments, while redefining the way transit agencies traditionally work with manufacturers. Such a process will typically leverage a greater sum of its parts: a collaboration among transit properties challenged with a need and opportunity, project developers seeking return on investment, architects tasked with envisioning a structure's functional success, artists engaged because of various required or recommended "percent for art" programs (dedicating a portion of total project funds to incorporating an art element), civic leaders championing a project as part of a community legacy, etc. Often, utilitarian and functional aspects of the facility design can be effectively influenced by these collaborations.
- **Bad design costs more.** "Poor" design in a transit facility can be described as one that fails to accomplish the goals of good design in one or more of the following ways: The design 1) does not fully anticipate the range of *functions* the facility should accommodate, 2) dismisses the value of *aesthetics* as a fundamental facility attribute, and 3) underestimates the need for *durability* as a quality of the facility's features. Poor design can often result in customer complaints and identification of functional and safety issues, which must be addressed through design work and possible service disruptions and followed by the actual remedies. Poor design could have a negative impact on safety, resulting in litigation and a decrease in ridership/passenger loyalty.
- **Pride in ownership.** Agencies that implement amenity improvements and phased improvement programs are more likely to have sought input addressing actual passenger experiences or consumer

perceptions. Appreciation of even small and inexpensive enhancements of riders’ experiences are often reflected and affirmed in customer feedback. This is evident in some very simple yet effective steps that transit agencies are taking to assess customer concerns—focus groups, surveys and other methods—critical in ascertaining whether or not a particular amenity should be considered. Amenity projects thus become part of a total program geared toward providing customer-friendly service, and serve as a physical reminder of the bond between transit agency and community.

Successful transit systems across North America are continually striving to maintain and increase their ridership, and in some instances keep up with the ever-growing demand of a growing ridership. There are opportunities to enhance all aspects of the transit experience for passengers, from approaching and leaving the boarding area, to waiting at the stop/station, to boarding, riding and alighting from the vehicle. Knowing what design features passengers in a particular city want most and making sure they understand that those improvements can often come with a cost or a tradeoff (forgoing a fare decrease, for example) can help an agency determine which design features to offer. Agencies that have implemented successful amenity programs have experienced the tangible appreciation of their core riders’ everyday experiences, and have seen the appeal of such improvements to attracting new riders and new support centers in the community. These factors should be considered in the cost/benefit discussions that precede agency design and construction practices.

3. Benefits of good design

Design features influence customer behavior and the surrounding community, which will directly and/or indirectly affect ridership and, as a result, emphasize the essential role a well-planned, sustained transit system plays in that community’s very livelihood. Many transit agencies are committed to improving both passenger experience and the relationship of their facilities to the communities they serve because they recognize that their long-term viability depends on it.

3.1 Increased safety and security

Design features can improve security for passengers waiting at a station or stop. A Canadian report suggests a reduction in security incidents can be measurably tied to the implementation of a broad range of design features, including adequate lighting, telephone access, active land usage, and a map of the surrounding area at and *around* bus stops. The use of graphic symbols to convey security measures, visually consistent with an agency’s standard format, may also be used to promote security awareness.

Design features can also impact security indirectly. A transit facility of poor general appearance, with low lighting levels and lacking maintenance or the presence of “official” people (ticket agents, security personnel, retail vendors) is understandably perceived as “dangerous” if only due to these signs of deterioration, and equating the obvious neglect with the sense that the place is unsafe or “out of control.” Studies of New York City subway station environmental improvements have shown that when stations are rehabilitated, people feel safer, regardless of actual crime patterns.¹ When design features are provided and successfully maintained, there is also an implied security presence and a sense that someone is in control of the transit station. One way to ensure or improve station safety is to arrange a design or remodel review by a certified practitioner. These don’t cost much time or money, since thousands of existing agency employees across the country are already certified and able to bring their industrial-setting crime prevention skills and awareness to the benefit of the design process. In addition, the use of fare or “smart” cards on buses and trolleys makes drivers feel safer because there is less cash on board. Security cameras on buses also may make passengers feel more secure.

¹ TCRP Report 22, p. 69.

From the perspective of economic sustainability, the comprehensive accommodation of design features that address safety, security and universal access should be recognized as smart investment measures that will manage and reduce future liability concerns.

3.2 Enhanced community pride and image

Design features located within transit waiting environments should be viewed not only as serving transit, but also as focal points for the communities around them. Features such as artwork, newsstands, lighting, cafes, and even station buildings themselves can be catalysts for the physical, economic and social improvement of a community. Ridership benefits can thus be indirect: supporting revitalization of communities, which in turn increases demand for transit.

Case studies documenting this approach are presented in TCRP Report 22, “The Role of Transit in Creating Livable Metropolitan Communities.” There are numerous detailed case studies in which transit design features have had a strong positive impact on surrounding neighborhoods, and in which entire downtowns have sparked new community partnerships due to transit. Successful transit amenity improvements from Boston to Los Angeles have been implemented through partnerships, formed between government and the private sector, which allow local transit authorities to move beyond simpler design features to develop entire environments integral to the revitalization of a downtown. These partnerships represent significant opportunities, given the fact that many transit agencies have limited operating budgets, do not always own the property on which their bus or light rail stops are located, and often have difficulty siting these stops. They also provide ways for local communities to make a presence within transit service and facilities.

In many communities, providing transit service is difficult due to the automobile-oriented design of streets. A lack of connections between subdivisions forces buses to use busy arterials, which are unappealing waiting environments for passengers. Many bus stops are not even located or reachable by sidewalks, forcing passengers to walk and sometimes wait in the street. Additionally, the design of the workplace, often an isolated building surrounded by parking lots, undermines the opportunity for building design to enhance the transit experience. Even in this situation, design features that improve pedestrian access to transit can become a catalyst for improvements that are of broader benefit to a community.

3.3 Enhanced economic development

A well-designed transit facility that inspires civic pride and robust use of transit may also be used as a tool to stimulate economic development in the surrounding community. The strength of a transit facility as a beacon and magnet for customers depends not only on the design of the facility itself, but also on how well-integrated the facility is with the overall urban design of the larger area. Certainly, adjacency is an economic boon to the businesses and commercial establishments within view of the sumptuous detailing and regal proportions of New York’s Grand Central Terminal and the Union Stations in Los Angeles and Washington, D.C. However, the design benefits of blending functional as well as an aesthetic considerations and combining information, access and visibility can provide “micro” economic benefits as well: For example, a transit station with prominent real-time service information and easy access paths adjacent to a café can inform and inspire customers to spend money while waiting (with confidence) for the next transit arrival.

3.4 More efficient operations

Design features should prioritize making transit more efficient and easier to use. One example is the use of bus waiting areas that “bump out” sidewalks so that buses do not have to pull into the curb and such that waiting areas are increased in size. Other features that appear to improve transit efficiency are multiple doors to allow simultaneous boarding and alighting, the alignment of the waiting area with the vehicle floor, fare purchase mechanisms and the arrangement of design features at the stop and the waiting area to facilitate queuing and easy boarding—which also reduce dwell times, crowding and service delays.

3.5 Improved customer experience

Design features that provide people with comfort, knowledge and confidence about how to use the transit system improve their ability to use public transit and perhaps make it more likely that they will do so. Examples of these extend beyond the obvious design features of seating, lighting, noise and weather protection. Design features that enhance orientation and trip certainty include posted and available route schedules and information, both at the transit stop and on the vehicles, and recorded stop announcements.

A well-maintained and accurate website is another method to inform the public about local transportation methods. Application software and social media are increasingly well-used forms of sharing and disseminating transit information as well. The public can avail themselves of these resources for global positioning, route planning, approximate arrival and departure times, travel choice options and adjacency to services and destinations. Local transit officials could also promote the use of available online tools as an alternative method to trip planning. Multiple routes and modes of transportation are available for the passenger to choose from. A well thought out informational technology service for public transit information significantly improves public transit operations and potentially increases ridership.

Design features that specifically improve the customer experience figure into the overall sense of customer satisfaction, along with more operation aspects as safety, reliability and travel speed. When reflected in annual customer surveys or a reduction in service complaints, the contributions of good design to increased customer satisfaction represents real added value wherever political support, measured performance and voter-initiative funding support will factor in the success and future capital and operational fundability of a transit agency.

3.6 Comprehensive service to all (design for barrier free)

Compliance with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) has pressed transit operators to make significant, conscientious accommodations in how they design both their transit facilities and vehicles. These include adequate circulation space within a bus shelter; adequate lighting; bus stops that are connected to streets and sidewalks by an accessible path (which means that sidewalks of a prescribed width need to be provided); and legible signage, including bus route and schedule information. These investments and upgrades to provide universal access improve the sense of personal safety, security and access not only for the disabled, but for all transit customers.

3.7 Increased environmental sustainability

An efficient, well-designed transit system provides measurable environmental benefits over the automobile, including reduced emissions per traveler, reduced use of land for rights-of-way and parking and a fundamental role in contributing to a compact urban development pattern. Efficient system design to build and sustain ridership includes siting station and stop locations at activity centers and scheduling service to meet demand. Other transit facility design choices that support environmental sustainability include paving (for surface permeability), building materials (for durability and reduced maintenance), utilities and insulation (for reduced demand of nonrenewable energy), and land use/access site design (promoting walking, bicycle and other non-emission access modes).

3.8 Improved organizational identity

The image and branding of a transit agency communicates the pride and confidence the agency has in its operations and its role in the community. How these are conveyed to the public is a design decision in itself, represented in its maps, schedules, brochures, websites, publications, advertising, promotional campaigns and media releases. The imagery associated with the Tube in London, or the Paris Metro—logo, map diagrams, station entrances, even vernacular slang—has become irrefutably integrated into the general civic image of the metropolis to the point where one cannot fully consider the identity of one without the other. Where T-

shirts at both touristy gift shops and hip boutiques bear icons of a city’s transit system, design excellence likely has succeeded in promoting the transit system to the level of civic ambassador.

Transit agencies with enduring and successful iconographic identity recognize that consistency, clarity and an understanding the real and monetary value of being a distinctive brand are important guidelines to how this identity is conveyed and represented: in short, marketing itself as a design strategy.

3.9 Lowered maintenance costs

Durability and sustainability as design goals help translate short-term investment into long-term savings. Transit agencies with sophisticated financial strategies generally consider capital expenses as easier to anticipate and budget than labor costs, and therefore tend to favor investments—and design decisions—that lower maintenance costs as a goal. Project specific procedures should include life cycle costing analysis to evaluate first costs against long term maintenance costs. Relevant systems, materials and concepts to be evaluated will differ with each project.

3.10 Ridership

A 1996 TCRP study, “Transit Ridership Initiative,” describes ridership as “a fragile, somewhat ambiguous goal, and a moving target.” The study found that many aspects of transit operations and investment decisions affect ridership, and identified “planning orientation” (community- and customer-based approaches) as one of five main factors in achieving ridership increases. Whether seeking to attract new riders or sustain and optimize its current ridership, a transit agency should understand the influence of this factor on ridership—and how much it is the result of design decisions, deliberate or not.

4. Next steps

4.1 Make design excellence an organizational priority

Transit agencies that value ridership, cost-effectiveness, popular support and the other benefits of good design identified above invariably recognize the contributions that design excellence makes toward these goals. Accordingly, when design is prioritized among the top factors governing decision-making, these benefits are effectively prioritized as agency outcomes.

4.2 Ensure that design decisions are led by design professionals

Excellence in managing design decisions, much like managing the same in structural, operational and budgetary decisions, requires the engagement of experts who know the field—who have learned from previous accomplishments and can provide a track record of success. Architectural solutions guided by architects and graphic design produced by professional graphic designers are examples of design realms best managed by professionals. Arts decisions should be led by professional arts administrators, and professional artists should be selected to create permanent artworks or to lead permanent art projects where work will be created by students and non-professionals. The best projects include teams made up of professionals from right disciplines and success relies on including professionals from the appropriate disciplines related to the specific project. These professionals are typically willing to readily and compellingly demonstrate the benefits and advantages of engaging their services to accomplish design goals with the greatest certainty.

4.3 Develop and adopt design guidelines

Design guidelines establish a vision and sense of purpose to guide the development of a facility. They clarify needs and goals of facility components and requirements so the design decisions will support rather than contradict them. They are written so that they can be understood and used by a wide audience, allowing an entire community to easily find agreement on the key priorities and principles.

Guidelines should be both flexible and prescriptive. They allow for creativity in suggesting how a policy or goal could be achieved, but they also give guidance to steer users away from bad decisions about appearance, function and cost-effectiveness of operation. Many of the criteria guidelines employed are subjective, and each community has its own unique needs and preferences. The more clear and well defined the vision, though, the more useful design guidelines can be in helping decision makers find their way to a successful design solution. Below are specific objectives to consider when drafting design guidelines.

4.3.1 Design guidelines promote good design when goals require compromise

Design guidelines should be able to guide users to solutions when various and divergent priorities seem to contradict one another by reinstating the overriding priorities of an agency and the need to strive for long-term design excellence. They can also help identify where realms of concerns that seem to conflict may overlap. Without a common understanding of these overriding priorities among the various stakeholders, design decisions made during project development may not result in the aesthetic, functional, durable facility that transit riders deserve and transit operators need. Design guidelines should be written with simple, clear, easy-to-interpret text that will reduce this risk by anticipating and suggesting a trade-off analysis process that leads to excellence in design and consensus among stakeholders.

4.3.2 Design guidelines tailor solutions to the local context

When it comes to design guidelines, one size does not fit all. No one set of design guidelines will address all the features and aspects of concern to every community. The positive qualities that make each community and transit agency unique should emerge and be addressed in the setting of goals and in the input obtained from stakeholders and should be clearly reflected in the guidelines' objectives and policies.

4.3.3 Design guidelines can be good marketing

Design guidelines should promote the idea that transit facilities can be a source of community pride. Their very design and graphic layout should be consistent with the image and outcome they are designed to present. As well as being a tool for sound planning and effective community partnership, they should be viewed as a marketing piece to enhance the stature of the transit agency, leverage funding and partnerships, and inspire confidence in the professionalism of the organization.

4.3.4 Design guidelines increase grant competitiveness of projects

Design guidelines are intended to precede the often-lengthy design and engineering process that takes a facility to a construction-ready state. Guidelines can facilitate the grant-readiness of a project by highlighting aspects that the design should address to be in a better position to qualify for funding from a variety of sources. Numerous grant programs have been established to reward agencies that develop facilities with aspects that feature artwork in the design, that measurably reduce reliance on fossil fuel and driving (such as carefully thought out pedestrian links), that address safety, that represent extensive community engagement, that support environmental justice, that promote walking and better public health, etc.

4.3.5 Design guidelines facilitate an effective design process

Consulting the document alone does not guarantee success. The guidelines should suggest process steps that advancing the development of a facility to a successful solution. To facilitate a greater degree of consensus, guidelines should be structured to lead a group through:

- the identification of a concept;
- the assessment of the concept's adherence to community and stakeholder priorities;
- the method of balancing conflicting goals and brokering compromise; and
- investment strategies to ensure implementing the desired outcome.

4.4 Set the bar higher

Transit agencies across North America have used innovative and effective strategies to develop infrastructure and support systems of extraordinary design quality. Examples of this success can range from individual bus stop amenities to comprehensive transit-oriented developments. A variety of agencies have developed their own guidelines, art portfolios, design solutions and other state-of-the-art design examples that can be found posted on agency websites and may be useful to consider as inspirations and reference points.

4.5 Learn from the design process

Design is a living process that responds to changing times and priorities. The transit agency and the community may find it worthwhile to revisit the criteria, goals, policies and principles previously established should the guideline development process highlight areas that are no longer current, relevant or applicable. Being open to this possibility from the beginning and meticulous in documenting these observations throughout will help the agency and its design staff and tools, including guidelines documents, accurately reflect community priorities.

4.6 Seek endorsement for design excellence

Fundamentally, an agency’s design process and guidelines are a public statement of intent to build healthy, attractive, safe and sustainable facilities and communities. This selling point alone may not hold its own when difficult compromises must be reached. To be effective, design guidelines and other design process tools may require some official “stamp of approval”: adoption or endorsement by key commission and/or boards to give them the stature needed to enlist the attention and support of the all decision-makers they are designed to advise. Below is a checklist of potential stakeholders compiled by a range of transit agencies to consider consulting in the public vetting process:

- Residents and members of the community
- Elected officials
- Transit agency planners, designers, engineers, architects, construction staff
- Transit agency operations staff: operators, maintenance and capital development staff
- Transit agency or city arts administrators and/or public arts consultants
- Planning and design staff from the local jurisdiction
- Area businesses, employers and property owners
- Area employees
- Transit passengers
- The development community/industry
- Chambers of commerce/center city and downtown associations
- Law enforcement personnel
- Local chapters of professional architecture, engineering and planning associations

4.7 Promote design excellence within the organization and the community

Success in accomplishing design excellence should not be ignored or overlooked. Convey the prioritization of design excellence as an agency priority to a priority of the community as a whole. Reinforce this success by measuring the benefits that good design practices have produced, and demonstrate the relationship between the measured benefit and the design process. By celebrating the success of a design process, the agency helps bring the community along to affirm that design excellence is a community priority to sustain.

5. Conclusions



Design is a process and an outcome. When it comes to transit facilities, infrastructure and information materials, the process a transit agency undertakes and the outcomes it produces represent that agency’s

commitment to design excellence. As demonstrated in this document, design is the integration of function, aesthetics and durability, and a good design process challenges decision-makers to remember and consider the priorities of the agency and the community it serves in planning for both the near term and the long term. As such, design excellence as a goal invariably protects the transit system as a community asset, and specific tools, such as design guidelines, can be instrumental in attaining this goal.

When viewed in this context, design as a transit agency priority can be seen as a commitment to the community. Whether supporting the transit system as a regular user or indirectly as a taxpaying member of the community, the public must entrust the agency with the role of fundamentally influencing its safety, prosperity and opportunity. Through design, the agency signals its commitment to sustaining this role, and to inspiring the confidence of future generations in the value of the transit agency as an essential partner well worth the public investment.

Appendix A: References for review and inspiration

These are intended to be examples of **extraordinary design excellence** that have withstood the test of time (at least one decade) and have been universally recognized as such by transit agency peers.

Branding and Logos/Promo	
<p>The London Underground roundel originated as a red circle to make station names stand out in the clutter of advertising-covered station walls. In 1920, graphic designer Frank Johnston standardized it into the now familiar ring and bar and paired it with a custom typeface (also still used today) to create a uniformed graphic identity for a system created from multiple independent companies. By 1930s it was used as a logo for London Transport. Today, in various colors, it represents the underground, buses, light rail, ferries, commuter rail, cable cars, and even bikeshare. Its universally recognizable form has endured for a century, and while it is used in different colors today, it still stands out wherever it is used.</p>	 <p>Source: Yottanesia-Wikipedia</p>
<p>In 1908, Frank Pick was hired to lead London Underground's publicity efforts. To increase ridership on new tube lines competing with streetcars and buses, he commissioned a series of advertising posters. Early posters promoted the ease of traveling by Underground. As the system expanded into the suburbs, posters advertised the green lifestyle available within easy commute of the city. To draw weeknight and weekend ridership, posters promoted parks, museums, theaters, sports, and sightseeing. Over three decades, he picked numerous outstanding artists and designers. These posters are remembered for capturing the character of the city, highlighting some of the best artists and designers of the period, and cementing transit's place in the identity of the city.</p>	 <p>Source: © Transport for London and London Transport Museum</p>

Public Art


Cincinnati Union Terminal was one of the last of the classic American train stations. Seven railroads agreed to jointly build and operate the terminal in 1928. Its art deco architecture reflected the optimism of the 1920s, and its scale — it was designed for 216 trains a day — reflected the golden era of railroads, when passenger trains still dominated over cars and airplanes for long distance travel. The building was designed as a gateway to Cincinnati, and an integral part of its architecture was a set of stucco and tile murals by Winold Reiss that celebrated the city. Two murals in the rotunda, over 100 feet long and 20 feet high, depicted working people, the building of great cities, and the development of transportation. On either side, smaller murals depicted the civic leaders responsible for the project, and 14 more murals, each 20 by 20 feet, in the concourse depicted Cincinnati's industries, from foundries to piano manufacture. The terminal itself declined over time; by 1971 it served only two trains, and the next year it was replaced by a small station that required less maintenance. Part of the building was demolished; the rest was converted into a shopping mall, but that, too failed. But the murals has found a place in Cincinnati's heart, and in 1973, when demolition threatened the concourse murals, citizens raised money to preserve them. They were removed and re-installed in the Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky International Airport, where they still greet passengers arriving in the city, as they were originally intended to. The rotunda murals were saved as well: in 1986 Hamilton County voters approved a bond issue to restore the terminal to house six museums. The murals had outlived the original purpose of the building, and parts of the building itself, but in the end they were part of the impetus for saving a great building.



Source: Wikipedia

Stations and Entrances

In the 1970s, Washington's new subway system carried extraordinary expectations: not only was it one of a handful of new systems that were expected to reinvent public transportation and a key initiative in the federal program to halt the decline of cities, but it would also be a symbol of the nation. Remarkably, DC's subway stations, designed by Harry Weese, lived up to these expectations. The coffered vaults seemed modern but also spoke to the classical monuments above them. The stations were roomy, and the cross-shaped transfer stations, with their magnificent vault intersection in the center, has a clarity no subway station had ever had before. The design has also proven timeless: while many public projects from the 1970s now seem unmistakably dated, the stations still seem modern.



Source: David W. Dunlap

Grand Central Terminal has become the archetype of a train station. Its Beaux-Arts façade has held its own amongst the high-rises that have sprouted around it since its completion in 1913. The main concourse, topped with a ceiling depicting the constellations, has become the city's "living room;" its brass clock is an iconic meeting place. But functionality is equally significant in the design. The station serves 268 trains a day on 67 tracks on two underground levels. A system of ramps and passageways, essentially unmodified from its original designs, smoothly carries 750,000 people a day through the station.



Source: Wikipedia


Unlike D.C.'s stations, Paris Metro stations are not standardized; they capture the spirit of eras and of neighborhoods, and an experienced rider can tell at a glimpse where they are. Among the standouts are station entrances by Art Nouveau architect Hector Guimard, built from 1899 to 1905. Considered out of date by the 1930s, many were demolished, but the ones that survive are now treasured as among the best examples of the Art Nouveaux movement. Some have even emigrated: one has been transplanted to Square-Victoria station in Montreal and one is displayed in the sculpture garden of the National Gallery of Art in Washington DC.



Source: Peter Clericuzi-Wikipedia

Signage/Wayfinding

The New York city subway system is the most complex in the world, with 468 stations, 26 routes that frequently share track, and convoluted transfers. Signage is critical to helping riders navigate, but before 1970 it was disorganized hodgepodge of different typefaces, colors, shapes, line names, and station names. In that year, a new graphic standards manual by Unimark International (Massimo Vignelli and Bob Noorda) brought order to the chaos. While Vignelli wanted to use Helvetica, the original typeface in the manual was Standard Medium, a similar but different typeface. In 1989, the MTA reissued the 1970 manual, replacing the Standard Medium with Helvetica Medium, so similar to the Standard Medium that most people were not aware of the change. Today the New York City Transit subway signage is recognizable around the world and stands out as a premier example of excellent design.







Source: SwissMiss

Harry Beck's London Underground diagram is universally recognized as one of the all-time great pieces of graphic design. It revolutionized the transit map with its radical simplicity: all lines were straight; all angles were 45 degrees; the context of the city was reduced to the single line of the river Thames; transfer stations, no matter how complex; were represented with a simple dot; geometry was radically warped; and distances distorted. The diagram turned a complex system into something easily understood. It has stood the test of time. Having adapted as the system grew and changed. It has inspired numerous transit maps since; virtually every subway map anywhere in the world includes its basic DNA. It also changed the mental geography of London, making distant suburbs seems more accessible and giving Londonders and visitors a like a simple way to visualize a complex city.



Source: ® Transport for London

TOD	
<p>The Union Station bike station in Washington D.C. provides a place for riders to rent bikes, store bikes, rent lockers, use a changing room, and get their bike repaired at a node with connections to subway, commuter rail, intercity rail, and bus. The 1,600 square foot building by KGP design slips in next to the classical arcades of Daniel Burnham's Union Station but asserts its own modern style in a shape inspired by the geometry and structural strength of a bicycle wheel, creating a beacon that represents sustainable transportation options.</p>	 <p style="text-align: center;">Source: DCist</p>
Vehicles	
<p>When San Diego inaugurated the first modern light rail system in the United States in 1981, they made two very deliberate branding decisions: calling the system a "trolley," not "light rail" or "Metro," and painting the cars solid red. Both decisions have endured. The red trolley has become part of the fabric and identity of San Diego, and nothing else in the United States looks like it.</p>	 <p style="text-align: center;">Source: Robert McConnell</p>
<p>The PCC streetcar was the standard U.S. Streetcar for a generation, and its mechanical robustness and good looks have kept it operating for 75 years. Designed by the President's Conference Committee, a consortium of transit operators and manufacturers, in an era when private cars were supplanting public transit, it was intended to be more comfortable, faster, more durable, and less expensive to operate than its predecessors; equally significantly, it was designed to look modern. All of these design features proved their value. 5000 PCCs were built from 1936 to 1952. In Pittsburgh, Boston, San Francisco, and Cleveland, they operated into the 1980s, keeping those systems open long enough to be modernized and converted into light rail. Today, rehabilitated PCC cars still run in regular transit service in San Francisco, Boston, Philadelphia, and Kenosha, as durable, comfortable, and visually striking as ever.</p>	 <p style="text-align: center;">Source: Chris Wood-Wikimedia Commons</p>
<p>The Minneapolis bus system of the mid-1970s featured vehicles that were painted entirely red. They used Helvetica, which was not yet a cliché, but added to the sense of modernity.</p>	 <p style="text-align: center;">Source: Twin Cities Metropolitan Council</p>

When Bay Area Rapid Transit opened in 1972, it was the first entirely new rail transit in the United States in 50 years, and the first to be built in the interstate era. To change public perception of transit, it was designed to be the opposite of older subway systems, with well-lit stations, upholstered and carpeted cars, a smooth ride, magnetic strip ticketing, and a computerized control room that Richard Nixon compared to NASA mission control. The emblem of this new kind of transit was a new space-age transit car that looked the part. The smooth sloping front and asymmetrical window of the BART car became the visual representation of this new era of transit and an icon for the entire system.



Source: © San Francisco News

Additional resources from the Federal Transit Administration:

- Federal Transit Administration Design and Art in Transit Projects: www.fta.dot.gov/13750.html
- Federal Transit Administration, “Art in Transit...Making It Happen,” 1996. http://www.fta.dot.gov/publications/reports/other_reports/about_FTA_3529.html
- Art and Design in Public Transportation: <http://www.fta.dot.gov/about/13750.html>
- Art and Design: Eligible Activities, Selection and Procurement: http://fta.dot.gov/about_FTA_10643.html

Appendix B: Case studies of art and design in public transportation

- NYC Department of Design and Construction: www.nyc.gov/html/ddc/html/pubs/publications.shtml
- Project for Public Spaces. “Managing Downtown Public Spaces,” p. 8. http://placemaking.pps.org/info/Books_Videos/managing_downtown
- Hensher, David. *Bus Transport: Economics, Policy and Planning*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2007.
- Mandell et al., *A Historical Survey of Transit Buses in the United States*, Society of Automotive Engineers, Warrendale, PA, 1990.
- Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, TCRP Report 22, “The Role of Transit in Creating Livable Metropolitan Communities” Washington, D.C., 1997. http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/tcrp/tcrp_rpt_22-a.pdf

Definitions

accessible path: A connection between destinations and/or transit stops that fully conforms to the America's with Disabilities Act, effectively avoiding barriers presented by grade, width, paving materials, change in elevation, and other factors that limit access for the disabled.

architect: One who designs and supervises the construction of buildings or other large structures. His or her design reach and impact is probably greatest in transit facilities.

automobile-oriented design: Design that prioritizes accommodating the automobile over transit, walking, bikes, etc.

brokering compromise: In a facilitated context, working with stakeholders with differing aims and objectives to establish common ground and general agreement.

built environment: The context for transit facilities that includes buildings, streets, plazas, parks and trackways.

community identity: The physical, demographic or ideological characteristics that distinguish a community from others.

design features: Elements of a transit facility that could be subject to design, such as stations, shelters, seating, monitors, etc. The design of these elements is often considered integral to transit users' experience.

design guidelines: Recommended practices, tools or elements that establish a vision and sense of purpose to guide the development of a facility and that suggest but do not dictate, encouraging creative solutions to technical problems.

grant-readiness: The degree to which a design solution complies with typical requirements for grant money to fund furthering the design or construction.

local jurisdiction: A county, city, village or township that exercises some level of control over land use and development within its boundaries.

multimodal: Refers to the integration of multiple transit services (and sometimes suggests different transit technologies such as rail, bus, ferry, etc.) including but not limited to transit, vehicular, pedestrian and bicycling.

percent for art: Municipal or other type of governmental ordinance requiring the dedication of a portion of total project budget costs to incorporating an art element in a capital construction project.

placemaking: A holistic process of engaging communities in defining and designing public spaces to meet their needs and to create a sense of place.

stakeholders: Project clients, including transit riders, transit operators, land use planners, neighbors of transit facilities, other city agencies and officials, building and property owners, employees in a TOD, the special-needs population, and elected officials. All may have unique and differing needs.

transit facilities: Bus stops, shelters, stations and other key components that house transit systems and contain design features.

transit-oriented development (TOD): Real estate development and neighborhoods that take advantage of transit access and support increased transit usage. TOD is often characterized by compact, mixed-use development within an easy walking distance of transit (typically within one-half mile of the transit station) that accommodates safe multimodal access.

Abbreviations and acronyms

ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
PCC	Presidents' Conference Committee
TCRP	Transit Cooperative Research Program